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## INTRODUCTION.

A gramaar of the Urāō language has been a long-felt want; the Government officer, the planter and the missionary, all alike have inquired after it. In 1874 the Revd. O. Flex, then of the German Evangelical Lutheran Mission, Chōṭa Nāgpur, prepared a small grammatical primer, an "Introduction to the Urāun language," which was printed and published under the auspices of the Bengal Government; but it has been out of print for many years. In consequence of this the Government of Bengal, at the instance of Dr. Grierson, c.I.E., who is entrusted with a general survey of Indian languages, have requested the writer of the present volume "to revise Mr. Flex's Grammar in the interest of the public."

In undertaking such a revision it was soon discovered that it was absolutely necessary to prepare a work, based on the principles on which other Dravidian Grammars have been written, and written with a view of aiding the student to acquire not only the outlines of the grammatical construction of the Urāō language, but to get an insight also into its idiom and spirit. I gratefully acknowledge, however, the help derived from Mr. Flex's Introduction in the preparation of my "Kurukh Grammar," and wish to mention also with gratitude the benefit derived from the study of the late Revd. E. Droese's "Introduction to the Māltō language," which latter is so closely connected with Urāō.

For ascertaining the position Kurukh takes up among the mombers of the Dravidian family, I am greatly indebted to

Bishop Dr. Caldwell's "Comparative Grammar of the Dravidian languages." As for the relation of Kurukh with the Kolarian languages, spoken in Chōtā Nāgpur, which I have tried to investigate, the "Grammatik der Kolhsprache" by the Revd. Dr. A. Nottrott, German Evangelical Lutheran Mission, has been chiefly consulted. Finally, I have to acknowledge that in the preparation of the Syntax I have carefully compared the Revd. W. Etherington's Hindi Grammar, on account of the well-known supposition that there is a marked Dravidian element in the construction of the Hindi Grammar, and that on the other hand Urā̄̄ and Muṇḍārī, the principal aboriginal languages spoken in Chōṭà Nägpur, have been influenced considerably by Hindī and Bangāli.

The reason why the word Kurugh has been substituted for Orā̃̃̃ or Urãõ is, that the Urāōs call themselves Kurukh and their language Kurukh Kathā. The word Kuruch may be either identified with the Kolarian horō, man, or may be derived from the Dravidian-Scythian word Kuruk, a cryer. As regards the former there appears to be no difficulty in changing horō into Kurukh, since $k$ and $h, u$ and $o$ are often substituted for each other; for example: the Muāsis, a Kolarian tribe, have for "man" not horo but koro, which if the aspirate at the end of the word Kurulk is dropped, for which parallels might easily be quoted, is identical with Kurukh. With regard to the second derivation of the word Kurukh, i.e., from Kuruk, the cryer, it may be remembered that nations frequently call themselves speakers (which idea is also conveyed by Kuruk) in contradistinction from other people, who to their notions do not speak; thus the Muṇ̣ārīs call their language not Mund $\bar{a}-$ Kajĩ, but horō-kajì, the language of man, and the Slavonic people called their neighbours, the Germans niemai, mutes, whilst they derive their own name
from slovo, word or voice; hence Kurukh, if we prefer to look upon it as of Dravidian rather than Kolarian origin, would mean a speaker, and the phrase èn Kurullhan, I am an Urāō, would signify one who speaks.

As to the word Urā̃õ or Orā̃̃, I suppose it is the totem of one of their septs, in which the Kurukhs are divided. This sept, though very small at present, may have been in olden times so numerous and preponderating, that the foreigners, the Hindus, who are supposed having invented the name Ur $\bar{a} \tilde{o}$ or Orã̃ for the Kuruklh people, might have concluded that the whole nation was called by the name of this sept, i.e., Orgorã̃; this word means hawk or cunny bird, and educated Urā̄̄̄s believe that the foreign designation Orā̃ or Or $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ is derived from this totemistic word. As it will be of interest to the student of the Kurukh language, a complete list of the different septs among the Urāōs is given in the Appendix No. I.

The Kurukh language being spoken by more than half a million of people, i.e., by many a thousand more than the Muṇdārī language, deserves without any doubt to be fixed grammatically.* The Urãṑs chiefly inhabit the Lohardagā and Palāmāu districts, and are met with also in the district of Singhbhūm and in Gangpur and other tributary states, adjacent to these districts. Like all aborigines, so also the Kurulihs have allowed their language to be influenced by their first conquerors, the Hindūs, who still live among them and to whom they owe whatever civilization they possessed, previous to the advent of European and Christian influences ; hence the fact that as in Mun. $d \bar{a} r \bar{r}$ so in $U r \bar{a} \bar{o} \tilde{0}$ we find a large number of words, which are derived from Hindì or Bangalī, besides the Hindīizing process still going on in the shaping of grammatical construction; yet as in their

[^0]national character, so with regard to grammar, the Urãõs have been more conservative than the Munḍārīs, and if we go for the study of the Kurukh language to remote villages in the interior and try to learn it, not from men who speak Hindì as well, but from women and children who know nothing but their mothertongue, we will find it in a comparatively pure form. With regard to the question whether Urãõ is really a Dravidian language, Dr. Caldwell was somewhat reluctant to affirm it, though he thought it very probable on the basis of similarity in words and grammatical structure he found in the "Epitome of the Grammar of the Orāon language" written by the Revd. F. Batsch, German Evangelical Lutheran Mission, for the journal of the Bengal Asiatic Society, Vol. XXXV. If the learned Doctor had even Mr. Flex's "Introduction into the Urāon Grammar" before him, I am sure all doubts would have been removed from his mind at once, and if any one will compare the present work with Dr. Caldwell's Comparative Grammar of Dravidian languages, he will ineritably arrive at the conclusion that Kurulk is a Dravidian tongue. A list of characteristic words in Urãō being identical with such Dravidian nouns and verbs as are made use of in Dr. Caldwell's Comparative Grammar will be found in the Appendix No. II.

Of all the Dravidian languages spoken in the south of India, Canarese appears to be most distinctly related to Kurulh. It is a curious coincidence that, according to the tradition of the Urãõs, their ancestors formerly lived in the Karnātik, where Canarese is spoken. From there they went up the Narbadā river and settled in Bihār, on the banks of the Son river. Rohitās is their ideal place, and a Kurudh's heart is filled with pride and sorrow and with a kind of home sickness when he listens to the story of its splendour and its fall. Being expelled from Rohitās
by one of the earlier Muhamedan invasions, the Urāōs split into two parts ; one travelling north-east settled in the Rājmahāl hills, and the other following up the northern Kōel entered Chōṭā Nägpur. The former call themselves Mäler men, and their language $M \bar{a} l t \bar{o}$, belonging to men, and the latter are the Uräõs who call themselves Kurukl. In the language of the Urāōs a $m \bar{\alpha} l$ is a giant or hero. This separation being of a comparatively recent date accounts for the very marked similarity existing between Kurullk and Māltō. It would be transliterating the whole Mãltō vocabulary if I were to prove this by a list of words in use both among Kurulkls and Mälers. I have confined, therefore, my remarks to some of the characteristic features of the Māltō grammar, which are essentially the same in the Kurukh grammar, giving thereby at the same time another irrefutable argument in favour of the assumption that Urāō is a Dravidian language, since $M \bar{a} l t \bar{o}$ has long ago been admitted as belonging to this family of languages. For these characteristic features see Appendix No. III.

But we have yet another relationship of the Kuruld language to refer to, viz., the relationship with the Kolarian Mundā̀ri language. When the Urăŏs first entered Chōṭā Nāgpur, they found it occupied by other aboriginal tribes, principally by the Muṇdās. Since the western part of the Lohardagã district still shows villages with pure Muṇ̣ārī names inhabited by Urāōs, the inference is justified that the Mundäris yielded that region to the Urāōs, withdrawing towards the eastern and southern part of the district; otherwise they lived together, here and there intermingled in one and the same village, having one and the same para $\bar{a}$ or parh $\bar{\alpha}$-political institution, one and the same religion, if we may call their demon-worship religion, besides having the same customs and habits of life. Under these circumstances, it is only
reasonable to expect a mutual influence upon their respective languages, and it is not surprising that if we take up the New Testament in Muṇ̣ärī and read one or two chapters carefully, we find that about one-tenth of the words used are also used in Kurukh. The question is, are these words Dravidian or of other origin? It would require a knowledge of all the Dravidian languages to decide this question, but on one point I am quite sure, viz., that the Munḍārī grammar bears a genuine Dravidian stamp on its brow. This assumption is fully justified by a comparison of the characteristics in the grammatical construction of these two languages, for which, as well as for a list of the principal words used in common by Munḍārīs and Urāō̄s, the student is referred to Appendices Nos. IV and V.

The present Kurulk grammar does not pretend to be an exhaustive work on this language, nor to be of a scientific character; its aim is a practical one, viz., to enable the student to acquire a fair and intelligent knowledge of $U r a \bar{\alpha}$, if needs be, without the help of a grammatically trained $U_{r}$ ãõ teacher; and it is for this reason that in this work more illustrations have been given than is common in such kind of works.

Since it is the desire of the Government of Bengal that a complete vocabulary should follow this little grammar, as well as that a collection of Kurulh folklore made by the author should be published, neither vocabulary nor reading lessons have been incorporated in this book; however, as it contains about three hundred Kurull words, the student will have a good stock to begin with. Besides this, the book contains many sentences and idiomatical phrases.

Whilst tendering his sincere thanks to the Government of Bengal for enabling him to publish this unpretending work, the author ventures to hope that it will be a means to the
officer, planter, and missionary to understand not only the Kurukh language, but also the people who speak it. My most heartfelt thanks are due, however, to Dr. G. A. Grierson, c.r.E., who not only suggested to Government that I should be entrusted with the work of preparing a new edition of the Kurukh grammar, but who also gave me most valuable advice as to the arrangement of the book, and especially on the transliteration of Kurull words, which accordingly has been made to agree as far as possible with the system adopted at the last Oriental Congress at Geneva.

FERD. HAHN,
German Evangelical Lutheran Mission.
Lohardagā;
The 7th August 1898.

## INDEX.


PART I.
ON THE FORMS.
CHAPTER I.-ON THE ALPHABET.
Section. Page.

1. On letters, general ..... 1
2. " vowels ..... 1
3. " diphthongs ..... 2
4. „, consonants . ..... 2-4
CHAPTER II.-ENUNCIATION, PERMUTATION, AND ACCENTUATION.
5. On enunciation ..... 5
6. " permutation ..... 6-7
7. ," " ..... 7
8. " accentuation ..... 8
CHAPTER III.-ON NOUNS.
9. On number and gender ..... 9
10. 
11. ..... 9 ..... 9
12. " " " " ..... 10
13. Singular and plural endings ..... 10
14. List of masculine and feminine nouns ..... 11
15. Special ..... 11
16. On cases ..... 12
17. Examples of declension ..... 13-14
CHAPIER IV.-ON ADJECTIVES.
18. General remarks ..... 15
19. On comparison ..... 15-16
CHAPTER V.-ON PRONOUNS.
20. Personal pronouns, case signs ..... 17
21. Declension of the personal pronoun ..... 18
22. Demonstrative pronoun ..... 19
23. Declension of demonstrative pronoun ..... 20
24. Remarks on the demonstrative pronoun ..... 20
Section. Page.
25. Declension of the remote domonstrative pronoun ..... 21
26. On possessive pronouns ..... 22
27. Declension of the possessive pronoun ..... 22
28. " " ..... 23
29. " " ..... 23
30. Reflexive pronouns ..... 24
31. Remarks on emphasis in reflex. pro. ..... 24
32. Interrogative pronouns ..... 25
33. Declension of $n \bar{e}$ ..... 25
34. On the use of endr ..... 26
35. Declension of endrā ..... 27
36. On the use of endr and endrä ..... 27
37. Ditto endrnā ..... 27
38. On the use of $\bar{e} k d \bar{a}$ ..... 28
39. ..... 28
40. On other indefinite interrogative pro- nouns ..... 28
41. On indefinite pronouns ..... 29
42. Declension of $\bar{e} k$ 'ā $m$, nêk'ām ..... 30
43. Ditto endr, endr'ādim . ..... 30
44. On other indefinite pronouns ..... 31
45. Numerals with indefnite sense ..... 31
46. Hõ̃ and malā as indefinite pron. ..... 31
47. On relative pronouns ..... 31
CHAPTER VL-ON VERBS.
48. Introductory remarks ..... 32
49. On gender ..... 32
50. Analysis and tense characteristics ..... 33
51. " " " ..... 33
52. On conjugation (active voice) ..... 34
53. Double present definite tense ..... 35-36
54. The auxiliary verb $b \vec{e} ’$ en $\bar{a}$ ..... 37
55. Ditto ditto ra'anā ..... 38-40
56. Pluperfect and past future ..... 40
57. On the conjunctive and conditional mood ..... 41
58. " ..... 42
Section. Page.
59. Tho imperative mood ..... 43
60. The infinitive do. ..... 44
61. Adjective participle ..... 44
62. Conjunctive ditto ..... 45
63. Ditto ditto ..... 45
64. Adverbial ditto ..... 46
65. The noun of Agency ..... 46
66. The different classes of verbs ..... 46.47
67. Rules for the classification of verbs , ..... - 48
68. Passive voice ..... 48-49
69. On the formation of intrans and trans. verbs ..... 50
70. Causal verbs. ..... 51
71. Irregular do. . ..... 52
72. Defective do. ..... 52
73. Conjugation of taldan ..... 53
74. Ditto maldan ..... 53-54
75. The reciprocal auxiliary nak'nà ..... 55
76. Compound verbs ..... 55
77. Compound verbs completives ..... 56
78. Intensives and permissives ..... 57
79. Potentials and impotentials ..... 57
80. Desideratives ..... 57
81. Inceptives and continuatives ..... 58
CHAPTER VII.-ON ADVERBS.
82. Introductory remarks ..... 59
83. Adverbs of time ..... 59
84. Ditto place ..... 60
85. Ditto ..... 61
86. Ditto affirmation and negation ..... 61-62
CHAPTER VIII.-ON POSTPOSITIONS.
87. Explanatory remarks ..... 63
88. List of postpositions ..... 63-64
89. Elliptical sentences ..... 64
CHAPTER IX.-ON CONJUNCTIONS.
90. General remarks ..... 65
91. List of conjunctions ..... 65
CHAPTER X-ON NUMERALS.
92. General remarks ..... 66
93. Cardinals ..... 66
Section. Page.
94. Declension of numerals masc. and fem. ..... 66
95. Nominative form of these numerals . ..... 67
96. Formation of distributives ..... 67
97. Proportional numbers ..... 68
98. Ordinals ..... 68
99. List of cardinals and ordinals ..... 68.70
100. Collective numerals ..... 71
CHAPTER XI.-ON INTERJECTION
101. List of interjections ..... 72
PART II.
SYNTAX.
CHAPTER XII.-INTRODUCTORY REMARKS.
102. On Dravidian characteristics in Kurukh ..... 73
103. The structure of the verb ..... 73
104. On the formation of sentences ..... 74-75
CHAPTER XIII.-ON NOUNS.
105. Gender and number ..... 76
106. On the use of the abstract noun ..... 76
107. Ditto ditto nominative ..... 76
108. Ditto ditto genitive ..... 77
109. Ditto ditto ditto ..... 77
110. Ditto ditto dative ..... 78
111. Ditto ditto accusative . 78 ..... 78
112. Ditto ditto ablative and instrumental.
112 Dith ..... 78
ditto locative 112. Ditto ..... 79
ditto vocative 113. Ditto ..... 80
CHAPTER XIV.-ON THE ARTLCLE AND ADJECTIVE.
113. On the use of the article ..... 81
114. Declension of adjectives ..... 81
115. On the use of adjectives (general) ..... 82
116. Ditto ditto with the ablative genitive ..... 82
CHAPTER XV.-ON THE PRONOUN.
117. The honorific pronoun ..... 83
118. The possessive do. ..... 83
Section. Page.
119. Connection of the possessive pronoun
120. Connection of the possessive pronoun with names ..... 83
121. Omission of personal pronoun ..... 84
122 Formation of relative sentences ..... 84-85
CHAPTER XVI.-ON THE VERB.
122. On the use of the indicative ..... 86
123. Ditto infinitive ..... 86
124. Ditto participlo ..... 87
125. Ditto tenses ..... 87
126. Ditto future tense ..... 88
127. Ditto $k a^{`} a n \bar{a}$. ..... 88
128. Ditto mannā and bā’arnā ..... 88
129. On cases ..... 88
130. On verbs used idiomatically ..... 89
131. On the emphasizing of negatives ..... 89
132. Defective verbs ..... 90
CHAPTER XVII.—ON THE ADVERB.
133. On the use of adverbs ..... 91

## CHAPTER I.

## THE ALPHABET.

## A. - Letters.

§ 1. The Kurukh language not being a written one, the system of writing and spelling Kurukh words in this volume is the same as that authoritatively adopted for all Asiatic languages at the late Oriental Congress at Geneva, with such slight alterations as are required for their correct pronunciation. In printing the Gospels and other books the Dēvanāgarì characters have been employed, since these are more extensively known to those Kurukhs who have received an elementary education.

## B.-Vowels.

§ 2. The Kurukh alphabet has five vowels, each of which is pronounced either short or long, viz., a $\overline{\mathrm{a}}, \Theta \overline{\mathrm{e}}, \mathrm{i} \overline{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{o} \overline{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{u} \overline{\mathrm{u}}$. The sound of each may be understood from the following:-

Examples.


Great care must be taken in pronouncing these different vowels distinctly, as an entirely different meaning will be conveyed, if long and short vowels are not properly distinguished, e.g.-
$\underline{\text { Kharnä, to steal; khar }} \boldsymbol{r} n \bar{a}$, to despise.
Pesnā, to pick up; pēsnä, to order.
Kittna, to rot; kītnā, to fire.
Ojnā, to sew; $\bar{j} j n \bar{a}$, to spin.
Urnā, to be satiated; $\bar{u} r n \bar{a}$, to blow.
Mund, before ; münd, three.

## C.-Diphthongs.

§ 3. There are only two diphthongs in Kurukh, viz., ai and au; e.g., khai, wife; laun $\bar{a}$, to beat. There are, however, compound vowels in Kurukh, which must not be confounded with diphthongs, though they are exaotly pronounced as if they were diphthongs; e.g., auld, on that day, being a contraction of $\bar{a}$, that and ull $\bar{a}$, day; aiy $\bar{a}$, there, from $a$ that and iy $\bar{a}$, here. The diphthongs and compound vowels $a i$ and $a u$ are pronounced in the following way: ai, like the $i$ in night; au like the $m u$ in house. $a$ and $i$ written with the trema ( $\cdot \cdot$ ) aï are to be pronounced separately, also aü.*

## D.-Consonants.

§4. There are 22 consonants in the Kurukh alphabet, besides those which are the aspirated forms of some of them. The student who is familiar with Hindi will find no difficulty in sounding them correctly, except that the guttural $k h$ requires some special attention. We give them in the alphabetical order, viz:-
$b$, pronounced exactly like the English $b: \quad b \bar{\imath}$, egg.
bh, as in the English clubhouse:
bhīr, necessity.
$c$, like the $c h$ in chief:
calkur, sand.
ch, as in coachhouse:
d, (dental) as in Hindì words:
chēchnä, to pound.
dighä, long.
$d h$, aspirated form of the preceding:
Dharmēs, God.

[^1]$d$, (palatal):
$d h$, the aspirated form of the former :
$f$, as in fowl:
$g$, as in gift, always hard:
$g h$, aspirated form :
$h$, as in house:
$j$, like the $j$ in John:
$j h$, the aspirated form of $j$ :
$k$, as in king:
$k h$, the aspirated form of $k$ :
dadkā, manger.
dhã̃l, big drum.
fekrärna, to howl.
gali, time.
päghä, rope.
hebrna, to throw away.
jok, little.
jhauñsnā, to rebuke.
kukk, head.
lchatt $\bar{a}$, foreigner.
$\underline{k h}$, this is a guttural sound, occurring most frequently in Kurukh words. It is to be pronounced like the German ch in ich, or the Greek $\chi$ in i $\chi$ Эứs, or as in the Scotch loch: khtdd, foot; khekhell, earth.
$l$ and $m$ are like the corresponding English cousonants: lakr $\bar{a}$, tiger; mankh $\bar{a}$, buffalo.
$n$ is also the same as in Euglish: nèlā, to-morrow.
The nasal $n$ in Kurukh takes four different forms-
(1) The guttural nasal sound, resembling the $n$ in the French bon; this sound occurs in Kurukh generally in connection with a long vowel; it is represented by the sign $\sim$ placed over the vowel which is to be nasalized; example: kh $\tilde{\tilde{e}} \bar{s} \overline{0}$, blood ; jõ $\bar{k} h$, servant.
(2) The second is that nasal $n$ which in Hindi is connected with the guttural consonants. It is written thus $\dot{n}$; its pronunciation is like the ng in the English word sing, whenever it stands at the end of a syllable or is followed by the aspirate $h$; however, if followed by a vowel, the soft $g$ at the end of it is pronounced as hard as the g in the English word go; for this reason it is written throughout, this book ṇg. Examples: thus in the words eng-hai, my; ring. hai, thy; tanghai, his; bang, father; it is to be pronounced: eng-hai, not erig-g.hai; bañg, not bañg g; etc., but in sigga, to me; nìngà to thee; tañga, to him ; engan, me; ningan, thee; tarigan, him; etc., the g must be pronounced hard.
(3) The third nasal $n$ appears in connection with palatals, and is written $\tilde{n}$; e.g., beñjnã, to marry ; khañjnä, to bear fruit; cuñjnã, to pound; etc.
(4) The fourth nasal $n$ is a corebral, and is written $n$; e.g., andrã, male; ontã, one; pendreè, pipe.
$r$, is pronounced as in English: rasnä, to permit.
$r$, this cerebral is pronounced something like the $r r$ in the Northumbrian burr: erpă, house; ęret, bow.
$r h$, the aspirated form of $r:$ tirhnä, to walk one after the other.
$p$, is sounded like the English $p$ : pello, girl.
$s$, is also pronounced as in English words : sendrä, sport.
$t$, like the English $t:$ tessnā, to tie.
$t h$, the aspirated form of the preceding: êthrnä, to appear.
$t$, this letter with its aspirate can be pronounced only as the corresponding letter in Hindì by striking the tip of the tongue on the palate just above the front gums, as $u t_{n} \bar{a}$, to tie up; khottnã, to smash.
$t h$, guthi form of plural, tot $t n \bar{a}$, earthen lamp.
$y$, this semivowel is pronounced like the $y$ in yoke: ayõ, mother, iy $\tilde{a}$, here, but at the end of a syllable its sound is after a short $o$ like $i$ and after a long o like $\bar{e}$, e.g. khhoynä, (ikhoinä) to reap; khboyná, (khhōēnā) to measure. It is also sometimes changed into $j$.

In printing Kurukh with Dēvanägarì characters, the following have been made use of to represent the above consonants.-


Nasal $n$ connected with a long vowel has to be written in Dēvanāgarī
 guttural nasal $\dot{n}$ in barig, father, is written बङ; in ninghai, elc. निङ है
 is writton ब्रोएटा.

## CHAPTER II.

## ON ENUNCIATION AND PERMUTATION OF VOWELS AND CONSONANTS AND ACCENTUATION.

## A.-Enunciation.

§5. It is a peculiar feature in the Kurukh language that in the indefinite form of the verb in which two short vowels of the same description stand together, these vowels are pronounced separately, with the accent on the first of them, as kukra'an $\bar{a}$, the ending being $n \bar{a}$ as in Hindi, the stem kukra'a; either of the two latter vowels being enunciated in so distinctly separate a manner as to leave a short hiatus between them, which will be marked throughout this book by an apnstrophe': kiukra'anä, to put down pillows under the head; tikra'ana, to ask repeatedly; likewise the words $h \vec{e} \cdot e n \bar{a}$, to bind, $n \bar{e} ’ e n \bar{a}$, to ask for; khēen $\bar{a}$, to die, must be pronounced: $h \vec{e} ’ n \bar{a}, n \vec{e} \cdot e n a \bar{a}, k h \bar{e} ' e n \bar{a}$, not $\hbar \bar{e} n \vec{a}$, nēna, khēna. So it is with the double $i$; $c i^{\prime} i n a \bar{a}$, to give ; li'inā, to boil. Likewise the double o: cō'ona, to rise ; $h \bar{o}{ }^{\prime} o n a$, to take away.

The apostrophe will be employed also in words in which an elision occurs, which takes place whenever the emphatic affix am or im is added to a pronoun, as $\bar{e} k \bar{a}$, who $+a m,{ }^{\prime} k ' \bar{a} m$, whosoever; endr, what $+i m$, endr'im, whatsoever. Each of these words is to be pronounced as if it were not one but two words, $\bar{e} k$ ' $\bar{a} m$, endr'im.

The hiatus occurs also in the adverb mal'ä, not and mak'le, if not then.
Verbs ending in a consonant evince in the imperative mood a distinct hiatus between the root and the imperative ending: tisigna, to open: tisig' $\bar{a}$ ! open! ondrnā, to bring: ondr'à! bring! mucnā, to shut: muc'ā! shut!

In cansal verbs, the pronunciation of the double aa is the same as in simple verbs, as described above : nanta'anä, to cause to be made ; menta'anä, to proclaim.

The hiatus is also perceivable in the ending of verbs in the future tense, passive voice and in verbs the stom of which ends in $r$ : tēbrna, to be
extinguished, tēbro'ö; tengrnā, to confess, terigro'ö. However, in these cases the second $o$ is long. Also in the past tenses of the verb, first person feminine, a hiatus appears just before the ending which signifies the person: e.g., urna, to be satiated ; urc'an, I was satiated : $b a^{\prime} a n \bar{a}$, to speak; $b \bar{a} c^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a n$, I spoke.*

With regard to double consonants great care must be taken to pronounce each one of them distinctly, as otherwise the meaning will become quite different from what is intended. Thus kull-kan, I covered, not kul-kan, which would mean opened; essnā, to weave, not esná, to break; crrnă, to sweep, not èrnā, to see.

## B.-Permutation.

$\S 6$. In the past tenses of the verb the double short vowels $a a, e e, o n$, change into one long corresponding vowel, viz., aa becomes $\bar{a}$, eb becomes $\bar{e}$, oo becomes $\bar{o}$, e.g., ba'anä, to speak; bāckan, I spoke; hëena, to bind; hëckan, I bound ; cō’ona, to rise ; cōckan, I rose.

There are, however, exceptions to this rule; for example, in hö'oná, to take away, the double oo does not change into a long but into one short 0 in the past tense ; ockan, I took away.

The double short ii coalesces into a short one, e.g., cï in $\dot{a}$, to give, cickan, I gave; short $u$ and $i$ combined become short $u$ in the past tense of the verb, e.g., uinä, to ploùgh, usskian, I ploughed.

Likewise short 0 and long ee combined become short 0: põēnā, to rain; possā, it rained.

Single vowels change in the following manner: short $e$ becomes short $i$ in the past tense: errnā: irrkan, I swept; long $\bar{e}$ changes into long $\bar{\imath}$ : $\overline{e r n a}$ : $\bar{z} r k a n$, I saw; also in the present and past tense of feminine and neuter verbs; esnä, to break, nĩn isdī, feminine, thou breakest; $\hat{a} d i s i \bar{\imath}$, feminine and neuter she, it breaks ; nīn iskī, feminine, thou brokest; nīm iskī, neuter, you broke. In verbs the root of which ends in double $\bar{e} e$, the latter change into double $i i$, the first being long, the second short: $\bar{u} i$; however this rule applies only

[^2]to feminine and neuter verbs of the present tense, second and third person,


 When a verb begins with the vowel $e$, the noun of agency takes $i$; o.g., esnă, to break; is $\bar{u}$, breaker; èrnā, to see; $\overline{\imath r} \bar{u}$, seeer.

Long $\bar{i}$ changes into short $i:$ pitna, pitkan, I killed.
Both short and long ochange into short $u$, and frequently the long $\bar{o}$ changes into short $\sigma$; e.g., ottnā, uttkan, I touched; mökhnä, mokkan, I ate. Long $\bar{o}$ changes also into long $\bar{u}: k h \bar{o} r \boldsymbol{r} \overline{\tilde{a}}$, to sprout khūrkan.

The long $\bar{u}$ changes into short $u:$ kūrnā, kuttkan, I was hot.
Short and long 0 in combination with $y$ change into short 0 : khoyna, khosskan, I reaped; khhōnä, khhojkan, I measured.

Final long $\bar{o}, \bar{u}, \bar{u}$, and $\bar{\imath}$ always become short, when this their position is altered by the addition of a consonant; e.g.: akkū, akkikn, now; merkhä, heaven, merkhan. In the noun of agency the long and short $\bar{o}$ of the verb is always changed into long and short $u$ : hüus from $h \bar{o}$ 'onā, to take away; unus from $\mathrm{cnn} \bar{a}$, to eat.
§7. The changes which consonants undergo in the conjugation of the verb in the past tenses are very numerous; for example, the aspirated ch elides the final $h$ : ceechnā, cēckan, I pounded; $g$ changes into $k$ : ollagnā, ollakkan, I accosted; $j$ doubles: ijnā̈, ijjkan, I stood. Double kik or kikh becomes single: pokkhnä, pukkan, I blistered my hand; kh always changes into $k$ after $a$ and $o: m o ̄ k h n a \bar{a}, m o k k a n, ~ I ~ a t e ; ~ s i s ~ c h a n g e d ~ i n t o ~ d o u b l e ~ t t: ~$ khosiā, khhottkan, I dug.

Many verbs, as $\bar{a} n n \bar{a}$, to say, $\bar{e} r r \bar{a}$, to see, form the past tense first person by inserting $k$ between the root of the verb and its termination, $\tilde{a} n-k$ - $a n$ (ankan) I said, $\bar{r}$ rkan I saw: but whenever the root of the verb ends in a vowel or diphthong, $c$ is put before the $k$, for the sake of euphony: kirta'ana, kirtāekan, I returned; he'ena, héckan, I bound ; ho'ona, ockan, I took away. Before the semivowel $y$ this $c$ changes into $j$ or double ss, e.g. khhōynã: khojkan, I measured; khāynā: khoosskan, I reaped; also after two vowels or a nasal or two vowels standing together, the $c$ changes into double ss : pôen posskan, I rained; uind, usskan, I ploughed. Further, in all words which are borrowed from Hindi, in whatever consonant their root may terminate, $c$
generally precedes the $k$ : sèzonä, sēwckan, I served; parhnä, parhckan, I read; likhnă, likhckan, I wrote.

## C.-Accentuation.

§ 8. In words which have only two syllables the accent is always on the first : pudd $\bar{a}$, short; $b \bar{a} c k \bar{a}$, word. In words of three syllables the verbs have generally the accent on the second syllable, tisigna to open, kirta'aná, to return; except in those which end in ba'anā, e.g., guchäba'ana, which take the accent on the third syllable. There are rare instances of verbs of three syllables having the accent on the first: nisigna, to dress a wound; nedegna, to fall off.

Adverbs, however, as a rule take the accent on the first syllable, even though they are of three or four syllables. Most of the nouns with three (dumbari, figtree) or four (därhimissi, beard) syllables take the accent on the first syllable; seldom on the second (barandō, whirlwind); and most rarely on the third (durbehär, removed).

## CHAPTER III.

## NOUNS.

## A.-Number and Gender.

§ 9. There are only two numbers in Kurulch, singular and plural; strictly speaking, however, number as well as gender is, to the Kurukh, a distinction which he can only make with regard to nouns indicating rational beings. All irrational existences have to his grammatical coneeption neither gender nor number. It is true that he has a form to denote a plurality in neuter nouns; but in the conjugation of verbs governed by such nouns, he treats them like nouns in the singular number. As to gender, I follow the division made by the Revd. E. Droese in his grammar of the Mälto language, viz., masculine, feminine and neuter. The number of the former two is very limited, since the Kurukh regards as masculine and feminine only such words as denote rational beings; all other nouns are to him devoid of gender, whether they are animate or inanimate. Thus with regard to gender it may be said of the Rurukh as has been said of his cousin, the Pahäria or Mäl: "he betrays a very unimaginative turn of mind."
§ 10. Masculine nouns have two forms, the indefinite and the definite. The indefinite is the simplest form of the noun, such as would be shown in a dictionary; thus $\tilde{a} l$, man. The definite form is made by adding the syllable as for the singular, thus álas, the man. The nominative plural is formed by adding the syllable ar to the indefinite form singular, thus älar, men and the men, there being no separate form for the definite plural. Definite nouns, however, always require a pronoun, demonstrative or otherwise, and only indefinite nouns are employed as they stand ; e.g.; al gahi jïa, the soul of man; âlar ge khē'enā ra'ī, men must die; ort allas barcas, a (eertain) man came; nik'im âlar barcar, some certain mon came; ibrāālurin hoã, take these men away. The two terminations as and ar are in reality the singular and the plural of the pronoun of the third person, which are ds. he, and $\bar{a} r$, they, respectively.
§11. Feminine nouns have only the indefinite form; their plural is formed by adding either the masculine plural ending ar or the collective postposition guthiar to the indefinite: mukk $\bar{a}$, woman, mukkar, women; or $\bar{a} \bar{\imath}$, wife, äläguthiar. The latter is also used in connection with masculine nouns when the plural stands in a collective sense; thus the Kurukh says: $\bar{A} d$ alarguthiar gusan $r a^{\prime} \bar{\imath}$, she is with the men, and $\bar{a} s$ äliguthiar gane kädas, he is going with the women. There is another plural form for both masculine and feminine nouns, viz, baggar, derived from bagge, many, to which the masculine and feminine plural ending ar is added. It is employed when a plurality of relatives is to be denoted, viz., dädäbaggar, brothers. A third form of the plural we find in kharra $\bar{a}$, sprout, which is, however, used exclusively in connection with the word children, no matter if these are male or female, viz., khaddkharrá, offspring. None of the above plural endings, viz., ar, guthiar, baggar and kharrā should ever be employed in connection with neuter nouns, which form their plural by adding guthi to the indefinite singular : add $d \overline{0}$, ox, addoguthi, oxen; man, tree, manguthi, trees. On the other hand, guthi must never be applied to substantives which are either masculine or feminine, except in the sense of a double plural in the feminine, e.g., mukkarguthi; in connection with the masculine plural form, guṭhi is thus used similarly to the Hindi lōg. Its meaning is "whole" from got $\bar{a}$, whole.
§12. We give in the following lines a conspectus of the singular and plural endings:-

|  | Masculine. | Feminine. | Neuter. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Singular: | as (def.) | - | - |
| Plural: | ar, guthiar. | ar, guthiar, guthi. | guthi. |
|  | baggar, kharrā. | baggar, kharrā. |  |

The same distinction of these three genders will appear further on in the pronouns and the conjugation of the verb. It must be noted, however, that though the $\mathbb{F u r u k h}$ has for the masculine and the feminine one and the same plural, or, in other words, a common gender in the plural; he has no singular for the feminine, but uses the neuter singular instead of it. Thus,
strictly speaking, there would be only two genders in Kurukh, viz., masculine and neuter, because each woman taken singly is treated grammatically as a thing or chattel, and only when in the plurality the Kurukh treats his women like men. Yet for this latter reason, and because there are in the pronoun special feminine forms and in the verb distinctly feminine inflectional forms, we deem it more appropriately to divide the Kurukh gender into masculine, feminine and neuter.

The number of masculine and feminine nouns being so very small in Kurukh, a list of the principal of them is given below:-
§ 13. List of masculine and corresponding feminine nouns.

| Ālas, | (def.) man ; | $\bar{a} l \bar{\imath}$, woman, wife. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mētas, | ", husband; | $m u k k \bar{a}$ and $\underline{\underline{k} h a i, ~ w o m a n, ~ w i f e . ~}$ |
| Bäbas, | father ; | ayō, mother. |
| Kukos, | boy; | kuko'e, girl. |
| Kukōkhadd, | male child ; | $k u k o^{\prime} \mathrm{e}$ khadd, female child. |
| Lēlêkhaddas or lèlles, | s, " male baby; | lèllēkhadd, female baby. |
| Jaunkhaddis, | son-in-law ; | kheddō, daughter-in-law. |
| Dharmēs, | God ; | dharmè, godhead (fem.). |
| Bēlas, | king; | $b \overline{\text { ì }} \boldsymbol{\overline { \imath }}$, queen. |
| Jỗkhas, | servant, (male); | pollō, servant (fem.). |
| $N a ̈ d a s$, | devil; | $n a \bar{d}$, evil spirit (fem.). |
| Bisähas, | wizard; | bisāhī, witch. |
| Dêorras, | sorcerer | dēõrā, sorceress. |
| Urbas, | master | urbnĩ, lady, mistress. |
| Naigas, | , priest; | naigni, the wife of the priest. |

## Special masculine and feminine nouns.

§14. When in speaking of irrational beings or neuter nouns, it is desirable to denote sex, the Kurukh prefixes to neuter nouns indicating quadrupeds and birds, the words andrā, male and burhi, female; e.g., andra bakra male tiger, burhi lakrā, tigress; so andrä kiss, boar; andrā osyā, mouse, etc. For sheep and goats the Kurukh employs the word bokrä to denote the male: bokra merhō, ram and bokrā êrā, he goat. For buffalo (mankhā) kaṛra and bhaiñs are used respectively; for dog (allä) kutlalläand kuttiallä. In
the case of birds kokrō is used for cock and katri for hen; thus kokrō murkhur, cock-pigeon and katri murkhur, hen-pigeon. Almost all these prefixes are, however, apparently borrowed from the Hindi vocabulary, and the distinction therefore is not originally a Kurukh one.

## B.-Case and Declension.

A. CASE.
§15. There are seven cases in Kurukh and only one declension.
The genitive case is formed by adding the postposition gahi to the nominative of the noun, definite or indefinite : àl gahi, of man, ālas gahi, of the man, alar gahi, of the men. Another form of the genitive or rather possessive case is ant $\bar{a}$, which ohanges the $a$ into $i$ when added to a consonant and drops the initial $a$ when affixed to a vowel ; padd $\bar{a}$ : paddantā, of the village. This possessive case is ordinarily used in connection with neuter verbs and is employed only when connection with a locality is to be denoted; e.g., iyantã amm, the water of this place; paddantà àlar, the people of the village.

In the dative the postposition $g \vec{e}$ is added : $\bar{a} l g \bar{e}$ and $\bar{a} l a s ~ g \bar{e}$, to the man.

The sign of the accusative is an, which becomes in in the definite nour masculine singular and plural and in the feminine plural; and drops its initial vowel when the nominative of the noun ends with a vowel : álan áasin, the man; àlarin, the men ; mukkan, the woman, mukkarin, the womeu; erpan, the house, erpaguthin, the houses.

The sign of the ablative is $t \bar{\imath}$ and $n t \bar{\imath}$; the latter form being used in nouns ending with a vowel for the sake of euphony ; e.g., $\bar{a}$ las $t \bar{i}$, from the man, alintī, from the woman. The sign of the ablative may be added to the accusative as well as to the nominative, as in âlarin tī, erpäguthin tì. As to the combination of the ablative with the locative, see § 60 on participles and the Syntax § 106.

The instrumental case having really the same case form as the ablative tri and tru which are virtually only variations of $t \bar{i}$, we combine these two cases in the declension of the noun.

In the locative the postposition $w \bar{u}$ in, on, is added to the nominative; also mễyã, on ; âlas nū, in the man; merkikh $\bar{a}$ mẽeyã, on heaven.

In the vocative $\bar{o}, a y$ and $a y \bar{o}$ are suffixed to the nouns: e. g., urb, master ; urbay, urbayō, $\mathbf{O}$ master ! urbarō, $\mathbf{O}$ masters ; mukkarō, $\mathbf{O}$ women! There is no vocative form for the plural of neuter nouns.

Besides the vocative suffix there is the vocative e or ana, which is prefixed : $\bar{e}$ urbayō or ana urbayō, 0 master! In the feminine nouns both suffix and prefix undergo a change : the final $\dot{a}$ of the former becoming $a i$, $\bar{e}$ mukkai, O woman ! (in the singular only) and the final $a$ of the latter also changing into ai, anai mukkai! There is a further change of this prefix ana when women talk to women, viz., ān khai, O daughter ! and ané khaiguthiarō, O daughters !
§ 16.

## B.-Examples of declension.

## Masculine.

Singular.

| $N$. | âl, àlas, | man, the man. | àlar, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G$ | àl, älas gahi, | of the man. | àlar gahi, |
| D. | $\bar{a} l$, âlas $g e \bar{e}$, | to the man. | àlar gè, |
| Acc. | àl, älan, älasin, | the man. | alarin, |
| Abl. and | àl tī, ālas $\stackrel{\imath}{\imath}$ tr. | from, by the man. | àlartì, ālarintì, |
| Vuc. | alayō, ē âlayō, | 0 man ! | $\overline{\text { e àlarō, }}$ |
| Loc. | àl, àlas nù | in the man. | àlar nù, |

## Feminine.

## Singular.

N. mukkä,
G. mukkä gahi,
D. mukkã gē,

Acc. mukkan,
Abl. mukkantī, and Instr.
Voc. $\bar{e}$ mukkai,
Loc. $m u k k \bar{a} n \bar{u}$,
woman. mukkar,
of the woman. mukkar gahi,
to the woman. mukkar $g \bar{e}$, the woman. mukkarin, from, by the mukkartī, mukkarintī woman.
0 woman. è mukkarō, in the woman. mukkar $n \bar{u}$,

Plural.
men, the men. of the men. to the men. the men. from, by the men.
0 men !
in the men.

Nouter.
Singular.
Plural.
N. alla, the dog.
G. allã gahi, of the dog.
D. alla $g \bar{e}$, to the dog.

Acc. allan, the dog.
Abl. allā $t \bar{\imath}$, allant $\bar{\imath}$ from, by the and Instr.
Voc. ē allā,
Loc. allā $n \bar{u}$,

0 dog!
in the dog.
allà guthi,
the dogs.
allã guthi gahi, of the dogs.
allä guthi gé, to the dogs.
allä guthin, the dogs. allã guthi tī or ntī from the dogs.
$\overline{\text { en allã guthi, }}$
O dogs ! allà guthi $n \bar{u}$, in the dogs.

CHAPTERIV.

## ADJECTIVES.

## A.-General remarks.

§17. Most adjectives in Kurukh are simply nouns of quality put to substantives just as they are, without regard to gender, number, or case. Example: mech $\bar{a}$, high, mechä, height; $\bar{z}$ mech $\bar{a}$ part $\bar{a} n \bar{u}$, on this high moun-

 cloth ; conh $\bar{a}$, love, conh $\bar{a} \cdot k h a d d$, beloved child; kharkhaj, bitterness, kharkhā mandar, bitter medicine; pannü, iron, pannä tarrī, iron sword; cācă, stone, $c a ̈ c a \vec{a} h a l$, stony field.

In the same way verbal nouns are used as adjectives without being subjected to any ohange whatever on account of the substantive which they are made to qualify: (infinitives): onnā, to eat, onn $\bar{a} \vec{a} l \bar{o}$, eatable thing;
 man; (past participle) : ebsrkä khaddas, prodigal son.

By adding the adverb lekh' $a$, like, to a noun and putting this before the noun to be qualified, another kind of adjeetives is formed, e.g., k khē̃so, blood,
 lekl' $\bar{a}$ billī, a whitish light. The same is the case with regard to the negative incomplete verb malk $\bar{a}$ or the negative adverb mal" $\bar{a}$, "not to be" or "to be not," which are added either to a noun or a verb, e.g., khadd malk $\bar{a} a ̈ l \bar{i}$, a childless woman, malmunjrnā ujjnā, endless (eternal) life.

By the sign of the possessive case antä being added to a noun for the purpose of qualifying a noun, another kind of ndjectives is formed, e.g., erpant $\bar{a} n \bar{e} g c a \bar{r}$, homely custom, merkhantà billī, heavenly light, ullantā nalakh, daily work. By the addition of the vowel $\bar{o}$ to the root of the verb we get a verbal adjective to the word $b \bar{\imath} r \bar{r}$, time: kālō-bīri, going time, argō-bīri, rising time, ōnō $\cdot \bar{\imath} r \boldsymbol{\imath}$, dinner time.

## B.-Comparison.

§18. The mode of comparison is very simple; the noun or pronoun to be compared is put in the nominative, and the noun or pronoun with whioh it is compared is put in the ablative, in the same way as in Hindi: Urbas jō̃has tī kōhä taldas, the master is greater than the servant. Nīn engantī sannī taldai, thou art smaller than I.

The superlative is formed in the same way, only the comparison is made by the word all ormar (masc. \& fem.) and urmi (neuter) instead of or along with the noun or pronoun to be compared: Ās ormartī kōhã talyas, he is the greatest of all ; $\bar{a} d$ ormä mukkart $\bar{\imath} k o ̄ h a ̈ a a^{\prime} \bar{\imath}$, she is greater than all the women. I man urmi manguthinti sanni tati, this tree is the smallest of all.

There are two other and perhaps more original ways of comparison in Kurukh : the first is by putting the substantive with which the subject is to be compared into the locative case: e.g., among his brethren even he was great: tañghai dädarnū asim kōhă ra'acas; and, secondly, by contrasting the nominatives to be compared; e.g., not this, but that one is great: isim malähūsim kōhä taldas.

## CHAPTER V.

## PRONOUNS.

## 1. Personal Pronouns.

## A.-Case signs.

§ 19. Strictly speaking, the personal pronoun of the Kurukh language has only two persons, first and second, the personal pronoun third person being really the demonstrative pronoun, thus ās kēras, ār kērar means not exactly : he went, they went, but rather : that one, those ones went.

The declension of the pronoun is similar to that of the noun, with the following deviations in the first and second person.

1. The nominative singular is not the stem of the pronoun, the stem being eing or $\ddot{i n g}$ in the first person, nïng in the second person, and narig in the collective plural.
2. Consequently, it will bo observed that the signs of the oblique cases are added to the stem and not to the nominative form.
3. The postposition of the genitive is not gali as in the noun, but hai, the latter being affixed to the stem eng: enghai, my or mine; stem ning and hai: ninghai, thy or thine; stem taing and hai: tanghai, his. This hai, or more properly hae, is apparently made up of $a e$, an old form of the demonstrative $\bar{a}$ or $\bar{a} s$, and the euphonical $h$ put between it and the stem. The postposition of the dative case is $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{a} g \bar{e}$ instead of $g \bar{e}$ in the dative case of the noun : $\dot{\operatorname{n}} \mathrm{g} \bar{a}$ and e égägé, to me. The acousative sign an is the same as in nouns with this deviation that it is never changed : engan, me. The ablative and instrumental case has $t \bar{\imath}$ or antī: eingtī or ényant $\bar{\imath}$, from me, by me. The locative also adds its case sign to the stem : eingnu, in me.
4. The plural case signs of the first and second persons are the same as those of the singular, while the plural signs of the third person exactly correspond with the plural case signs of the noun, but all of them are added to the nominative and not to the stem, except one of the two collective plural forms, viz., nainghai, our, and nangägē, to us, which add their case signs to the stem.
5. The Kurukh language has a double plural in the first person, viz., $\bar{e} m$ and näm. The former is used when the party addressed is excluded, and the latter when the party addressed is included; if this peculiarity is not strictly observed, a different sense will be convejed; e.g., if one of a dinner party were to call out to the servant: mandī ondr'a nām ōnōt, bring the dinner, we will eat! This would include the servant and convey to him an invitation; the sentence therefore should be mandī ondrā èm ōnōm.
6. The plural forms for the third person of neutral pronouns are ibrā and $a b r \bar{a}$, not $\tilde{a} r$, as for masculine and feminine pronouns.
7. On the vocative see the Syntax.
§20. B. - Declension of the Personal Pronoun.

## FIRST PERSON.

Singular.

| $N$. èn, | I. |
| :---: | :---: |
| G. Enghai | my , of me. |
| D. ė̇̇gâ, ė̇gāgè, | to me. |
| Acc. erigan, | me. |
| Ahl. eṅy tī,erigant $\bar{z}$ and Instr. | from or by me. |
| Loc.eing $n \bar{u}$, | in me. |

First Plural.
$\bar{e} m$, we. nām, we all.
emhai, of us. namhai, narighai,
 eman, us. naman, em tī, eman tī, from, namtī,namantī, naingtī, from, by by us. naígantī. em $n \bar{u}$, in us, nam n $\bar{u}, n a \dot{n} g n \bar{u}, \quad$ in us all.

## SECOND PERSON.

Singular.


## THIRD PERSON.

Singular.

## Masculine.

Feminine. Neuter.
$\bar{a} d$, she, it (that one).
ädigahi, her, of her, its, of it. $\bar{a} d i g e \bar{e}$, to her, to it.
àdin, her, it.
$\bar{a} d i t \bar{i}, \vec{a} d i n t \bar{t}$, from, by her, from by it.
$\bar{a} d i n \bar{u}$, in her, in it.

Remark.-For the sake of euphony the vowel $i$ is put between the stem and the postposition in the oblique cases of the singular in the feminine and neuter.

## Plural.

## Masculine. Feminine.

N. är, they, those.
G. ärgahi, their, of them.
D. $\bar{a} r g e \bar{e}$, them, to them.

Acc. ärin, them.
Abl. ārt̄̄, ärint̄̄ from, by and Instr. them.
Loc. är $n \bar{u}$, in them.

Neuter.
abra $\bar{a}$, they, those.
abrágahi, of those.
abräge , to those.
abran, those.
alruntiz, from, by those.
abrānü, in those.

## 2. Demonstrative Pronoun.

§21. As has been already stated, the third person of the personal pronoun masculine and feminine is in reality the remote demonstrative pronoun. The proximate form for the masculine singular is is (definite), and for the feminine and neuter $\bar{i} d$, the plural of the former being $i r$, whioh is also used for the feminine, while the plural of the neuter is $i b \dot{r} \dot{a}$; the remote demonstrative being $\bar{a} s, \bar{a} d, \bar{a} r$ and $a b r \bar{a}$ of which the declension has been given
above. The declension of the proximate is given below:-
Singular.
§22. Masculine.
N. is, this (man).
G. is gahi, of this.
D. is $g \bar{e}$, to this.

Acc. īsin, this.
Abl. īst̄̄ $\bar{u} \operatorname{sint} \bar{\imath}$, from, by this and Instr.
Loc. is $n \bar{u}$, in this

Feminine.
Neuter.

| $\bar{i} d$, this ( | (woman) | (neuter being). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| idigahi, of this | " | - " |
| ìdigë, to this | " | " |
| $\overline{\mathrm{z}}$ din, this | " | " |
| $\bar{i} d t \bar{i}, \bar{\imath} d i n t \bar{z}$, from by this | m, | " |
| - $\bar{i} d n \bar{u}$, in this | " | " |

Plural.

Masculine. Feminine.
N. ir, these (men), (women).
G. $\bar{z} r g a h i$, of these
D. $\overline{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{rg} \overline{\mathrm{e}}$, to these

Acc. irin, these
Abl. īrtī, īrintī, from,
and Instr. by these
Loc. $\bar{i} r n \bar{u}$ in these
" "
" "

There is another form for the remote demonstrative pronoun, which is used when remoteness is to be emphasized, viz., hüs for äs that man there, $h \bar{u} d$ for $\bar{a} \bar{d}$ that woman (or irrational being) there; hür for $\bar{a} r$, those men (or women) there; and hubre $\bar{a}$ for $a b r \bar{a}$, those (things, etc.) there. Before singular nouns the indefinite form $\bar{u}$ is used in all the three genders: $\bar{u}$ alas $t \bar{\imath}$, from that man there; $\bar{u}$ mukkant $\bar{\imath}$, from that woman there; $\bar{u}$ manant $\bar{\imath}$, from that tree there.

Preceding plural nouns, the indefinite of this remote demonstrative pronoun takes hubrä for each gender, e.g., hubrā älarin mēkh $\bar{a}$, call those men there; hubrā mukkarin mēkh $\bar{a}$, call those women there; hubrä khērguthin ondr' $\bar{a}$, bring those fowls there.

## §24. Declension of the remote demonstrative pronoun.

 Singular.> Masculine.
N. $h \bar{u} s$, that man.
G. hūsgahi, of that man.
D. $h \bar{u} s g e \bar{e}$, to that man.

Acc. hūsin, that man.
Abl. hūstī, hūsintī, from, by
and Instr. that man.
Loc. hūs $n \bar{u}$, in that man.

Feminine. Neuter.

| $h \bar{u} d$, that (woman, thing). |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hüdigahi, of that | " | " |
| $h \bar{u} d i g \bar{e}$, to that | " | " |
| hüdin, that | " | ", |
| $h \bar{u} d t \bar{t}, h \bar{u} d i n t \bar{\imath}$, from, by that | " | " |
| $h \bar{u} d n \bar{u}$, in that | " | " |

Plural.


Loc. hūur $n \bar{u}$, in those men

## 3. Possessive Pronouns.

§ 25. The genitives of the personal and demonstrative pronouns stand for possessives.

## First person.

Sing. erighai, my.
Pl. emhai, our.
" $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { namhai } \\ \text { nanghai }\end{array}\right\}$ our.

Second person.
ninghai, thy. nimhai, your.

In connection with nouns $i b r \bar{a}$ and $a b r \bar{a}$ are used when a collective sense is to be implied, e.g., ibra $\bar{a}$ älar gahi erp $\bar{a}$, the house of all these men, abr $\bar{a}$ mukkar gahi kicrī, the garment of all those women.

Possessive pronouns may be used as nouns. Accordingly the genitive of the personal and demonstrative pronouns has a declension.
§ 26.
N. enghai,
G. eñghai gahi,
D. eñghai gè,
A. enghain,
A. enghaintri, and $I$.
L. enghain $n$,

## First person.

## Singular.

| my own (people or property). |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| of my own | ditto. |
| to my own | ditto. |
| my own | ditto. |
| by my own | ditto. |
| in my own | ditto. |

First plural.
emhai,
emhai gahi,
emhai ge, emhain, emhaintī, emhai $n \bar{u}$,
our own (people or property).
of our own ditto.
to our own ditto.
our own ditto.
from, by our own ditto.
in our own ditto.

## Collective plural.
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| N. | nïnghai, |
| :--- | :--- |
| G. | nïnghai gahi, |
| D. | nïnghai gē, |
| A. | nïnghain, |
| A. | nïnghaint $\bar{\imath}$, from,, |
| and I. | nirighai $n \bar{u}$, |

Second person.
Singular.
thy own (people or property).
of thy own ditto. to thy own ditto.
thy own ditto.
by thy own ditto.
in thy own ditto.

Plural.

| nimhai, | your own (people or property). |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| nimhaigahi, | of your own | ditto. |
| nimhai gè, | to your own | ditto. |
| nimhain, | your own | ditto. |
| nimhaint $\overline{,}$ | from, by your own | ditto. |
| nimhai nū, | in your own | ditto. |

§ 28.
Third person.
For the third person the reflexive pronoun (which see later on) is used, except in the accusative, ablative and locative case of either gender. Examples:-

Enghai gahi ālōguthi, the things of my own.
$\bar{A}$ tī einghai gē baggì khakhrā, from that profit accrued to my own.
$\bar{E} n$ ninghaintū hōon, I will take from thy own.
$\bar{A} s$ gahin menä, hear him, i.e., the words of him ; $\bar{r}$ gahin hōa , take away their belongings; abrā gahin hebr' $\bar{a}$, throw away the belongings of those.

The genitive of the plural form guthiar and guthi of course may also be substantivized and declined, viz., ärguthiar gahin hōa, take away the
belongings of all those; id abräguthi gahin mokkha, this (neuter) has eaten the thing which belonged to those (neuter).

Before words denoting relatives the possessive pronoun is not prefixed in full, but only the stem, which is compounded with the noun into ono word; e.g. embas, our father; nimbas, your father, etc. For a full list of these words see the Syntax § 115.

## 4. Reflexive pronouns.

§29. The reflexive pronouns are tān, self, one's own; and täm, selves, own. They are used quite similarly to the Hindi $\bar{a} p$, self, one's self, one's own, and stand with their different case forms as substitutes for the possessive pronoun third person, whenever it is connected with or represents such pronoun standing in the nominative case.

Declension.

## Singular.

$N$. tän, self, one's own.
G. tarighai, of self, own.
D. tañgāgē tañgā, to self,own.
A. tarigan, self, own.
A. and tangantī, from, by self, I. own.
L. tanig $n \bar{u}$, in self, own.

## Plural.

tām, selves, own.
tamhai, of selves, own. tamáge tamá, to selves, own. taman, selves, own.
$t \bar{a} m t \bar{\imath}$, from, by selves, own.
tām $n \bar{u}$, in selves, own.

Examples-
Gen. äs tañghai erpä kēras, he went to his own house.
Dat. - är taingāgé bidyar, they searched for themselves.
Acc. - àd tarigan laucā, she beat herself.
Abl. abrā tāmt̄̄ keccā, they (neuter) died from themselves.
Loc. $\bar{a} r$ tãm tām $n \bar{u} b \bar{a} c a r$, they said within or among themselves.
§30. For the sake of emphasis im is added to the reflexive pronoun tän thus, $\overline{\text { äs }}$ tanim kēras, he went himself.

As to the reflexives of the first and second person it must be observed that the different cases of the personal pronouns are used as substitutes, viz :
èn enghai e?pā kirkan, I went to my own house.
èm emãgè tiddkam, we searched for ourselves.
näm naman ēröt, we will see ourselves.
nìn niigtī êh $\overline{\text { a }}$, walk from, i.e., of thyself.
nim nīm $n \bar{u}$ bedduä, seek among yourself.
nim nimhai erpã kală, go to your (own) home.
For the first and second persons the personal pronouns serve as reflexives: èn engan laudan, I beat myself; nin ningan laudai, thou beatest thyself; èm eman laudan, we beat ourselves; nïm niman laudar, you beat yourselves; näm naman laudat, we (collectively) beat ourselves.

The repetition of the personal pronouns has also the foree of a reflexive: $\bar{e} m$ èm $n \bar{n}$, means, among ourselves. $n \bar{i} m n \bar{i} m n \bar{u}$, among yourselves. är tān tām $n \bar{u}$ kucnakrälugyar, they were talking among themselves.

## 5. Interrogative pronouns.

§31. There are five interrogative pronouns in Kurukk, viz:-
né and $\bar{e} k a ̈$, both meaning "who?" and "which ?", and endr, endrä and èkdà meaning " what?" and "which ?"
$n \bar{e}$ is always used indefinitely and stands only for masculine and feminine nouns; it is treated, however, as being neuter, even though it stands for a masculine noun; see example below; $\bar{e} k \tilde{a}$ is always used definitely in connection with nouns or their substitutes; it is applicable to all genders.

Thus, nē barca , who came? but "what man came?" must be rendered $\bar{e} k \bar{a} \bar{a}$ alas barcas? Ne is the nominative of the stem nēk, the declination of which is given below :-

## Singular and Plural.

§32. N. nē, who, which: $\bar{a} d n e \bar{c} t a l i$, who is she?
G. nêkhai, whose: ìd nêkhai erpā ra't, whose house is this?
D. nêkāgè to whom: nêkăāe or nêkā cickai, to whom did you give?
Acc. nēkan, whom: nēkan lauckar, whom did you beat?

Abl. and Instr. nēkantī, nēktī, from, by whom: nēkantī khhakhkai, from whom did you get?
Loc. $n \bar{e} \bar{k} \bar{i} n \bar{u}$, in whom : nēk nū khakhor, in whom will you find?
$\bar{e} k \bar{a}$ is not declinable, neither does it change its final vowel: $\bar{e} k \bar{a} \bar{a} l a s$ barcas, ểhā ālī barcá, ēkā addē barcā, who, i.e., what man, woman, etc., came? $\bar{e} k \bar{a}$ man khatrā, what tree fell? èkāālas gali erpā, what man's house? $\bar{e} k \bar{a}$ mukkar gee cickai, to which woman hast thou given? èk $\bar{a}$ man nū nerr tali, on which tree is the snake?

Pefore words signifying rulatives in the genitive case the form $\bar{e} k \tilde{a}$ is not commonly prefixed as before other nouns, but the stem of the indefinite pronoun ne is applied, i.e., nēk instead of the genitive case; thus; nēk tangdai taldai, whose son art thou? rēel tambas talyas, whose father is this? nēkhai tarigdai talllai and nēkhai tambas talyas; would be considered ambiguous.
§ 33. Endr is declined like $n \bar{e}$ :

## Singular and plural.

| N. endr, | what. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G. endr gahi, | of what. |
| D. endr gè, | to what. |
| Acc. endran, | what. |
| Abl. endrtī, endrantī, | from, by what. |
| and Instr. |  |

Loc. endr $n \bar{u}$, in what.
Endr is used both definitely and indefinitely: Endr ra', what's the matter? Endr àlı̀ barcā, what (kind of) woman came? It stands for all genders, viz, äs endr älas talyas, what (kind of) man is he? Endr bīnkō ethra, what (kind of) star appeared.

Endr is also used as an exclamation of surprise : endr às argà barcas, what, has he not come yet?

Endr in combination with $k \bar{a}$, or, is even used as a disjunctive: endr mukkar ra'anar ka mètar talyar ärin ormarin éd' $\bar{a}$, whether they be women or men call them all! Endr urbar kā kīrar ormar khēor, whether rich or poor, all will die. The $k \vec{a}$ (or) may also be dispensed with and endr repeated in order to convey the same disjunctive sense, viz., endr mètar endr mukkar ormārin kôrā ciä, whether men or women, let them all come in.

Like èkā so endr is used also as an adverb, e.g., ìd endr lekh'am ra'it, what like is this? Nīn endrge barckai? wherefore hast thou come?
§ 34. Endrā, what, is used only indefinitely: endrā ra' $\bar{z}$, what is it? endrā bäon, what shall I say? cndrā is therefore declined like ne :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { N. } & \text { endr } \bar{a}, & \text { what. } \\
\text { G. endrà } g a h i, & \text { of what. } \\
\text { D. endrä } g \bar{e}, & \text { to what. } \\
\text { A. endran, } & \text { what. } \\
\text { A. endrät } \bar{\imath}, n t \bar{i}, & \text { from, by what. } \\
\text { L. endrān } n, & \text { in what. }
\end{array}
$$

§35. The difference between endr and endra will be seen from the following instances, viz., in connection with the nominative, genitive, dative, ablative and locative cases.

1. $\bar{A} s$ endr manos, what will become of him? but às endrā manos, what will he be like? The former referring to condition, the latter to quality.
2. Ìl endrgahi ra' $\bar{i}$ ? Of what cause is this? but $\bar{i} d$ endrä galii ra' , of what kind is this?
3. $\bar{A} d$ endrī $k a m r k i \bar{\imath} r a ' \bar{\imath}$, of what has this been made ? referring to the action by which the thing has been made ; but $\bar{i} d$ endrä $\bar{t} \bar{\imath} k a m r k i \bar{\imath} r a ' \bar{\imath}$, refers to the article out of which a certain thing has been made.
4. Endr gè käon, meaning "wherefore should I go?" but endrāg gē $k a ̃ o n$, means " what for should I go?" Endr is used both for persons and things, endra only for things, the one implying cause, the other purpose.
5. Endr nu khakhon, in what shall I find? refers to action or cause, by which a eertain object is acquired, but endi $\bar{a} n \bar{u}$ khakhon? refers to the place or condition only in which that thing may be found.
$\S 36$. There is a special form of the dative case for the indefinite interrogative, $e^{n d r}$ and encirä, viz., endrná, why, wherefore or what for: endrnäa kãon, why, wherefore or what for should I go? It is used when prohibition, censure or hindranci is implied, e.g. nīn endrnà kão'e, why should you go? i.e., you uught not to go; ēn endrnä mal möchon, why shonld I not
eat? i.e., there is no hindrance. Nìm endrnä kirkar, why did you go? i.e., you ought not to have gone.
§37. $\bar{E} k d \bar{a}$, which one, is an indefinite interrogative pronoun, used for neuter substantives only.

The declination endings are the same as in the noun, viz. -
Singular and plural.

| $N$. $e^{e} k d \bar{a}$, | what, which. |
| :---: | :---: |
| G. ēkldà gahi, | of what. |
| D. è êdā $g$ ẽ, | to what. |
| Acc. èkdan, | what, which. |
| Abl. èkedant̄̄, | from, by what. |
| d Instr. |  |
| Loc. êkdã nū, | in what. |

$\S 38$. $\bar{E} k d \bar{a}$ is employed for endr and endrä whenever a certain irrational object is distinctly understood.

Its use will be seen from the following sentences: $\bar{e} k d \bar{a}$ barc $\bar{a}$, which one came? (a number of the same kind being understood); i$d \bar{e} \bar{k} d \bar{a} g a h i$ tati, of which one is this? (other things of the same kind being understood); ekdāge cion, to which one shall I give? i.e., to which one among other animals; ēkdan cäjkar, which one did you select? i.e., out of whioh certain things; ēkdantītārkai, with which one (e.g., ax) did you cut? Ekdā nū ra'acā in which one (ressels being, for example, understood) was it?
§ 39. There are some other indefinite interrogative pronouns, viz., $\bar{e} b a g g \grave{\imath}$ (ēbaggé, êbaggu); how many, how much ? and $\bar{e} \overline{\tilde{o}}$ and $\bar{e} \bar{o} d \bar{a}$, how many ones, how much especially? and èkässe, ēkanne, how? Out of these $\bar{e} \overline{\tilde{o}}$ is especially used in connection with nouns denoting time: ē $\overline{\tilde{O}}$ cān, how many years? $\bar{e} \overline{\bar{O}}$ bệrā ra'ı, what o'clock is it? The difference between ébaggī and its other forms and $\bar{e} \overline{\tilde{o}} d \vec{a}$ seems to be that the former denotes quantity in general, and the latter in particular: e.g., if it is asked: ēbaggĩ ãlar barcar, how many
 alar barcar, we have in mind the individuals of which that number is made up; again: êbaggı̄ kaikk, how much fuel? èbaggı̄ tīkhil, how much rice? refers to the general quantity, but $\tilde{\bar{e}} \bar{o} d \bar{a} k a \dot{n} k$ and $\tilde{e} \overline{\tilde{O}} d \bar{a} t \bar{i} k h i l$ refers to the units
(measures, eto.) of which that quantity is made up. In exclamations of surprise both $\tilde{\bar{e}} \overline{\bar{O}}$ and bagg $\bar{z}$ are combined: $\overline{\bar{e}} \overline{\bar{O}}$ bagg $\bar{z}$, how much? like the Mindī : kitnä balut!
$\bar{E} b a g g \bar{\imath}$ and $\bar{e} o \bar{\alpha} d \bar{a}$ may be turned into plural nouns by adding the case signs of the plural to them, e.g., nìm ébaggir or éõdar ra'adar, how many, i.e., how many ones are you? Ē̄̃̄däguthi burcă, how many (oxen) have come?
$\vec{E} b a g g \stackrel{a}{a}$ and $\tilde{e} \bar{o} d \bar{a}$ are declined in the same manner as all other pronouns, viz., élaggı̄ gahi, of how many, much? $\bar{e} \overline{\tilde{o}} \bar{a} g e \bar{e}$, to how many, much? èbaggin (acc.) how many, much? ē̃̃ dantī, from how many, much? ébaggī nū, in how many, much ? e.g., èbaggī gahi bārē nū ändai, of how many do you speak? ē̄̃dägē rickai, to how many did you give? ébaggin ondrkai, how much did you bring? ē̃̃dāntī biddkai, from how many did you ask? èbaggī nū khakho'e, in how much will you find? $\bar{E} k a \overline{a s s e}$ and ēkanne have the meaning of "how" the former implying condition, the latter denoting manner. Examples: nīn ēkāsse ra'adai, nīn èkkanne ra'adai, both meaning "how do you do?" but the former refers to the condition, the latter to the manner of living or doing; èkässe käo'e, êkanne liāo' e, how will you go? the former denotes the condition of, the latter the manner of goieg.

In the locative case ēkāsse and ēkanne stand as nouns : èn ekiasse nūu ujjon or êkanne nūu ujon, how shall I live? the former again referring to the condition, and the latter to the manner of living.

## 6. Indefinite Pronouns.

§ 40. The indefinite pronouns in Kurukh are formed from the interrogatives $n \bar{e}$, êk $k \bar{\alpha}$ and endr, viz., nilk'im, nīd'im, èk'ām and endr' $\bar{a} m$, indr'im.

These stand for both numbers, singular and plural: èk' $\bar{a} m$ is used only before nouns and has different meanings : any one, èl'am ortäsin mëkhā call any one or ék' äm ortan (masc. and fem.) and ēk'ām ortin (fem.) mēkh $\bar{a} \bar{a}$; a cortain: è $k^{\prime}$ äm torang $n \bar{u}$ ont $\bar{a} k \bar{o} h \bar{a}$ lakrā ra'acā, there was a big tiger in a forest ; one: $\bar{e} k ' \bar{a} m ~ u l l a ̄ ~ n \bar{u} ~ e m n e ̀ ~ m a n j \bar{a}$, it happened one day; some: $\bar{e} k$ 'ām khirrì teñgä, tell some story. Indr'im is also used before nouns only and has the meaning of "any one" (thing) ; indr'im manan tära, cut any tree; endr'im, something : endr'im $c i^{\prime} \dot{a}$, give something.

Nik'im and nid'im are always used as substitutes for nouns of both numbers; their meaning is "anyone."
$N \bar{z} k^{\prime} i m$ (or nīd'im) bar'ō, hole engan tengā, if anyone comes, let me know.
Whosoever: nìk'im (or nàd'im) pattōr ār bacróor, anyone (i.e., whosoever) believes, will be saved. Somebody, a certain: nīk'im (or nīd'im khīrī̀ terigälagyä, somebody was telling stories.

Endr or endr'ädim, anything : endr'adim manō holo teígā, if anything happens, tell me; endr'ädim barō, ảdin chēk' $\bar{a}$, anything or whatsoever comes stop it.
§41. Declension of èk'am (nèk'äm) any one.
$N$. èk' ${ }^{\prime} m$ or $n e \bar{e} k^{\prime} \dot{a} m$, any one, etc.
G. nēkhaidim, of any one.
D. nêk'àm gè or nêkāgem, to any one.

Acc. nēk'ānim,
Abl. nēk'antì, and Instr.
Loc. nēk'im or nēkhai nūm, in any one.
Nēkhaidim tempan khakhkan, -I found the stick of somebody.
Nīn nēkä'am (nēkāgem) cickai, did you give to anybody?
Nikänim ḍahere $n \bar{u}$ khakhkai, did you find somebody on the road?
Nēkim tī ebsrā, from or by whom was it lost?
Ennē conhā nēkhai nūm malä, such love is in no oue.
§42. Declension of endr or endr' $\begin{gathered}\text { dim, anything. }\end{gathered}$
$N$. endr, endr'àdim, anything.
.G. endr'ämgahi, inär'imgahi,
D. endr'ämgé, indr'imgè,

Acc. endr'änim,
Abl. endr'amti, and Instr.
Loc. endr' $\bar{a} m \bar{u}, \quad$ in anything.
$\bar{I} d$ indr'imgahi kamrkī ra'ī of anything this has been made.
$\bar{A}$ sgahi erpā $n \bar{u}$ erdr'im gé addē mal khak̉hrā, there was not room for nnything in his house.
§ 43. Other indefinite pronouns are:
Some one or other: nād'im, nìd'imgã : nid'im nīd'im gusan gā dhibuà manō, some one or other will have money.

All : ormá, ormar, urmi, the first two for masculine and feminine only, the latter for feminine and nouter nouns.

Some or little more: jokendr'im or endr'im jok.
Taman, many: taman álar barcar, a multitude of men came.
Jokendr'im amm ci'a, give some more water.
Something or other, indr'im indr'r'im gà. Example: à duahare nū kerkant $\bar{\imath}$ indr'im indr'im gá khakhrō, if you go that way, you will find somothing or other.
§44. The numerals with an indefinite pronominal sense are ort, one, for masculine and feminine; ont $\bar{n}$, one for neuters: ort barcas, one came; ontan $c i \bar{a}$, give one; irbar (irbarim), irbarim barōr, both of them will come.

Nannä, other, nannas, the other (for mascuine), nannar plural masculine and feminine. En nannà ulla n $\bar{u} k \bar{a} ’ o n$. I will go on another day.
§ 45. By adding the emphatio postposition $h \tilde{\bar{o}}$ and the negative adverb mala we get the following indefinite pronouns: not one, not even one $=$ ort hō̃ malā for masculine and feminine; ont $\bar{a} h \overline{\tilde{o}}$ malā for neuters;
 none, not even one.

## 7. Relative pronouns.

§46. There are no relative pronouns in Kurukh; whenever the Hindì (Gaõwārī) relatives je and se are employed, it is done contrary to the idiom of the Kurukh language, which forms its relative and correlative sentences in a peculiar way, about which see the Syntax.

## CHAPTER VI.

## THE VERB.

## A.-Introductory remarks.

§ 47. The Kurukh verb has only one jconjugation, the terminations of which are alike in all tenses, excepting the past, which has four different endings. There are two voices, the Active and the Passive ; and six moods, viz., the Indicative, the Conjunctive, the Conditional, the Imperative, the Infinitive and the Participle.

The Rurukh verb has three principal tenses: the present indefinite, the historical past and the future; and four subtenses, viz., the definite present, the imperfect, the perfect and the pluperfect.

There are three genders, the masculine, feminine and the neuter; and two numbers, the singular and the plural.
$\S 48$. It must be borne in mind that in Kurukh only men are considered to be of the masculine gender and only women as to be of the feminine gender, and that accordingly all irrational beings are neuter. It is further to be noted that when men speak to women or about women they treat them as equals and address them or talk about them as if they were of the masculine gender, excepting the second person singular, for which alone the feminine form of the verb is used. It would be considered rather indecent if men were to speak about women or address a plurality of women, not using the masculine form of the verb. On the other hand it would be very improper if women in addressing men were to talk about themselves or other women as being of the feminine geuder, and even in this case women will figure as men and use the masculine form of the verb only, escluding again the second person singular, for which they will employ the feminine. Consequently in the conjugation of the verb the form for the feminine gender is entirely reserved for the conversation of women among themselves, with the single exception of the second person feminine singular, which men and women alike will always treat as feminine.

The singular of the neuter verb is identical with the feminine singular number, yet in the plural they differ from each other so far as the Kurukh neuter verb has no plural at all; for even if the Kurukh talks of a plurality of irrational beings, he treats them as if they were a single being only, even though he denotes this plurality by affixing the plural sign to the noun or uses the plural form of the pronoun. The second and third person plural neuter gender take therefore the form of the second and third person singular, feminine gender.
§ 49. In analyzing an inflected verb of the Rurukh language we fiud that it consists of three distinct parts, viz., the root or modified stem, the tense characteristic and the inflectional ending; the latter being nothing else but the pronoun or the modified form of a noun or pronoun, with which the verb is connected. The following examples will illustrate this. We take the verb esna, to break. The tense characteristic for the present indefinite, masculine gender is $d a$, which changes into $n a$ in the third person plural. Feminine and neuter verbs take $d a$ only in the second person, as for the first and third person they simply add the pronoun or its modificd form to the pronoun, en, $\mathbf{I}$, es, (the root) da, the tense characteristic and $\bar{e} n$, the pronoun, being added to the latter as the inflectional ending ( $a$ and $\bar{e}$ beroming a) gives $d a n$, én esdan, I break: $\bar{a} s$, he, es, the root, $d a$ the tense oharacteristic and $\bar{a} s$ he, the pronoun being postfixed gives das: äs esdus, he breaks : e $m$, we, es, root, $d a$ the tense characteristic, em the pronoun being joined with $d a=d a m=e \bar{e} m$ esdam, we break: $\bar{e} n, I$ (feminine) es, the root, and $\bar{e} n$, the pronoun preceding the root being added in repetition as the inflection ending produces the word es'èn: èn es'èn, I break; ninn, thou, es, $d a$, the tense characteristic, and ai or rather ae probably an old form for thou, gives dai nin esdai, thou breakest; nim, you, es-da-ār : esdar, you break; är, they, es $n$-är, they break; näm es-d-at we and you break. It is impossible at the present to explain the original meaning of the tense characteristios or of all the pronominal inflectional endings of the verb; however, the above will be sufficient to show that in Kurukh as well as in other Dravidian languages the inflectional ending of the verb is a pronominal one.
§50. The tense characteristic for the past tense takes four different forms, dividing thus the Kurukh verbs into four classes, viz., for the masculine
gender $k a, k a$, cka and $j k a$ and for feminine $\bar{a}, y \bar{a}, c^{\prime} \bar{a}$ and $j^{\prime} a$; e.g., èn eskan, I broke: es the root, ka the tense characteristic, and $n$ the pronoun (ēn) affixed to the latter.

For the future the tense characteristic is the vowel $o$ in all persons, numbers and genders; e.g., èn es'on; es the root, o the tense characteristic, $\bar{e} n$ the pronoun combined with the former, gives es'on, I will break.

The tense characteristio of the definite present is the consonant $l$ which is placed between the modified stem of the verb and the tense characteristic of the indefinite present; e.g., èn es'äldan; es $\bar{a}$ the modified stem, $l$ the tense characteristio of the definite present, $d a$ the tense characteristic of the indefinite present, and $n$ ( $\bar{e} n$ ) the pronoun being adjoined gives es'a $\bar{a}-l-d a-n$ cs'äldan, I am breaking.

The remaining tenses, i.e., the imperfect, perfect and pluperfect, have no special tense characteristics, but are formed with the aid of auxiliary verbs.

There is no past future in Kurukh, on the formation of which see later on.

## B. - Conjugation.

The active verb esnā, to break.

## INDICATIVE MOOD.

§ 51. Of the first class, forming the past by the insertion of the tense characteristic $k a$ for masculine and $\bar{a}$ for feminine and neuter.

## PRESENT INDEFINITE TENSE.

Masculine.
Sing. ēn esdan, I break.
nin esdai, thou breakest. às esdas, he breaks.
Pl. èm esdam, we break.
I\&II nām esdat, we and you break. nìm esdar, you break. är esnar, they break.

Feminine.
èn es'èn, I break. nin isdī, thou breakest. $\bar{a} d$ is' i , she (it) breaks. $\bar{e} m$ es'èm, we break. nam esdat, we and you break. nìm esdai, you break. är esnai, they break.

# NEUTER PLURAL II \& III PERSONS. $n \bar{\imath} m$ isd $\bar{\imath}, i b r \cdot \bar{a}$ or $a b r \cdot \bar{a}$ is' $\bar{i}$, you, they break. 

## PAST TENSE.

Masculine.
Sing. èn eskan, I broke. nīn eskai, thou brokest. ās es'as, he broke.
Pl. èm eskam, we broke. nām eskat, we and you broke. nīn eskar, you broke. $\bar{a} r \cdot$ es'ar, they broke.

Feminine.
en es'an, I broke. nin iski, thou brokest. $\bar{a} d e s^{\prime} \bar{a}$, she, it broke. èm es'am, we broke. $n \bar{a} m$ eskat, we and you broke. nim esliai, you broke. ar es'a $a$, they broke.

NEUTER PLURAL II AND III PERSON. $n \bar{i} m$ isk $\bar{i}, i b!\bar{a} \bar{a}$ or $a b r \bar{a}$ es' $\bar{a}$, you, they broke.

## FUTURE TENSE.

## Masculine.

Sing. èn es'on, I shall or will break. nîn es'oo, thou shalt or wilt break. $\bar{a} s e s^{\prime} \bar{o} s$, he shall or will break.
Pl. èm es'om, we shall or will break. $n a \bar{m}$ es'ōt, we and you shall or will break.
nīm es'or, you shall or will break. $\bar{a} r$ es'o$r$, they shall or will break.

Feminine. èn es'on, I shall or will kreak. $n i n e s$ 'oe, thou shalt or wilt break. $\bar{a} d e 8^{\prime} \bar{o}$, she, it shall or will break. $\bar{e} m$ ts'om, we shall or will break. nom es'ōt, we and you shall or will break.
nìm es'or, you shall or will break. $\bar{a} r e s ' o n r$, they shall or will break.

## NEUTER PLURAL II AND III PERSON.

 nīm es'oe, ibrā or abrā es' $\bar{o}$, you, they will break.
## PRESENT DEFINITE TENSE.

§52. This tense has two different forms, the one being the original, but in use only among people who have not been influenced by Hindi, and
the other being a Rurukhizel Hindi form. The former takes as its tense characteristic the consonant $l$ added to the modified stem of the verb, to which again the inflectional ending of the present definite is affixed; the latter is formed with the aid of the Hindi verb lagnä, to commence.

## I. Masculine.

Sing. èn es'äldan, I am breaking.

Pl. èm es'äldam, we are breaking. $n a ̃ m e s ' a ̈ l d a t$, we and you are breaking. nı̄m es'äldar, you are breaking. $\bar{a} r$ es'älnar, they are breaking.

Feminine. $e s^{\prime} a l^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \bar{n}, \mathrm{I}$ am breaking. c.s'äldī, thou art breaking. $c s^{\prime} \bar{a} l^{\prime} \bar{\lambda}$, she, it is breaking. $e s^{\prime} \bar{l} l^{\prime} \bar{e} m$, we are breaking. es'äldat, we and you are breaking.
$e s^{\prime}$ äldai, you are breaking. cs'alnai, they are breaking.

## NEUTER II AND III, PERSON, PLURAL.

$n \bar{i} m e s^{\prime} \bar{a} l d \bar{z}, i b!{ }^{\prime} \bar{a}$ or $a b r a \bar{a} e s^{\prime} \bar{a} l^{\prime} \bar{z}$, you, they are breaking.
II. The root of the Hindi verb lagná is inserted between the modified stem and the inflectional endings of the definite present.

> Masculine.

Sing. èn es'ālagdan :
" nĩn es'ālagdai:
" ás es'älaglas:
Pl. èm es'älagdam:
nām es'älagdat :
nïm es'älagdar:
àr es'ölagnar:

Femin e.
èn es'älag'èn, I am breaking. $n \bar{i} n$ es'ālagdī, thou art breaking. $\bar{a} d$ es'álag', he, she, it is braaking. $\bar{e} m e s^{\prime}$ àlag'êm, we are breaking. $n a ̄ m$ es'álagdat, we and you are breaking.
$n \bar{m} m$ es'ālagdai, you are breaking.
$\bar{a} r$ es'älagnai, they are breaking

## NEUTER II AND III, PERSON, PLURAL.



## IMPERFECT TENSE.

This tense apparently is not an original Kurukh one. It is formed by adding the Kurukhised inflectional past of the Hiudi verb lagnä to the modified stem of the verb, viz:-

Masculine.
Sing. ènes'äl akkan: èn es'álagyan, I was breaking.
" nīn es'ālakkai: nīn es'älakkī, thou wast breaking.

Pl. èm es'älakkam: èm es'älagyam, we were breaking. nām es'älakkat: nām es'älakkat, we and you were breaking. nìm es'ālakkar: nīm es'álakkai, you were breaking. $\bar{a} r$ es'älagyar: àr es'älagyai, they were breaking,

## NEUTER II AND III, PLURAL.

$n i ̄ m$ es'älakkī, $\vdots b r a \bar{a}$ or $a b r a \bar{a}$ es'ālagy $\bar{a}$, you, they were breaking.

## PERFECT TENSE.

§53. This tense is formed with the aid of the Kurukh auxiliary be$\vec{e} e n a \bar{a}$, to be; which, however, is incomplete, having only the indefinite present tense, viz:-

Masculine.
Sing. èn bēedan: nīn.bēedai:
" $\bar{a} s$ bëe edas:
Pl. $\bar{e} m$ béedam:
" nām be’edat :
, nī̀m bēedar:-
" är béevar :

Feminine.
$\bar{e} n \mathrm{be}{ }^{\prime} \bar{e} n, \mathrm{I}$ am.
$n \bar{i} n b \bar{i} \cdot i d \bar{i}$, thou art.
$\bar{a} d \bar{b}_{\bar{a}} \bar{i}$, he, she, it is.
èmbe'èm, we are.
nām bēedat, we and you are.
$n \bar{z} m$ bēedai, you are.
$\bar{a} r$ béenai, they are.

NEUTER II AND III, PLURAL. $n \bar{a} m b \bar{i} \cdot \hat{d} \bar{\imath}, i b!\cdot \bar{a}$ or $a b r a \bar{a} b \bar{i} \hat{i}$, you, they are.

In forming the perfect tense of a verb the above auxiliary is added to the full inflected form of the past tense of that verb, viz:-

Masculine.
Sing. èn eskan bé edan : $n \bar{\imath} n$ eskai $b \vec{e}$ 'edai: ās eskas bē'ellas:
Pl. èm eskam béedam :

Feminine. $\bar{e} n$ iskin $l \vec{e} \bar{e} \bar{e} n, ~ I ~ h a v e ~ b r o k e n . ~$ $n \bar{\imath} n$ isk $\bar{i} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} d \bar{i}$, thou hast broken. $\bar{a} d$ iski $b \vec{r}^{\prime} \bar{i}$, he, she, it has broken. $\bar{e} m$ iskim $b \vec{e} ’ \bar{e} m$, we have broken. $n d i m$ eskat bè edat, we and you have broken. $n i ̄ m ~ e s k a r ~ b e ̄ ’ e d a r: ~ n i ̄ m ~ e s k a i ~ b e ' e d a i, ~ y o u ~ h a v e ~ b r o k e n . ~$
$\bar{a} r ~ e s k a r ~ b e ́ ' e n a r: ~ a ̀ r ~ e s k a i ~ b e ́ e n a i, ~ t h e y ~ h a v e ~ b r o k e n . ~$

## NEUTER \| AND III, PLURAL.

$n \bar{\imath} m$ isk $\bar{\imath} b \bar{\imath} \dot{i} d \bar{\imath}, i b r \bar{a}$ or $a b r a \bar{a}$ isk $\bar{\imath} l \bar{i} \bar{\imath}$, you, they have broken.

## The auxiliary verb ra'anā.

§54. It is supposed that this auxiliary, with the aid of which the pluperfect tense is formed, is the Hindi verb rahna, to remain; however, if this be so, then the Kurukhising of it has been completed in an almost perfect manner, for it is conjugated in all tenses except the pluperfeot. In Kurukh it is written ra'ana and belongs to the second class of verbs, which form their tense characteristio of the historical past by ck for masculine and c'a for feminine and neuter verbs. Before proceeding with the pluperfect it will be therefore necessary to give the conjugation of the verb ra'anā.

## Present indefinite tense.

Masculine.
Sing. èn ra'adan:
" nīn ra’adai:
às ra'adas or ra'as :
Pl. èm ra'adam:
näm ra'adat:
nīm ra'adar :
ar ra'anar :

## Feminine.

èn ra'an, I remain.
nīn ra'adī, thou remainost.
$\bar{a} d r a ' \bar{a}$, he, she, it remains.
èm ra'èm, we remain.
nām ra'adat, we and you remain.
nā̀ ra'adai, you remain.
är ra'anai, they remain.

NEUTER II AND III, PLURAL.
nzm ra'ad̄,$i b y \cdot \bar{a}$ or $a b y \bar{a} r a ' \bar{i}$, you, they remain.

## Present definite.

Masculine.
Feminine.
Sing. ēn ra'alagdan: èn ra'alag'ēn, I am remaining.
" nīn ra’alagdai:
99 $\bar{a} s$ ra'alagdas: $\quad \bar{a} d r a^{\prime} a l a g^{\prime} \bar{\imath}$, he, she, it is remaining.
Pl. èm ra'alagdam : èm ra'alag'ēm, we are remaining.
" näm ra'alagdat: nām ra'alagdat, we and you are remaining.
" nīm ra'alagdar: nīm ra'alagdai, you are remaining.
" $\bar{a} r$ ra'alagnar: $\bar{a} r$ ra'alagnai, they are remaining.
NEUTER II AND III, PLURAL.
Nìm ra'alagdi, ibráa or abra $\begin{gathered}\text { ra'alag' } \bar{\imath} \text {, you, they are remaining. }\end{gathered}$

## Imperfect.

> | Masculine. | Feminine. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ra'alakkan: | ra'alag'an, I was remaining. |
| ra'alakkai: | ra'alakk'i, thou wast remaining. |
| ra'alakkas: | ra'alagyā, he, she, it was remaining. |
| ra'alakkam: | ra'alag'ēm, we were remaining. |
| ra'alakkat: ra'alagdat, we and you were remaining. |  |
| ra'alakkar: ra'alakkai, you were remaining. |  |
| ra'alagyar: ra'alagnai, they were remaining. |  |
| NEUTER II AND III, PLURAL. |  |

Nīm ra'alakk' $\bar{i}$, ibr $\bar{a}$ or $a b r \bar{a}$ ra'alagy $\bar{a}$, jou, they were remaining.

## Past tense.

| Masculine. | Feminine. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ra'ckan: | $r a^{\prime} c^{\prime} a n, ~ I ~ r e m a i n e d . ~$ |
| ra'ckai: | $r a^{\prime} c k i \bar{T}$, thou remaindst. |
| ra'cas: | $r a^{\prime} c \bar{a}$, he, she, it remained. |
| ra'ckam: | $r a^{\prime} c^{\prime} c^{\prime} m$, we remained. |
| ra'ckat: | $r a^{\prime} c k a t$, we and you remained. |
| ra'ckar: | $r a^{\prime} c k a i$, you remained. |
| ra'car: | $r a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a i$, they remained. |
|  | NEUTER II AND III, PLURAL. |

$N \bar{z} m$ ra'cki,$i b r \bar{a}$ or $a b r{ }^{\prime} \bar{a} r a^{\prime} c \bar{a}, ~ y o u, ~ t h e y ~ r e m a i n e d, ~$

## Perfect.

Masculine. ra'ckan bè edan: $r a ' c k a i ~ b e{ }^{\prime} e d a i:$ ra'ckas be'edas: $r a ' c k a m$ be'edam : ra'ckat be'edat: ra'ckar be’edar: ra'ckur béenar :

Feminine.
ra'c'an béèen, I have remained. $r a ' c l i ̄ \imath i z i d i d, \quad$ thou hast remained. $r a^{\prime} c^{\prime} \bar{a} l{ }^{\prime} ’^{\prime} \bar{i}$, he has remained. ra'c'ém be'èm, we have remained. ra'ckat be’edat, we and you have remained. ra'ckai bë'edai, you have remained. $r a ' c$ 'ai béenai they have remained.

## NEUTER II AND III, PLURAL.



## Future.

## Masculine.

èn ra'on:
nīn ra'oe:
as ra'os:
èm ra'om:
nām ra'ōt:
nīm ra'or:
är ra'ōr:

Feminine.
èn ra'on, I will, shall remain.
nīn rāoe, thou wilt, shalt remain.
$\dot{a} d r a ' \bar{o}, h e$, she, it will, shall remain.
$\bar{e} m$ ra'om, we will, shall remain. nām ra'ōt, we and you will, shall remain
nīm ra'or, you will, shall remain.
$\bar{a} r$ ra'ōr, they will, shall remain.

## NEUTER II AND IIF, PERIPHRASTIC PLURAL.

$N_{\bar{i}} m r a^{\prime} \overline{0}, i b r \bar{a}$ or $a b r \bar{a} r a{ }^{\prime} \bar{o}$, you, they will remain.

## Pluperfect of the verb esnă.

Masculine.

Sing. ēn eskan ra'ckan:
,, nin eskai ra'ckai :. , äs eskäs ra'c'as :
Pl. èmeskam ra'ckam: nāmeskat ra'ckat: nīm eskar ra'ckar: är eskar ra'c'ar:

## Feminine. <br> Feminine.

èn iskin ra'c'an, I had broken. nīn iskī ra'ckī, thou hast broken. $\bar{a} d i s k \bar{\imath} r a a^{\prime} c^{\prime} \bar{a}$, he, she, it had broken. èm eskan ra'c'am, we had broken. nām eskat ra'ckat, we and you had broken.
näm eskai ra'cíai, you had broken.
ar eskai ra'cnai, they had broken.

NEUTER II AND III, PLURAL.
$N_{\bar{i} m}$ iskī $r a^{\prime} c k \bar{i}, i b r a \bar{a}$ or $a b r \bar{a} i s k \bar{i} r a^{\prime} c^{\prime} \bar{a}$, you, they had broken.

## Past future.

The Rurukh has no special form for the past future, but with the help of the verb klhacna, to complete, the meaning of a futurum exactum is brought out, if we add the future tense of this verb to the modified stom of the preceding verb, viz. :-

Masculine.
Feminine.

E'n es' ${ }^{\prime}$ khac'on: the same, I shall or will have broken. Nìn es'ä khac'oe : ditto, thou shalt or wilt have broken. $\bar{A} s e s^{\prime} \bar{a} k h a c^{\prime} \overline{o s}: \quad \bar{a} d e s^{\prime} \bar{a} k h a c ' \bar{o}$, he, she, it shall or will have broken. $\bar{E} m$ es'a khac'om: the same, we shall or will have broken.

Nām es'ā khac'ōt: Nīm es'ā khac'or : $\bar{A} r$ es'ā kluac'ōr :
ditto, we and you shall or will have broken.
ditto, you shall or will have broken.
ditto, they shall. or will have broken.

NEUTER II AND III PERSON, PLURAL.
Nìm es' $\bar{a} \underline{k h} a c^{\prime} o e, ~ i l!\Gamma a ̄ a r ~ a b r a \bar{a} e s^{\prime} \dot{a} k h a c^{\prime} \bar{o}$, you, they have broken.
Besides khacnà the Hindi verb culna , to finish, is also employed in the formation of the past future, culinā boing Kurukhized into cukrna, the future tense of which is added to the root of the preceding verb, viz:-

Masculine. Feminine and Neuter. -
$\bar{E} n e s^{\prime}$ eukr'on: èn es' cukr'on, I shall or will have broken. Ninn es' cukr'oe: nīn es' cukr'oe, thou shalt or wilt have broken. $\bar{A} s \quad e s^{\prime} c u k r{ }^{\prime} \bar{o} s: ~ \bar{a} d ~ e s ' c u k r ' o ̄, ~ h e, ~ s h e, ~ i t ~ s h a l l ~ o r ~ w i l l ~ h a v e ~ b r o k e n . ~$ $\vec{E}_{n \prime}$ es' cukr'om: èm es' cukr'om, we shall or will have broken. $N a ̄ m$ es' cukr'ōt: nām es' cukr'òt, we and you shall or will have broken. Nim es' cukr'or: nim es' cukr'or, you shall or will have broken. $\bar{A} r$ es' cukr'ōr: är es' culer ōr, they shall or will have broken. NEUTER \| AND III PERSON, PLURAL.
nīm es' culkr'oe, ibrā or ablrā es' cukr'ō.

## C.-The Conjunctive and Conditional Mood.

§56. This mood is also employed for the potential and optative, but is very defective, having only one tense, the present indefinite which is formed
by the suffix nekk' $\dot{a}$ being added to the inflected form of the present indefinite indicative mood, viz:-

Masculine.

| Sing. <br> $"$ | ēn <br> nīn <br> as | esdan esdai esdas | $n e k k^{\prime} \dot{a}:$ $n e k k^{\prime} \bar{a}$ : nekk' $\bar{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pl. | $\bar{e} m$ | esdam | nekk' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| " | nãm | esdat | nekk'á: |
| , | nīm | esdar | nekk' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ : |
|  | $\bar{a} r$ | esnar | $n e k k ' a \bar{a}$ : |

Feminine.
es'ēn nekk' $\bar{n}$, I may break. esdin nekk' $\bar{a}$, thou mayest break. $\bar{a} d$ es'ānekk' $\bar{a}$, he, she, it may break. $e s^{\prime} \bar{e} m n e k k ' \bar{a}$, we may break. esdat nekk' $\bar{a}$, we and you may break. esdai nekk' $\bar{a}$, you may break. esnai nekk' $\bar{a}$, they may break.

NEUTER 1 AND II; PERS. PLURAL.
nīm esdin nekk' $\overline{\text {, }}$, ibreã or abrã es'ā nekk' $\bar{a}$, you, they may break.
§57. Besides the above form the conditional and conjunctive may be expressed also by the future indicative, viz., ên es'on, I may break, etc. There is, however, a slight difference in the meaning of the two, which may be illustrated by the following example: näm esdal nekk' $\bar{a}$, we may break or let us break, and nām es'ōt which has the same meaning, but with this difference, that whilst the former implies that the action is to be done immediately after a given moment or the completion of a given action, the latter denotes that it is to be done in the immediate future.

The conjunctive is formed also by the application of the conjunctions
 esdannekk'ā (or es'on), hand me the ploughshare that I may break this. The conditional is formed by inserting the conjunction holē, then, between the conditional clause and the completive part of the sentence and by adding finally the conjunotion pahēe, however; e.g., if you break this, I will beat you, àdin es'or holē niman lau'on pahē̃; if you had broken this, I would have beaten you; ìdin eskar holē niman lau'on pahẽ. It must be noted that the past conditional always puts the verb of the completing clause in the future indicative. In the same manner the perfect of the conditional is formed: if thou hadst broken this, I would have beaten you, idin eskar ra'ackar holē niman lau'on pahë̈. Other examples; future: if he break
this, it would be well, îdin es'ōs holē dau manō pahë̈; past: if he broke this, it would be well, àdin es'as holē dau manō pahēe ; perfect: if he had broken this, it would have been well, ìdin eskas ra'acus holē dau mañ̄ pahë̃.

## D.-The Imperative Mood.

§ 58. This mood is formed by adding either the vowel $\bar{a}$ or the syllable $k \bar{e}$ to the root of the verb, both for the singular and plural number. In the feminine and neuter singular as well as in the neuter plural the $\bar{a}$ is changed into $a i$, and when women speak to women the $\hat{a}$ is changed into $\bar{e}$.

Examples :-

Singular.
Masc. nīn es'ā ! thou break;
Fem. nīn es'ai! ditto ;
Neuter, nīn es'ai! ditto ;

## Plural.

nim es'a ! you break.
nìm es'à! ditto.
nīm es'ai! ditto.

Feminine (for the use among women): nīm es'e you break.
The form $k \bar{e}$ is employed when a more familiar or milder sort of imperative is intended or when the fulfilment of the action commanded is not expected to be accomplished at once. Illustration: Bar'a, come! (i.e., at once) ; bar'kē, come (if you please). kē remains unaltered in all genders and both numbers.

In the irregular verb ka'anà, to go, not only the past tense, but also the imperative mood is formed in a speoial manner; it has kala , go, for masculine singular and plural; kalai for the feminine and neuter singular and neuter plural; and $k \bar{a} l^{\prime} \bar{e}$, for the plural among women.

The imperative may be intensified by such words as khacnã, to complete, and capn $\bar{a}$, to fulfil; es' $\bar{a}$ lchac' $\bar{a}$ would mean "be sure to break," and $e s^{\prime} \bar{a}$ cap' $\bar{a}$ "break quickly." The forms for the other genders are: es'ai khac'ai; es'ai cap'ai; es'é khac'è ; es'ē cap'ē. Of course the future also may be omployed imperatively: Ēn ändan īdin nīn es'oe, I tell you, you will (have to) break this. Likewise the conditional is used as an imperative : àr idin es'narnekk $\overline{\text {, may }}$ they break this. There is no honorific imperative in Kurukh besides kē which resembles it somewhat.

## E.-The Infinitive Mood.

§59. The infinitive ending of the Kurukh verb is $n \bar{a}$, which is probably borrowed from the Hindì grammar. The infinitive form of the verb has of course the character of a noun, and is therefore declinable:
( $s n \bar{\alpha}$, to break or the breaking.

## Singular.

## Plural.

N. esnā, the breaking; esnāguthi, the breakings.
G. esnā gahi, of the breaking;
D. esna $\bar{g} \bar{e}$, to the breaking; esnäguthi gahi, of the breakings. esnā guthi gé, to the breakings. esnä guthin, the breakings.
Acc. esnan, the breaking;
Abl. esnā $t \bar{\lambda}$, from the breaking ; esn $\bar{x} g u t h i n t \bar{\imath}$, from the breakings. Instr. esnā trū, by the breaking; esnā guthin trū, by the breakings. Loc. esna $n \bar{u}$, in the breaking; esia $\bar{a} g u t h i n \bar{u}$, in the breakings.

## Examples:-

Nom. Esnä otthā nalakh ra' $\overline{\text { a }} \quad$ breaking is a difficult work.
Gen. Esnà gahi ōr ondr'áa bring the tool for the (of the) breaking.
Dat. Esnā gè savañg cārra' $\quad$, for breaking strength is required. Acc. Esnan neblā nalakh ambā org' $\vec{a}$, do not think that breaking (the breaking) is light work.

## F.-Participles.

§60. The Kurukh participles are-

1. Adjective partioiples:-
a. present; this is formed by the addition of the sign of the locative case, $n \bar{u}$ : the emphasized form of which is $n u m$; $n \bar{u}$ is also combined with the ablative case sign, $t \bar{\imath}$ emphasized: tim, nūtim, in order to form the present adjective participle. These tense characteristics are added to the root of the verb;e.g, csnum and esnūtī or esnütim, breaking. They are the same in all numbers, persons and genders; esnum is also repeated: às esnum esnum $\dot{b}$ arālagyas, he came breaking. When intensity is to be denoted, the adverb
dar $\bar{\alpha}$, also, even, is affixed to the tense characteristic num, $\bar{a} s$ esnum dar $\bar{a}$ barãlaayzs, he came even breaking.
$b$. The past adjective participle is identical with the past of the indicative, i.e., k $\bar{a}$ being added to the root of the verb; esk $\bar{a}$, broken, for all gonders and numbers.
§ 61. 2. Conjunctive participles:-These are formed in different ways:
a. By adding the governing verb to the inflected form of the preceding verb and by making the latter to agree with the former in number, gender, person and tense ; e.g., $\bar{\alpha} s$ es'as barcas, breaking he came, i.e., having broken he came ; èn esdan ka'adan, breaking I go ; èn es'on ka'on, breaking I will go.
b. By affixing the participle tense characteristio $r$ to the modified root of the preceding verb and adding the governing noun thereto; èn es'är kia'adan, breaking I go, i.e. having broken I go ; èn es'är ka'on, breaking, i.e. having broken I will go ; èn es'är barckan, breaking or having broken I came.
$c$. By inserting the tense characteristic $k i$ between the preceding inflected verb and the governing verb, making them agree both as to number, gender, person and tense ; example : èn esdan kī ka'adan, breaking, i.e., having broken, I go; èn es'on kī ka'on, breaking. I will go ; èn eskan kī barckan, having broken I came.
d. By inserting $k i=$ between the modified root of the governed verb, to which the first characteristic $r$ has already been added and the principal verb; èn es'ār kī ka'adan, having broken I go ; èn es'ār kī ka'on, having broken I will go ; èn es'är kī barckan, having broken I came.
§62. Wheu it is intended to emphasize the action of the conjunctive participle, the word darā is added to the same; $\bar{\alpha} s e s^{\prime}$ as dar $\bar{a} k \bar{e} r a s$; $\bar{\alpha} s e s^{\prime} \bar{a} r$ dara $\bar{a}$ kēras, breaking or having broken he went; às es'ōs darāk $k \bar{a} ’ \bar{o} s$, $\bar{a} s$ es'ār dara $\bar{a}$ $k i \bar{a} ’ \bar{o} s$, breaking, i.e., having broken he will go ; but darā cannot be combined with $k i$ because the latter denotes already intensity or completion of action.

When the governing noun stands in the imperative, any of the preceding forms of the conjunctive participle may be employed: es' $\bar{a}$ kal $\bar{a}$; es' $\bar{a}$ dar $\bar{a}$
 broken go. The feminine forms are: es'ai kalai and es'é kâlē ; es'ai dara kalai and es'è darā kālē ; es'är kalai and es'ār kāle e ; es'ar darā kalai and es'ar darā $k \bar{a} l^{\prime} ' \bar{e}$; es'ai kī kalai and es'è kī kā̄lē ; es'är kī kalai and es'ār kī kāal'e, breaking or having broken go.
§ 63. 3. The adverbial participle is formed by adding the adverb khane, emphatic khanem, then, upon, to the modified stem of the verb, viz, $e s^{\prime} \bar{a}$ khane or es' $\bar{a}$ khumem, on breaking, upon breaking or in the very act of breaking. It is used for all tenses, 'genders, persons and numbers.

## G.-The noun of agency.

§64. The noun of agency is formed by adding the vowel $\bar{u}$ to the root of the verb, whether it ends in a consonant or in a vowel ; if the verb begins with the vowel $e$, the latter is changed into $i$, esn $\bar{a}$, to break, es, the root, $i s^{\prime} \bar{u}$, indef. breaker, is'us def. the breaker, isur, the breakers.

The declination of the noun of agency is regular.

## Singular.

N. is'us, the breaker or the breaking one. is'ur, the breaking ones.
G. is'us gahi, of the breaker or the breaking one. is'ur gahi, of the breaking ones.
D. is'us $g \bar{e}$, to the breaker or the breaking one. is'ur $g \bar{e}$, to the breaking ones. Ac. is'usin, the breaker or the breaking one. is'urin, the breaking ones. Acc. is'us $t \bar{i}$, from or by the breaker or the $i s$ 'ur $t \bar{i}$, \} from or by the Instr. is'us trū, $\}$ breaking one. is'ur trū, breaking ones.
Loc. is'us $n \bar{u}$, in the breaker or the breaking one. is'ur $n \bar{u}$, in the breaking ones. is' $\bar{u}$ fem. sing., is'ur fem. pl. is'u, neuter.

## H.-The different classes of the verbs.

§65. It has been explained already how the Kurukh verbs, though they have but one conjugation, may he divided into four different classes, according to the various manner in which their tense characteristic for the past tense is formed.

One class taking $k a$ and $\bar{a}$ respectively as tense characteristic has been exhibited by the conjugation of the verb esn $\bar{a}$; eskan, masculine, es'én feminine, I broke, and esas and esā, he and she broke.

Another class takes $k a$ and $y a$ as tense characteristio; example: ännā, to say.

Masculine. Feminine. Neuter.
$\bar{E} n$ änkan, änyan, I said or did say.
Nīn änkai, änkī, thou saidst or didst say. $\bar{A} s$ änyas, $\quad \tilde{a} d \bar{a} n y \bar{a}, \quad \bar{a} d \bar{a} n y a \bar{a}$, he, she, it said or did say.
$\bar{E} m$ änkam, ànyam, we said or did say.

Nām änkat, änkat,
Nìm änkar, unkai,
Āranyar, anyai,
änkī,
$\vec{a} n y \bar{a}, \quad$ they said or did say.
The third class takes as its tense characteristic $c k a$ and $c^{\prime} a$ respectively; example : barnä, to come.

| Masculine. | Feminine. | Neuter. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| En barckan, | barc'un, |  | I came. |
| Nin barckai, | barckī, |  | thou camest. |
| $\bar{A}$ s barcas, | $\bar{a} d$ barcā, | barcā, | he, she, it came. |
| Ėm barckam, | barc'am, |  | we came. |
| Näm barckat, | barckat, |  | we and you came. |
| Nim barckar, | barckai, | barckī, | you came. |
| $\bar{A} r$ barcar, | barc'ai, | barcà, | they came. |

The fourth class takes $j k a$ and $j^{\prime} a$ as its tense characteristio for the past tense ; example: nanná, to do.

Masculine. Feminine. Neuter.

| $\bar{E} n$ nanjkan, | nanj'an, |  | I did. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nin nanjkai, | nanjkī, |  | thou didst |
| $\bar{A} s$ nanjas, | $\bar{a} d$ nanjä, | nanja | he, she, it did. |
| Ėm nanjkam, | nanj'am. |  | e di |
| Nām nanjkat, | nanjkat, |  | we and you did |
| Nīm nanjkar, | nanjkai, | nanjkī, | you did. |
| $\bar{A} r$ nanjar, | nanj'ai, | nanja ${ }_{\text {, }}$ | they did. |

$\S 66$. It is impossible to lay down general rules as to the classification of Kurukh verbs, according to the termination of their roots; however, there are some rules, according to which we may say with safety that because the root of this verb ends so and so, therefore it belongs to such and such a class, or, in other words, it forms its past tense in such and such a manner.

Rule I.-If the root of the verb ends in a double vowel as : $a a, e e, i i$ or $o o$, it invariably takes $c k \bar{a}$ and $c^{\prime} a$ respectively as its tense characteristic for the past; e.g., ba'an $\bar{a}$, to speak, bückan, bācas, bāc' $a n, b \bar{a} c \bar{a} ; h \bar{e} ’ n \bar{a}$, to bind, $h e ̄ c k a n, ~ h e ̄ c a s, ~ h e ̄ c ' a n, ~ h e ̄ c \bar{a} ; ~ c i ̄ i n a \bar{a}$, to gịve, cickan, cicas, cic'an, cicā ; hō'onā, to take away, ockan, ocas, oc'an, oc $\bar{a}$.

Rule II.-All verbs which denote to be, to suffer, or which signify reciprocity, also take $c k a$ and $c^{\prime} a$ as their tense characteristic of the past.
u!rnă, to be satiated urrckan, urc'an.
nūjnā, to pain, nunjkan, nunc'an.
$n \tilde{o} r h n \bar{a}$, to wash oneself, nōr$ب h c k a n, n \tilde{o} r h c$ 'an.
With the exception of $\bar{e} k n \bar{a}$, to walk; $\bar{u} \underline{k h n} \bar{a}$, to get dark; oll $\underline{h} n n \bar{a}$, to weep.
Rüle III.-Transitive verbs generally take kan and yan as tense characteristic of the past tense : $\operatorname{ta} \begin{gathered}r n a \\ ,\end{gathered}$ to cut; tembnă, to beg; pi$t n \bar{a}$, to kill; parmn $\bar{a}$, to bite.

Rule IV.-Verbs beginning with a vowel generally take ka and $\bar{a}$, like: $\epsilon s n \bar{a}$, to break; ojn $\bar{a}$, to spin; $a r n \bar{a}$, to cover; also such verbs, the initial sound of which is a guttural. khondn $\bar{a}$, to collect; $\operatorname{kharn} n \bar{a}$, to steal; kasnā, to grind, etc.

Rule V.-Verbs, the root of which ends in $n$, generally take $j k a$ and $j \prime a$, as: menn $\bar{a}$, to hear, menjkan; mann $\bar{a}$, to become, manjkan; nannā, to do, ranjkan.

## I.-The passive voice.

§67. The formation of the Passive voice in the Kurukh language is very simple, no auxiliary being needed for this purpose, beyond the insertion of the voice characteristic consonant $r$ between the root and the inflectional termination of the active voice, these inflections being the same as in the indicative mood.

Active voice: esnā, to break ; passive roice esrnā, to be broken.

# Conjugation: passive indefinite. Masculine. <br> En esrdan, I am broken. Nin esrdai, thou art broken. $\bar{A} s$ esrdas, he is broken. Em esrdam, we are broken. Nām esrdat, we and you are broken. nam esrdat, we and you are broken. Nìm esidar, you are broken. $\bar{A} r$ esrnar, they are broken. är esrnai, they are broken. The neuter plural second and third person : esrdī and esr' $\bar{\imath}$. 

## Present definite.

MASCULINE.
En esr'āldan, èm esr'äldam, etc. I am and we are being broken ; or
En esr'älagdan, èm esr'älagdam, etc. I am and we are being brokon.
FEMININE.

or
$\bar{E} n$ esr'àlag'ēn, èm esr'ālag'ēm, etc. I am and we are being broken.
IMPERFECT.
$\bar{E} n$ esr'älakkan, èn esr'alagyan, J. was being broken.

## PAST TENSE.

En esr'kan, èn esr'an, I was broken.

## PERFECT.

$\bar{E} n$ esr'kan bēedan, èn esrkin bëēn, I have been broken.

## PLUPERFECT.

En esr'kan ra'ackan, èn esrkin ra'ac'an, I had been broken.

## FUTURE.

En esr'on, and fem., I will, shall be broken.

## PAST FUTURE.

En esr'khac'on or esr'cukr'on masc. and fem. I will, shall have been broken.

## ADJECTIVE PARTICIPLES.

Pres. esr'num ; esr'num esr'num ; esr'num dar ā, esr'nūtū, esr'nūtim; being broken.

Past. esr'kā having been broken.

## CONJUNCTIVE PARTICIPLES.

Esras, esras darā, etc. (he) being broken.
Esraski (he) ditto.
Esrar darāa (he) ditto.
Esrarkī (he) ditto.

## ADVERBIAL PARTICIPLE.

Esrā khanem, on being broken, in the act of being broken.

## INFINITIVE.

Esrnä to be broken: gerund esrna gahi, etc., to be, of to be broken.
imperative.
Esr' $\bar{d}$ masc., esr'ai fem. sing., esr' $\bar{e}$ fem. pl., be broken.

## NOUN OF AGENCY.

Isr'ù isr'ur, isr'us one, ones, the one, who is (are) broken.

## CONJUNCTIVE OR CONDITIONAL.

Masc. äs esrdasnekk' $\dot{\text {, }}$ àd esr' $\bar{n} n e k k ' \bar{a}$, he, she, it may be broken.
Masc. and fem. $\bar{a} r$ esrnarnekk' $\bar{a}$, they may be broken.
Ditto nām esr'datnekk' $\bar{a}$, we and you may be broken.
ON THE FORMATION OF INTRANSITIVE AND TRANSITIVE VERBS.
§68. The letter $r$, the voice oharacteristic of the passive, is also used in changing a transitive verb into an intransitive, for instance:

Assnà, to play an instrument: asrnä, to tremble, to vibrate.
Beñjn̄̄, to give in marriage: beñjrna, to marry, get married.
Khondna, to collect: khinondrnä, to assemble.
$T \bar{e} b n \bar{a}$, to extinguish, put out: tēbrnā, to extinguish, go out. $E j n \bar{a}$, to awake, to waken: ejrnā, to be awake.
The passive verb frequently serves as an intransitive.
Esrnä, to be broken: to go into, to break.
$E b s r n a \tilde{a}$, to be lost: to get lost.
Intransitives are obanged into transitives by affixing a double aa to the root of the intransitive verb; e.g., markhna, to be dirty: markha'ana, to dirty.
2. By inserting the consonant $d$, e.g., khānnä, to dry up: khāydnä, to dry.

## K.-Causal verbs.

§69. Causal verbs are formed by the insertion of the syllable $t \vec{a}^{\prime}$ ' between the root and the inflectional endings of the active verb: esnă, estáanā, to cause to break, besides which insertion no ohange whatever takes place in the conjugation of causal verbs; ta'a becomes tō in the future and is often contracted into $t \dot{a}$ in the past tense.

En estäadan, I cause to break ; ēn estō'on, I will, shall cause to break.
Pres. ind. nīn estäadai, thou causest to break; nīn estō'oe, thou wilt, shalt, cause to break.

Pres. def. ēn estāalagdan, I am causing to break.
Past. èn estäackan or estäckan, I caused to break.
Imperf. èn estäalakkan, I was causing to break.
Perf. èn estăaackan bēedan or estäckan bëedan, I have caused to break.
Pluf. èn estä'ackan ra'ackan or estāckan ra'ackan, I had caused to break.
Passive. estārnā, to be caused to be broken, i.e., to be broken.
Pres. indef. estārdan, I am caused to be broken or I am broken.
Pres. def. estãr'alagdan, ditto.
Past estärckan, I was caused to be broken or to break.
Imperf. estâr'alakkan, I was being caused to be broken or to break.
Perf. estärckan béedan, I have been caused to be broken or to break.
Plup. estärckan ra'ackan, I had been caused to be broken or to break.
Fut. estärō'on, I will, shall be caused to be broken or break.
Causals are also formed by the insertion of the consonant $d$ between the root of the verb and the inflectional ending; e.g.

Onnä, to eat, ondnā, to feed, i.e., to cause to eat.
$M e n n a \dot{a}$, to hear, mendnā, to tell, lit. to cause to hear.
Verbs which add $b \vec{a}^{\prime} a$ to the modified root are likewise of a causal nature, viz:-

Guchrnä, to go out of the way: $\operatorname{gucha} \vec{a} b \vec{a}$ 'anā, to cause to go out of the way, to put aside.

Bohārnā, to float (intr.) : bohābäanā, to cause to float, to float (trans.)
Sıkhrnä, (Hindī) to learn : sikhäbä'ana, to cause to learn, i.e., to teach.

## L. - Irregular verbs.

§70. The number of irregular verbs in Kurukh is very small, and their irregularity does not consist in any deviation from the regular inflection, but rather in verious modifications of the roots and the permutation of sounds. The principal are-

Ra'anā, to go; kirkan, I went ; kalä go.
Hô'onā, to take away; ockan, I took away; hō'à, take away.
Uinä, to plough; usskan, I ploughed ; uyã, plough; uiyon, I will plough.
$\underline{K h} \bar{o}^{\prime} e n \bar{a}$ to measure; khojkan, I measured; khoyá, measure.
$\underline{K h o s s n a ̄}$, to cut, dig; khottkan, I dug; khossā, dig.
Pö̀n $\bar{a}$, to rain ; poss $\bar{a}$, it rained ; põeyō, it will rain.
$N u \overline{j n a}$, , to pain ; nuncā, it pained ; nūj’ō, it will pain.
Onnä, to drink, eat; ondkan, drank, ate; ōnā, drink.

## M.-Defective verbs.

§71. Of these be'enä, to be, has been described already; another is taldan, I am, with the corresponding negative verb maldan, I am not. Of taldan we have no other form ; what is left is only a double form for the present tense; the first may be called the indefinite, the other the definite prosent.

| § 72. | Conjugation of taldan. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Masculine. | Feminine. | Neuter. |  |
| En taldan | tal'èn, |  | I am. |
| Nin taldai | taldī | taldī, | thou art. |
| $\bar{A} s$ taldas | $\bar{a} d t a l ' \bar{\imath}$ | tal'i, | he, she, it is. |
| Ėm taldam | tal' $\bar{e} m$ |  | we are. |
| Nām taldat | taldat |  | you and we are. |
| Nīm taldar | taldai | taldì, | you are. |
| $\bar{A} r$ talnar | talnai | tal'i, | they are. |
|  | or |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { En }}$ talyan | talyēn |  | I am. |
| Nīn talyai | taly ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | taly ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | thou art. |
| $\overline{\text { As talyas }}$ | $\bar{a} d$ taly $\bar{a}$ | talyâ, | he, she, it is. |
| Em talyam | talyēm |  | we are |
| Nām talyat | talyat, |  | we and you are. |
| Nìm talyar | talyai | taly $\bar{z}$ | you are. |
| $\bar{A} r$ talyar | talyar | talyā, | they are. |

Examples as to the character of these two present forms:
As gollas taldas meaus: he is a landlord; às $\bar{\imath}$ paddantā gullas talyas, he is the landlord of this village.

The counterpart or negative form of taldan is maldan, which has three different forms for the present tense, but no form for any other tense.
§73. Conjugation of maldan, I am not.

Masculine.
$\bar{E} n$ maldan
Nīn maldai
$\bar{A} s$ maldas
$\bar{E} m$ maldam
N'àm maldat
Nim maldar
Ar malyar

Feminine.
mal' $\bar{e} n$
maldı̄
$\bar{a} d$ nal' $\bar{\imath}$
mal'èm
maldat
maldai
malyai

Neuter.

> I am not. thou art not.
mal' $\bar{\imath}$, he, she, it is not. we are not. we and you are not.
maldì, you are not.
mal'i they are not.

Masculine. Feminine. Neuter.

| En malyan | malyen |  | I am not. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nīn malyai | malyı̄ |  | thou art not. |
| $\overline{\text { As malyas }}$ | $\bar{a}$ d mal'ı | mal'ì, | he, she, it is not. |
| En nalyam | malyam |  | we are not. |
| Näm malyat | malyat |  | we and you are not. |
| Nīm malyar | malyai | maly $\bar{\imath}$, | you are not. |
| Ar malyar | malyar | mal'ì, | they are not. |
|  | or |  |  |
| En malkan | malyan |  | I am not. |
| Nīn malkai | mabki |  | thou are not. |
| $\overline{\text { As malkas }}$ | $\overline{\text { ajd malkì }}$ | malk | he, she, it is not. |
| $\bar{E} m$ malkam | malkam |  | we are not. |
| Nām malkat | malkat |  | we and you are not. |
| Nīm malkar. | malkai | malki, | you are not. |
| $\overline{\text { Ar malkar }}$ | malkar | matkī, | they are not. |

En malyan
$N \overline{2} n$ malyai $\bar{A} s$ malyas
Ein malyam
Nãm malyat
Nìm malyar Ar malyar

En malkan
Nin malkai
$\bar{A} s$ malkas
$\bar{E} m$ malkam
Nām malkat
Nim malkar.
$\bar{A} r$ malkar.
malyen
malyī
àd mal'z
malyam
malyat
malyai malyar
or
malyan
malkī
àd malkī
malkam
malkat
malkai
malkar

I am not. thou are not. he, she, it is not. we are not. we and you are not. you are not. they are not.

Maldan is indefinite: Én bēlan maldan, I am not a king.
Maiyan is definite: $\bar{A} s \bar{\imath}$ bèlkhantā bélas malyas, he is not the king of this state. Malkan is also definite. The difference between malyan and malkan is this: the former is used in a qualitative sense, èn malyan, I am not, i.e., the one you suppose; whilst malkan is used in a locative sense: èn malkan, I am not here or there: às erpā nū ra'as? Is he at home? $\bar{A} s$ malkas, he is not (at home) ; $\bar{A} s$ ujjnam ra'as? Is he still alive? $\bar{A} s$ malkas, he is not, i.e., existing here or there, he is dead.

Maldan, malyan or malkan is a defective negative verb. Besides it there are two more negative verbs in Kurukh, which, however, are complete in all tenses; viz. the counterparts of akhna, to know and ongna, to be able, namely, baln $\bar{a}$, to know not; poln $\bar{a}$, to be not able. They are conjugated regularly and take $k a, a n, a$ in the past as the characteristic of that tense; èn baldan, I do not know; nīn babdai, thou doest not know; às pold $\bar{s} s$, he can't, is unable ; är polōr, they will be unable; èn polkan, I could not; äd $a l{ }^{\prime}$ álagy $\bar{a}$, she did not know.

## N.-The reciprocal auxiliary nakrn $\bar{\alpha}$ to be or to act to one another.

§ 74. In forming reciprocal verbs we have to add nakrnä either to the root of the verb or to its modified stem, as the case may be. The latter takes place whenever the verb belongs to those classes which form their past tense by taking $c k$ or $j k$ as tense oharacteristio. The conjugation is quite regular, but it must be kept in mind that nakrna applies only to the plural number.

Examples:-
ērnakrnã, to look upon one another; khēbnakrnä, to abuse one another; laucnakrnā, to beat one another; menjnakrnā, to ask one another. Nim endr èrnalirdar, Why do you look at each other? Nīm ambä khēbnakr'a Don't abuse each other! Ārin êrke är laucnakro'ör, see to them, they will beat each other. Ar laucnakrālagyar, they were beating each other.

In a few instances the addition of nakrnā to the root of the verb conveys the idea that the action represented by the latter is being done in company or with one another; thus bonignakrnä, to run away one with another. Nakrnā further denotes habit or potency: èn Kurukh kacnakrdan I am speaking Urãõ or I am in the habit of speaking Urāõ. Kacnakrnā really means to talk with or to ono another, i.e., converse.

Along with nakrna the postposition gane with is employed, when it is necessary to denote reciprocal action being done between two distinct parties, e.g., mētar mukkar gane laucnakrnar, the men with the women are beating one another, i.e. the men on the one side and the women on the other are beating each other. Mētar mukkar laucnakrnar means, men and women are beating one another (without having formed two different parties).

The reflexive pronoun tajm put into the locative case tam $n \bar{u}$ is also employed along with nakrnä for the similar purpose as gane: mètar mukkar tām tām nū laucnakrnar, the men and the women are beating one another amongst themselves, i.e., being two separate parties. Mētar mukkar täm nū launar would mean that the men and the women are quarrelling between themselves.

## R.- Compound verbs.

§ 75. There are many compound verbs in Rurukh, viz. -

1. Such as are formed by the combination of an infinitive verb with an
uninflected noun; the former being conjugated regularly. They may be called nominals, though in reality they are rather idiomatical phrases. Examples:-

Khan ēkhná, to sleep, lit. to shade or cool the eyes.
$\bar{P} \bar{a} b \bar{e} r n \bar{a}$, to expect, lit. to see the way. Khēkhē $\bar{b}$ èn $\bar{a}$, to spy, lit. to look towards the earth.
Aḍe ē erná, to envy, lit. to be squint-eyed.
Khattr có'onā, to stumble, lit. to fall and to get up.
Khol kha'ana, to take on the back, to lift, to stoop.
Monjrā mannā, to salute, lit. to remain in waiting.
Cokh manná, to pass bye, to go or to remain aside.
$N a \bar{a} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath} o ̄ r n \bar{a}$, to have fever ; lit. to have a flame of fire entered in.
$N \bar{a} \underline{n}^{\imath}$ ambna $\bar{a}$, the leaving of the fever.
Sendrā bēenā, to hunt, to play sporting.
Mūkul ìdrnä, to bow the knee, lit. to have the knee planted.
Sībā khhärnā, to covet, to envy.
Kirà sārn $\bar{a}$, and umhe sārn $\bar{a}$, to be hungry and to be patient or content.
Other nominals are formed with the help of the verb nanna, to do ; and ra'anā, to be, similar to the Hindì auxiliaries karnã and honä, e.g.-

Ubēr nannā, to clear up, to shine (weather, sun).
Parigē nannā, to clear away (jangal).
Otthāēkh mannā or ra'anā, to become or to be pregnant.
From among the above nominals, such as are transitives like $p \bar{a} b \bar{e} r n \bar{a}$ require the noun or pronoun, which they govern, to be put into the genitive case :
$\bar{e} n$ urbas gahi päb érālakkan, I was awaiting the master. Paígé nannā governs the accusative case.

Närī kōrnā requires the dative eígdas gē nārī kōrcā, my son had fever, lit. to my son the fever entered.

Nominals are also formed by combining the past participle with a noun and then add an auxiliary; e.g., amm unkā ra'anä, to be thirsty; likewise by adding the latter to the past participle: tarkā $r a$ ' $x n \bar{a}$, to be silent, lit. to be cut or struck.
§ 76. 2. Compound verbs are formed by combining two verbs, the first giving the general and the affixed or second the special meaning of the
compound. The latter verb is always conjugated regularly; the former takes either the stem only or the past participle, transitive or intransitive.

These compounds are divided into several classes :-
A. Completives, which add khacnä or cukrnä either to their root or the modified form of the same; e.g.-

Es khhacnä or cukrnā
Esā khacnà or cukrnä $\}$ to have done breaking.
Barc khacnā or cukrnä
Barā $\bar{k} h a c n \bar{a}$ or cukrnā $\}$ to have done coming.
The transitives of these compound verbs require the object to be in the accusative case: èn ìuin esā khackan, I have done breaking this; èn äsgē asman ci'ä khackan, I have done giving him bread.
$\S 77$. B. Intensives and permissives:-These are formed by adding the verb ci'ina, to give, to the conjunctive participle of the preceding verb, or to the modified stem of the same;

Hebrar ci'inā, to throw away;
Tidar ci'inā, to throw down ;
Pitar ci'inā, to kill outright ;
Onar ci'inā, to eat up;
Barā ci'inā, to allow to come, let come;
Kälã ci'inā, to let go ;
$\bar{O} n a \bar{a} c i ' i n a ̄$, to let eat ;
all these compounds govern the aocusative.

Capnä, also has an intensifying character:
$\boldsymbol{E s} s^{\prime} \bar{a}$ capnā, to break quickly; es'ā cap'ä! Break quickly.
Barā capnā, to come quickly; barā cap'ä! Come quickly.
Kälā capnā, to go quiokly ; kālā cap'ā ! Go quickly.
$\S 78 . \quad$ C. Potentials and impotentials:-The former are made up by the addition of the positive origna, to be able ; the latter with the aid of the negative polna , to be unable, being added to the modified stem of the verb, as $k a \bar{a} l \bar{a}$-ongnna, to be able to go; kāl $\bar{a}$-polnā, to be unable to go; ci'ā-onignáa, to be able to give; ciā-polnā, to be unable to give.
§ 79. D. Desideratives are formed by adding beddnä, to seek, and $t u k n \bar{a}$, to desire, to the modified stem of the principal verb. Tuknd is
incomplete; its present or past neuter third person singular is added to the subjective noun or pronoun, which is placed into the dative case.
êrā beddnā, èră ṭuknā; èn āsin èrā beddan, I wish to see him ; èngāgē äsin ērā $t u k \bar{\imath}$, lit. to me him to see desire is. āsin èrā tukiyā, I wished to see him.
§80. E. The inceptive helrnä is added to the modified stem of the principal verb, viz.- $\bar{E} r a \bar{a} h e l r n \bar{a}$, to begin to see; $k \bar{a} \bar{l} \bar{a} h e l r n a \bar{a}$, to begin to go.
F. Continuative: bēndnä, is added to the modified stem of the principal verb; example : às kälä-bēnḍdas, he is going continually.

## CHAPTER VII.

## ADVERBS.

§ 81. In Kurukh nouns, adjectives and adverbial participles may be used or taken as equivalents of adverbs; besides which there are a number of words, which are exclusively used as adverbs; e.g., às khalbū ra'as, he is a thief; is kīrā manjas, he has become poor; är ìdin akhnum dar $\bar{a}$ laucar, they beat her knowingly; är khondrar kī päralagyar, having assembled, they were singing. The adverbs, properly so called, may be divided into four general classes : adverbs of time, of place, of manner, of affirmation and negation. They all precede the verb which they are to qualify and almost all may be emphasized by the suffix $m$ or $i m$, am and em respectively, e.g., nēlā kāom, we will go to-morrow; nēlam kãom, we will indeed go to-morrow.
§ 82.

## A.-Adverbs of time.

Akkū, indefinite; alkun, definite, now, even now, just now.
Oighōnum, at once, immediately, instantly.
Onghon, onoe, one day, again.
Oruing, once, a moment or ungur.
Ikläm, once, one day; iklām iklām, sometimes.
Iklä, what or which day: aulä, that day, then.*
Ekà $b \bar{u} r \bar{\imath}: \bar{a} b \bar{r} r \bar{i}$, when, what time : then, that time.
Gahdī, late.
Holé, then, consequently.
$\bar{A}$ khanem, there and then, instantly.
Laghlem, constantly, continually.
Ullä ullä and sagarkhanē, daily, always.
Khanem khanem, frequently, repeatedly, again and again.
Bèrà bêrā, precisely, punctually.
Pälī pälī, alternately; (Hindī).

[^3]innă, to-day ; innēlā, now-a-days, lately; lit. to-day and to-morrow. cērō, yesterday; nēlā, to-morrow.
horbore, the day before yesterday ; nèlbeñjā, the day after to-morrow.
huinäl, three days hence ; hähuinäl, four days hence.
mund, mund'im, previously, before, early.
khōkh $\bar{a}$, afterwards, later on.
$\bar{z} d n \bar{a}$, this year ; itingalī, last year.
типиe, two years ago'; ämuné, three years ago.
dìrī, late (Hindi) more properly expressed by the past form of the verb tîrnā, to delay; nīn tîrkai kì barckai, you have come late, you are late.
sadau sadau, (Hindī) ever, eternally, is better expressed by hullontī hullō gūtī, from beginning to end.

A description of how the Kurukh reckons his time will be found in the Appendix No. VI.
§ 83.

## B.-Adverbs of place.

$\bar{\imath} y \bar{a}, ~ \bar{y} y a m$, isan, isänim, hisan, here.
ayä, ayam, asan, asānim, hasan, there.
eksan, where ; eksänim, wherever; also okkho, where.*
itar $\bar{a}$, hither, this direction; atara and hutarā, thither, that direction;
these are compounded from the demonstratives $\bar{i}$, this, $\bar{a}$, that and $h \bar{u}$, that there; and tarä, direction.
bend $d r a ' \tilde{a}$ and caugurdī, around, round about.
¿ pakhē, this side; à pakhē, that side, over, across.
$\bar{i} n \bar{u}$, in this direction, this way; änu, in that direction, that way; lit. in this, in that.
$k u ̄ t ̄ \bar{\imath} k u ̄ t \bar{c}$, alongside, cokh, side.
sagrõ (Hindī), everywhere.
mund, chamhē, mundbhārē, before, in front, in future.
$k h o ̄ k h \bar{a}$, after, behind, in the rear.
heddè, cākh $\bar{a}$, hìdị, near.
gechä, gecham, far.
$h a ̈ d i ̃ ̃, ~ y o n d e r . ~$
$\bar{a} \bar{j} \overline{0}$, formed of $\bar{a}$ and $u j g \bar{o}$, that straight, i.e., thither.
These latter adverbs, commencing from mund, may be called prepositive adverbs or improper prepositions, since they are in reality prepositions or rather postpositions.
§ 84.
C.-Adverbs of manner.
saint $n \bar{u}$, accidentally, suddenly; also auhäri.
$k h o ̄ b, k h o ̄ b i m$ (Urdū) very muoh.
ajgar, dhēr, kharä, very muoh; exceedingly.
$k u d h a \bar{a}$ ditto, ditto.
bēraing
akai
ditto, ditto.
bēdar
baggī, baggè, baggū, kōrhēm, moreover, very much.
$\bar{\imath} d \bar{a} t o ̄ ~ a n d ~ h a n i, ~ n a m e l y, ~ v i z ., ~$
urì, nìdì, dot'ō, rot'ō, only, simply, mere.
surrä, easily; also sebr'am, and algēhem.
othh $a$, otokh, alone, single.
ont $\ddagger \bar{a}$ onttã, ond ond, ort ort, separately, one by one.
tīlē tīlē, by degrees, successively.
rāsē rāsē, slowly, by degrees.
karè karẹ, ditto ditto, lit. piece by piece.
dau, daudim, thaukam, well, very well, exactly, justly.
$\bar{i} n \bar{u} h \tilde{0}, \tilde{a} n \bar{u} h \tilde{\tilde{o}}$, nevertheless, in spite of, lit. in this, in that also.
ennem, annem, thus, in this manner, in that way.
ilekh'am, alekh'am, this like, that like, this and that way.
mänim, ujgō, truly, verily.
sithiyam, gratis, gratuitously.
nēkho, nēkho'e, endr akho'e, perhaps, perchance, probably.
§ 85.
D.-Adverbs of Affirmation and Negation.

Ha'i, yes.
$g \bar{a}$, indeed, certainly.
anti antije, of course.
$j a n \bar{u}$, of course, no doubt; illustration: $\bar{i} d i$ you are.
mal, mal' $\vec{a}$, mallä, not, no.
$a m b \bar{a}$, masc. $a m b a i$, fem. $a m b \bar{e}$ (among females), do not, not!
$\arg \bar{a}, \arg \bar{z}$, not, not yet.
The prohibitive $a m b \bar{a}$ may be conjugated with the verb before which it stands, and made to correspond with it in gender and number: às ambä or ambdas bardasnekk' $\bar{a}$, he shall not come; àr ambā or ambnar barnarnekk' $\bar{a}$, let them not come; $\bar{a} d a m b \bar{a}$ or $a m b a n ~ b a r ' a n e k k ' \bar{a}$, she shall not come, don't allow her to come.

Argã and argĩ are used with verbs when the action indicated by the latter is not yet finished; it takes the inflectional endings of the verb when it precedes the same; in which case the verb takes the modified stem only; when, however, the genitive adverb is added to the verb, the latter is conjugated, and the former retains its simple form; as when preceding: $\bar{a} s$ argas $b a r a \bar{a}$, he has not yet come; $\bar{a} d$ $\arg \bar{\imath}$ akh $\bar{a}$, she has not yet understood; when succeeding: $\bar{a} s$ argà barcas, he has not come yet; èn argá onkan, I have not yet eaten.

## CHAPTER VIII.

## POSTPOSITIONS.

§ 86. Kurukh postpositions, for we cannot call them prepositions, are in reality nouns, and therefore deolinable; when required, they may appear in the form of any of the oblique cases. Some of them, especially such as are used as adverbs also, govern the preceding noun or pronoun and put it into an oblique case, chiefiy into the genitive, sinoe these postpositions are generally in the locative case; e.g., erpä gahi camhe $n \bar{u}$, in the front of the house; nēkhai bāre nū àndai? Of whom do you speak? As khär. gahi äpakhē kēras, he went beyond the river; Bèlas gahi lēkē, through the king; however, since most of the postpositions have lost their original character as nouns, they are agglutinated to the noun or pronoun without governing them and without taking themselves any case sign; e.g., merkh $\bar{a}$ $m \tilde{\tilde{e}} y \bar{a}$, on, in heaven; khēkhēl kīya, under the earth; erp $\bar{a} \bar{u} l \bar{a}$, within the house ; candō lekhä, like the moon.
§ 87.
List of postpositions.
Mund, mundbhärē, before, in front.
$K h o ̄ k h \bar{a}, \underline{k} h \bar{o} k \underline{\alpha} \bar{a} n \bar{u}$, behind, after.
Mễya, above, over, on, in.
Kiy $a$, beneath, under, below.
$\bar{U} l a ̄$, within, in, inside.
Bāharī, (Hindì) without, out, outside.
$N \bar{u}$, in, among, in the midst of.
Madh:e $n \bar{u}$, majhī $n \bar{u}$, (Hindī) are also used in the above sense.
Gusan, with, at the side of, near, by.
Ganē, with, in company of, along with.
$B a ̈ r \bar{r}$, with, together; also: whilst, during.
Ipakhē ; a pakhé, on this side; on that side, over, beyond.
$A d d d a ̄ n \bar{u}$, instead, in lieu; alsn éeraj̄ (Urdī) $n \bar{u}$.
Lèke, by, through, by means of.

Gustī, gustī̀ē, from, by means of, away from.
Tìlē, tūlē, from by, through.
Gut̄̄̄, till, until, up to.
Tarä, towards, in the direction of.
Birdō, birdō $n \bar{u}$, opposite, against, contrary to.
$B \bar{a} r e \bar{e}, b \overline{a r} r e \bar{e} n \bar{u}, b a ̈ b a t$ ( Urdū) with regard, eoncerning, about.
Khattri, (Urdū) for, on account of.
Caddè, (more idiomatical) for, on account of.
 on account of, for the purpose of, by reason of ; ī̃̃gē, ã̃̃ँ $\bar{u}$, are composed of $\bar{i}$, this, $\bar{a}$, that, $\overline{\bar{O}} \tilde{\bar{n}}$, muoh and $g \bar{e}$, for; hence lit. this and that much for.

Bēgar and chorä’ekē are both used for "except, without."
However, a more genuine Kurukh way of expressing this idea is by employing the verb $\bar{a} m b n \bar{a}$, to leave, in its past participle form; e.g., idin ambar kī urmin hōā, except this take away everything.

Lekhā, like, similar.
§ 88. Elliptical sentences : of these the following may be mentioned in connection with adverbs: endr akho'e, nē $a k h \bar{n}$, nēkhō, for "who knows?" Endr nano'e, endr nanon, for "what's to be done?"

Endr nanta'adai, for "what are you going to do?"
 mala à mano'e, unless you take medicine, you will not improve in health.
$\bar{A} n u \bar{u} h \bar{o}-m a l \bar{a}$, nevertheless : èn pēskan àn $\bar{u} h \bar{o}$ malã kirkai, in spite of my order you did not go.

## CHAPTER IX.

## CONJUNCTIONS.

## A.-Remarks.

$\S 89$. In the list of conjunctions given below, those have been omitted which are made use of by people who have much intercourse with Hindus, viz., the Hindi $k i$, that ; tō, then ; jab je, if etc. Their application in Kurukh is, to say the least, quite superfluous and certainly not idiomatic; thus, for example, the phrase: "he did not believe that his son ran away," should notbe rendered: às malā patãcas ki tañghai khaddas bongas: but tarigdas boñgas, $\bar{a} s$ malā pattācas. In the same way the Hindi aur, and, need not be employed at all, since Kurukh has its equivalents for the same: moreover in this language conjunctions which bind two sentences are not so freely used as in some other languages, the Kurukh preferring rather the use of conjunctive participles; for example, the sentence: he was beaten and died, should not be rendered às lauras aur kecas; but should rather be às lauras $k i ̄$ kecas or lauras darả keecas.
§90. B.-List of conjunctions.
Arā, anti, darā, and.
Munḍä, backan, pahē, but, however.
Anti, antilē, khanē, then.
$T \bar{a}, g \bar{a}$, holē, then, hence.
$\boldsymbol{R} \bar{a}$, or and malt $\bar{a}$, or.
Darā, hõ̃, also.
Durū and durä, the same.
$H_{\tilde{0}}^{\tilde{0}}, a \dot{a} n \bar{u} h \tilde{\tilde{0}}$, even, even if, yet, nevertheless.
$M a k ' l e \bar{e}$, if not theu.
Idâtō, namely, viz.
$\bar{A} \bar{u} g \bar{e}, \bar{i} g \bar{e}, \dot{a} u \check{u} t \bar{u}$, on account of, because: a $\bar{u} u \tilde{u} t \bar{z}$ is composed of $\dot{d}$, that and $\bar{e} \overline{\bar{o}}$, much, and $t \bar{\tau}$, from : that much from.
Ennegè, $\bar{z} g e ̄$, cadde, therefore, hence.
Orighōn, again, then, moreover.
Aklk $\bar{a}, \operatorname{org}^{\prime} \bar{a}$, as if, as it were, virtually, suppose.

## CHAPTER X.

## NUMERALS.

§ 91. The Rurukh language has four couples of original numbers, four for rational and four for irrational beings; these are Dravidian, but the remainder of the numerals are apparently borrowed from Hindi.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { §92. The Cardinals are: } \\
& \text { Ort, indef. maso. or fem. , one; ortos def. masc. only. } \\
& \text { Irb, " " " , two; irbar, def. maso. and fem. } \\
& \text { Nub, " } ", ~ ", ~ t h r e e ; ~ n u b a r, ~ d e f . ~ " ~ f o u r ; ~ n a i b a r, ~ d e f . ~ " ~
\end{aligned} \text { " }
$$

§93. Declension of masc. and fem. numerals.
$N$. ort or ortos, one; irb, two.
G. ort or ortos gahi, of one; irbargahi, of two.
D. ort or ortos ge, to one; irbar gè, to two.
A. ort or ort'sin, one; irbarin, two.
A. ort or ortos $t i$, from one; irbar $t i$, from two.
L. ort or ortos $n \bar{u}, \quad$ in one; irbar $n \bar{u}$, in two.

| . | $n u b$, | three ; | naib, | four. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . | nubar gahi, | of three; | naibar gahi, | of four. |
| D. | nubar gè, | to three; | naibar gè, | ur. |
| . | nularin, | three; | naibarin, | four. |
| 4. | nubar ti, | from three; | naibar tī, | from four. |
| $L$. | nubar nū, | in three; | naibar $n \bar{u}$, | four |

§94. The nominative form of these numerals always precedes the noun, which it qualifies nub àlar gahi bärē $n \bar{u}$, with regard to three men. Nerr ort mukkan parmiyä, a snake has bitten a woman. Naib älar tī menjas, he heard it from four men.

The nominative numeral for "one" may be used as an indefinite article, but it must be borne in mind that the Kurukh has no article, and that ort, ond and ont $\bar{a}$ always mean really one in contradistinction of two or more.

The neuter numeral ond and onta also precede the noun to which they belong, whilst end, mūnd and näkh generally follow it in such a manner as to form a compound word: engā ond gōholä addo ra'ī, I have one yoke oxen; enghai gōholä end addo ra'í, I have two yoke oxen. Ulend manjä, two days hare passed (lit. two days became) ; ulmünd manjja, it is three days, etc., but ond may also precede the noun forming a compound with it: ondul enne manja, it happened one day.
§ 95. Distributives are formed in different ways, viz. -

1. By adding gōtā, a whole, a piece, to the neuter numeral, and jhan, person (from the Hindi $j a n$ ) to the masculine or feminine neuter; in this case the numeral whether neuter or masculine-feminine precedes the noun with which it is connected ; e.g., èn end götan mekkhon khindkan; I have bought two heads of cattle; èn näkhgötan ugtan ondrkan, I have brought four pieces of ploughshares; $\overline{\bar{O}}$ mukkar barcar? how many women have come? nub jhanar gā barcar, it is three persons who came. It will be noticed from these examples that $g \bar{o} t \bar{a}$ and jhan participate in the inflection of the noun to which they belong.
2. Besides gotā an abbreviation of ont $\bar{a}$, namely, ota or otang is also used as a distributive, being added to a neuter numeral; e.g., end otan ondr' $\bar{a}$, bring two (i.e., two pieces of the thing understood): ot $\bar{a}$ also is made subject to the inflection of its noun.
3. Distributives are formed also by repeating the numeral, as ar ort ort körcar, they entered one, one, i.e., one by one; às onṭtan onttan mañkhyas, he let in one, one, i.e., one by one.
4. By putting the repeated numeral into the ablative case we get another distributive form, viz., ār nub nub tī barcar, they came from, i.e. by threes.
5. The English distributive ordinals, "every second," "every third" are rendered in Kurukh: īrb nü ort, mūnd nū ontā ; lit. in two one, in three one; $u l$ mūnd nū ondul, every third day; lit. in three days one day.
§ 96. Proportional numbers are formed by compounding the prefix par with the numeral; e.g., pärend, two times; pärmūnd, three times. The adverbial numeral " twofold," "threefold" are taken from Hindì, i.e., duharā, $t i ̄ h a r \bar{a}$, etc. From five upwards bhari, "times," is added, which stands both for " times" and "fold;" pañchē bhari, etc., five times, fivefold.
§ 97. The Kurukh ordinals are formed only from the neuter cardinals, by adding ant $\bar{a}, n t \bar{a}$ or $t \bar{a}$, meaning " of " or "belonging to." It must be noted, however, that the first ordinal is formed irregularly, it being not ondant $\bar{a}$ or ondt $\bar{a}$, but mund'ta and mundant $\bar{a}$, the first; the second then is endant $\bar{a}$ or $c n d t \bar{a}$; the third, mūndant $\bar{a}$ or $m \bar{u} n d t \bar{a}$; the fourth, näkhani $\bar{a}$ or $n \bar{a} k \underline{h} t \bar{a}$. William the Third is William mündtas, def. William the First: William mundtas. George the Fourth: George näkhtas; the second endtas; but "the first man" would be: mundtāāāăs. All the rest of Ordinals are formed in the same way, by adding $n t \bar{a}$ or $t \bar{a}$ to the Kurukhized Hindì numeral.
§ 98. Complete list of Cardinal and Ordinal numerals from one to one hundred.

|  |  | Ordinals. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ond | one. | mundtā | first. |
| end | two. | endtā | second. |
| mūnd | three. | mūndt $\bar{a}$ | third. |
| $n \bar{a} \underline{k}$ | four. | $n \bar{a} \underline{\underline{c} h t \bar{a}}$ | fourth. |
| pañcē | five. | pantā | fifth. |
| soyē | six. | so'et $\bar{a}$ | sixth. |
| sāyē | seven. | sä'etä | seventh. |
| $\bar{a} \underline{\text { ch }}$ | eight. | $\bar{a} \underline{k} h t \bar{a}$ | eighth. |

## Cardinals.

| $n \bar{\alpha} y \bar{e}$ doyé | nine. ten. | $n \bar{a}^{\prime} e t \bar{\alpha}$ do'et $\bar{a}$ | ninth. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| doyond | eleven. | do'emuntā | eleventh. |
| doyend | twelve. | doyendtā | twelfth. |
| doy-mūnd | thirteen. | do'emūnảtā | thirteenth. |
| " $n \bar{a} k h$ | fourteen. | $d 0^{\prime} e$-n $\bar{\alpha} \underline{k h t} \bar{\alpha}$ | fourteenth. |
| , pañcē | fifteen. | ", panta | fifteenth. |
| „ soye | sixteen. | , so'et $\bar{\alpha}$ | sixteenth. |
| , sàyē | seventeen. | ,, sä'etax | seventeenth. |
| , ${ }^{\text {a }} \underline{\underline{c} h}$ | eighteen. | " $\bar{\alpha} \underline{k h} t \bar{\alpha}$ | eighteenth. |
| " näyē | nineteen. | $n \bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}^{\prime}$ t $\bar{a}$ | nineteenth |
| eñdōyē | twenty. | eņdo'et $\bar{\alpha}$ | twentieth. |
| endoye ond | twenty-one. | endo'e muntā | twenty-first. |
| , end | twenty-two. | endoyendtā | twenty-second. |
| \% mūnd | twenty-three. | $m \bar{u} n d t \bar{\alpha}$ | twenty-third. |
| , $n \bar{\alpha} \underline{k h}$ | twenty-four. | $n \bar{a} k \underline{h} t \bar{a}$ | twenty-fourth. |
| ", pañcè | twenty-five. | endoy pantä | twenty-fifth. |
| " soyē | twenty-six. | , so'et $\bar{\alpha}$ | twenty-sixth. |
| , sā$y \bar{e}$ | twenty-seven. | ,, sä'et $\bar{\alpha}$ | twenty-seventh. |
| " $\bar{\alpha} \underline{k} \underline{h}$ | twenty -eight. | , $\bar{a} \underline{\underline{c} h} t \bar{\alpha}$ | twenty-eighth. |
| "nāyē | twenty-nine. | , $n \bar{\alpha} y t \bar{a}$ | twenty-ninth. |
| mūnddōye | thirty. | münddo'et $\bar{\alpha}$ | thirtieth. |
| mūnddoyeond | thirty-one. | mūnddo'emuntā | thirty-first. |
| münddoyend | thirty-two. |  | thirty-second. |
| mūnddo'emūnd | thirty-three. | mūnddo'emūndtā | thirty-third. |
| , $n \bar{\alpha} \underline{z c h}$ | thirty-four. | , nālhhta | thirty-fourth. |
| ,, pañcè | thirty-five. | ,, pantä | thirty-fifth. |
| ," sōyē | thirty-six. | so'et $\bar{\alpha}$ | thirty-sixth. |
| ", sāyē | thirty-seven | ,, să'etä | thirty-seventh. |
| " $\bar{\alpha} \underline{\underline{c} h}$ | thirty-eight. | " ${ }^{\text {ajhhhta }}$ | thirty-eighth. |
| , $n \bar{a} y \bar{e}$ | thirty-nine. | 1) $n \bar{a} \cdot \mathrm{eta}$ | thirty-ninth. |
| nākhdoyē | forty. | $n \bar{\alpha} k h h d 0^{\prime} e t \bar{\alpha}$ | fortieth. |
| näkh ${ }^{\text {doyond }}$ | forty-one. | $n \bar{\alpha} \underline{k h}$ do'emunt $\bar{\alpha}$ | forty-first. |
| $n \bar{\alpha}$ chhdoyend | forty-two. | näkhdoyendto | forty-second. |
| $n \bar{\alpha} \underline{c h}{ }^{\text {doyēmūnd }}$ | forty-three. | $n \bar{a}$ khdoem $\bar{\sim} n d t \bar{a}$ | forty-third. |
| $n \bar{\alpha} \underline{k} \underline{L}$ | forty-four. | $n \bar{a} k h t \bar{a}$ | forty-fourth. |
| $p a n ̃ c \vec{e}$ | forty five. | , pantā | forty-fifth, |
| sōyē | forty-six. | , so'etâ | forty-sixth, |

## Cardinals.

nākhdo'e säyē forty-seven.
 pandōy pandoyond pandoyend
pando'emūnd
" $n \bar{a} k h$
pañcē
soyé
sāyē
$\bar{a} \underline{\underline{c} h}$ $n \bar{a} y \bar{e}$
soydoyē
soydoyond
soydoyend
soydo'emünd

| $\#$ | $n \bar{a} k h$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $"$ | $p a n ̃ c e$ |

" soyē
" sāyē sixty-seven.
" $\bar{a} \underline{k h}$ sixty-eight.
, nāyē
sāyēdoyē
sāyēdoyond
sāyēdoyend
sāydo'emūnd

| $n \mathrm{a}$ ¢ l h | seventy-four. |
| :---: | :---: |
| pañrē | seventy-five. |
| sôyè | seventy-six. |
| ${ }_{\text {sa }}^{\text {y }}$ ē | seventy-seven |
| $\bar{a} \underline{k} h$ | seventy-eight. |
| nāyè | seventy-nine. |
| ¢hdoyē | eighty. |
| $\bar{a}$ ahdo dond | eighty-one. |
| $\bar{a}$ khhdoyend | eighty-two. |
| mūnd | eighty-three |
| $n \bar{a} \underline{\underline{c h}}$ | eighty-fou |

Ordinals.
$n \bar{a} \underline{k h o e ~ s a ̈ ' e t a ̄ ~} \quad$ forty-seventh. forty-eighth. forty-ninth. fiftieth. fifty-first. fifty-second. fifty-third. fifty-fourth. fifty-ifth. fifty-sixth. fifty-seventh. fifty-eighth. fifty-ninth. sixtieth. sixty-first. sixty-second. sixty-third. sixty-fourth. sixty-fifth. sixty-sixth. sixty-serenth. sixty-eighth. sixty-ninth. serentieth. seventy-first. seventy-second.
seventy-third.
seventy-fourth.
severty-fifth. seventy-sixth. seventy-seventh.
seventy-eighth.
seventy-ninth.
eightieth.
eighty-first.
eighty-second.
eighty-third.
eighty-fourth.

| àkhdoyend pañcè | eighty-five. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ", soyë | eighty-six. |
| ,, sāyē | eighty-seven. |
| " $\bar{a} \underline{l c h}$ | eighty-eight. |
| " $n \bar{a} y \bar{e}$ | eighty-nine. |
| $n \bar{\alpha} y$ edoy ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | ninety. |
| n̄̄aldoyond | ninety-one. |
| nāyedoyend | ninety-two. |
| $n \bar{a} y d o '$ mūnd | ninety-three. |
| $n \bar{a} \underline{k}$ h | ninety-four. |
| pañcē | ninety-five. |
| soyē | ninety-sixth. |
| ? sāyē | ninety-seven. |
| , $\overline{\text { a }}$ kh | ninety-eight. |
| " nāyē | ninety-nine. |
| d $\bar{a}^{\prime}$ edoye ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | one hundred. |

Ordinals.

§ 99. It must be borne in mind, however, that the genuine Kurukh way of counting is not by employing the arithmetical table, but by making use of the collective numerals ; kūrī or $b \overline{i s} o^{\prime} e$, score: ond kuuri or ond $d \bar{d} s o^{\prime} e$, one score, küriend, end bīso'e, two scores, etc.

A division of the year and the manner how the Kurukh measures will be found in the Appendices Nos. VII and VIII.

## CHAPTER XI.

§ 100. INTERJECTIONS.
$a y \overline{0}, \mathbf{O}$ mother!
ana bang, 0 father!
and $\bar{o}, 0$ mother!
$\bar{e}$, ana, anai, ane vocatives, 0 !
oh rē ! oh rē ayō! expressive of pain and distress.
$h \tilde{\bar{a}} d \bar{\imath}$, be gone! be off!
guchr' $\bar{a}$, guchr'ai! (fem.) get away!
chächem, hush ; silence! shut up!
guc $\bar{a}$ ! come along!
ondä, take!
$h u r u \overline{,}$ enough ! It's done.
lauckan, I have done, finished, won; lauckat, etc.
$t h \bar{u}, t h \bar{u}!$ Fie ! fie ! expressive of disgust.
$k \hbar \bar{a} \bar{a}, \operatorname{die}$ !
potā, are potä! expression of contempt.
bhokho! fool!
êrkē, take heed! take care! beware !
bharī, let's pause! stop!
anti, anti je, of course, no doubt.
janu gè! bar'gē! barā janū! dear, come dear.
thaukam, bravo! well said! well done!

## PART II.

CHAPTER XII.

## SYNTAX.

## A.-On the Dravidian characteristics in the Kurukh grammar.

§ 101. The principal characteristics of the Dravidian languages are, according to Dr. Caldwell, the following. They are in the first place agglutinative. This characteristio is found in Kurulle, whioh expresses grammatical relations by means of affixed words, which maintain throughout their original character. The Dravidian noun has only one declension. This is just the case of the Kurukh noun. The Kurukh also divides his nouns into rational and irrational, the latter being neuter, whether they be animate or inanimate. The plural for masculine and feminine nouns is identical, and the neuter noun has, striotly speaking, no plural. As in Dravidian languages, so in Kurukh, the personal pronoun, plural number, has a collective plural besides, which includes the first and second person. The endings of the inflected noun are nothing but personal pronouns added to them. The nominative singular has no case sign, and those of the oblique cases are added to it or to the modified stem without any connecting link whatever. Adjectives in Kurukh as in all Dravidian languages are mere nouns of relation or quality, which are prefixed to the substantive without alteration. The comparison of adjectives is formed in a true Dravidian style, by placing the adjective to be compared in the nominative and the noun or pronoun with which it is compared in the ablative case. The Kurukh has no relative pronoun, and no third person personal pronoun, a very characteristic Dravidian feature.
§102. The structure of the Kurukh verb is like that of all Dravidian verbs agglutinative: first the root, then the voice characteristic or causation particle, after this the tense characteristic, and finally the pronominal ending, denoting person, gender and number. The Kurukh verb has but one conjugation, like its sisters of the Dravidian family, and forms like these appellative verbs or nouns which are used and conjugated as verbs.

## B.- On the formation of Sentences.

$\S 103$. In Kurukh all sentences commence with the nominative or subject and end with the verb: jōkhas cãkhdas, the servant sows. The adjective precedes the substantive: kōha jō̃khas cõ̃hhālagdas, the great servant is sowing. The adverb precedes the verb: kōh $\bar{\alpha} j \tilde{\bar{o}} \underline{k h a s ~ p a i r i m ~ c a ̃ ̃ k h a ̈ l a g d a s ~}$ the great servant is sowing early (in the morning).

The object takes its place before the verb and adverb respectively: $k o ̄ h \bar{a}$ jö̃hhas khessan pairim cã̃hhālagdas, the great servant is sowing rice early in the morning.

The qualifying noun of the subject precedes the latter, also that of the object: golbas gahi kōhā jö̃khas tanghai urbas gahi khal nū khessan pairim cãkhālagdas, the great servant of the landlord is sowing rice early in the field of his master.

The reflexive pronoun follows the noun for whieh it stands, as seen from the above example.

The numeral precedes the noun and adjective which it qualifies: irb jökhar, two servants.

Postpositions follow the noun which they govern: gollas gahi irb jõkhar tamhai urbas gahī. khal nū khār gahi āpakhē khessan pairim cã̃̄khālaynar. Two great servants of the landlord are sowing rice in the field of their master aoross the river early in the morning.

Participles precede the verb they qualify: gollas gahi irb kōhāa jōkhar tamhai urbas gahi khal. n̄̄ khār gahi āpakhē ercrnūtim pairim khessan cãkhālagnar. Two great servants of the landlord perspiring are sowing rice early in the field of their master beyond the river.

Imperative sentences follow the same syntactic rules, viz. ana harō nīm irb kōhä jö̃kharō nimhai urbas gahi khal nū khessan pairim cã̈kh' $\bar{a}$, O ye two great servants sow early rice in the field of your master !

Interrogative sentences follow the same rules; the qualifying interrogative pronoun preceding the nominative or subject of the sentence: enilr ninn irb kōhā jōkharō nimhai urlas gahi khal nū khessan cäkhàlagdar? What ye two great servants, are you sowing rice in the field of your master?

In relative sentences we find the same rules being observed, the relative being put at the head of the first clause and the correlative in the second.
 ayam nerr parmiya, the great servant who was sowing rice in the field of his master was bitten there by a snake.

From the above it will be seen that when a sentence contains one or more clauses, the nominative always takes the first place and the all govern. ing finite verb the last.

## CHAPTER XIII.

## ON THE NOUN.

## A.-Gender and number.

§104. God, spirits and men being only capable of sexual distinction, according to the conception of the Kurukh, by far the largest number of his nouns are neuter. Even in animate objects he generally does not make any distinction as to gender and speaks of a tiger or tigress as if they were neuter. The godhead, i.e., the supreme or good spirit, is supposed by the Kurukh to be of the female sex, and it is only the Christian, who by simply adding the definitizing as turns the feminine word Dharme into the masouline Dharmés. It has been said that Dharmé is of Hindì origin; however, this is not the case; it is rather a pure Scythian word and means Maker or Creator, and is therefore a very suitable term for God.

The fact that the Urãō̃ in speaking about or addressing women makes use of the masculine form of the plural is very peculiar, and no doubt points to the position of equality women hold among these otherwise backward people.

Neuter objects being incapable of bejng divided into male and female according to the notions of the Rurukh, he considers consequently even a plurality of them as being a unit, a whole, a mass, and therefore speaks about a plurality of neuter objects as if it were a single one.

It is only when it is desired to mark the idea of plurality in neuter nouns that the neutral plural sign guthi is added. The original meaning of this suffix is $g o ̄ t \bar{c}$, whole or gōhond $\bar{a}$, a number, a multitude.
§105. The Kurukh abstract noun may be used as an adjective, verb,
 mechā, high; mech $\bar{a}$, hight ; mechā $n \bar{u}$, on the hight; èn mechan, I am high.

## B.-- Case.

§106. The nominative is not propided with a case sign in Kurunh. It is generally identical with the base of the oblique cases, the signs of which are simply added to the nominative, excepting some pronouns, which add the case sign to the modified stem and not to the nominative.

The subject of the sentence, whatever it may be, noun, pronoun, eto., must always stand in the nominative case. This case is frequently employed where one would expect the accusative or a postposition, e.g., én erpã käadan, I am going home. When two substantives are placed together, the one qualifying the other, both stand in the nominative: Sōmrä naigas, Somra the priest ; Käsinäth gollxs, Kāsīnāth the landlord. The same rule applies to the name of countries, towns and rivers, etc., in whioh the Kurukh also, unlike the English, does not use the genitive, but the nominative, being the apposition to the proper noun: Biläyat rājl, the country of Europe; Kurkhì paddā, the village of Kurgī; Kṑ el klkăr, the Koel river.
§ 107. The genitive case presents some difficulty to the student, because it is frequently employed where we would expect another case and vice versd, is not used where we would expect it; e.g., he is deaf on one ear, must be rendered: às gahi ond khebbdā mal minvi'ī or às ontō akhebdā gahi sondêe ra'as. On the contrary: "they fled from the fear of the tiger" would not be idiomatieally translated by: $\bar{A} r$ lak $\boldsymbol{q}^{a}$ gahi ilck $\bar{a}$ or elenã $t \bar{z}$ borigar, because this would not denote the fear which the tiger inspired, but their own fear; therefore, this senteuce ought to be constructed arr lakran ilcyar darä bonigar.

The use of the genitive in Kurukh is rather restricted to the idea of possession. When local connection is to be denoted, the possessive case sign ant $\bar{a}$ is used instead of galii : paddant $\bar{a} p \bar{a} b$, the road of the village. Age also is expressed by this possessive and not by the genitive: às näkh cänantā or cän näkh manjas, he is four years of age.
§ 108. The genitive is employed also to signify the material out of which something is made: kailk gahi erpa a a house of wood, i.e., a wooden house; but the former may also be used adjectively ; kank erpã, having the same neaning.

The price at which something is bought stands in the genitive case: münd takā gahi or takā münd tīlhhil, three Rupīs rice; measure is applied without the genitive or any ease sign, uddü̈nd $t i \underline{k} h i l$, two män riee.

In nouns signifying relatives, the genitive oase sign is dropped and the noun compounded with the base of the pronoun : e.g., enghai : my ; but to say enghai das, my son, would be wrong; it should be engdas, my son. We may say $\tilde{a} s$ gahi khai, his wife; but tanig khaci would be more appropriate and idiomatical. In the words: my father, my mother, a furthor exception is
made with regard to the genitive case ; for not only is the case sign dropped, but instead of the stem of the pronoun preceding the noun, it is made to succeed it: $b \bar{a}$, father, eng (oblique base of the pronoun I), compounded becomes baing, my father; ayō, mother, combined with erig, base of the genitive enghai, becomes ayang, my mother. This exceptional rendering, however, is quite a familiar phenomenon in the Scythian languages: according to Dr. Caldwell's grammar, the Hungarian also says: father mine, master mine, etc. "My master" in Hungarian is uram; from ur, Master and em or am, my.
§ 109. The dative. This case is often used where we would expect the accusative or genitive case; e.g., "let us confess our faults" is not construed with the genitive, but with the dative, when it is intended to denote severalty: näm tañgā tanggā akkäbalkan tenigro'ōt; lit. we to us, to us, i.e., our the faults will confess; however, when it is the object to signify universality, tamhai will be employed instead of tang $\bar{a}$. "I have" is construed not with the accusative as in English, but by the dative: I have no money, eñöa or erigä gé dhibā malī, lit. to me no money is; but "I have money" would be better construed with the genitive : enghai dhibā ra' $\bar{\imath}$. "He went to his village" would not be construed with the dative, but either with the nominative or the locative: $\bar{a} s$ tanghai paddả or paddā nū kēras. The dative in Kurukh does rather not denote direction, but purpose and also necessity: $\bar{\alpha} s$ khēndā or khēndà gē la'adas, he is going to buy; kicrī cingāgè cār ra' $\mathrm{\imath}$, I am in need of clothing. In other respects the dative is used in the same way as it is in English; e.g., I gave to him, èn äs gè cickan.

The dative is also used sometimes in a locative sense for gūt $\bar{t}$, till, until; example: är gahi ōnar barā gé nām isānim ok'ōt, lit. until their having eaten to come let us sit here.
§ 110. The accusative. This objective case is used for the nearer as well as for the remote object of a sentence: Ēn ásin lakran piṭa gè änkan I told him (accusat.) to kill the tiger. From the preceding example it will be also observed that verbs signifying telling (speaking, saying) require the noun to be in the accusative case. So it is with permissive verbs; e.g., let him go āsin kālāa ci'a .
$\S 111$. The ablative and instrumental. As in other Dravidian languages so in Kuruch the case signs of the ablative and instrumental case are
identical; $t \bar{\imath}$ or $t r \bar{\imath}$ or $t r \bar{u}$ and $n t \bar{\imath}$ or ant $\bar{\imath}$ are used for either of them. Whenover the idea of place is denoted tiz, eto., is the ablative, and when instrumentality is to be signified it is the sign of the instrumental. A parallel may be found in the English : by, which also has the double meaning of with and by or through, and is therefore of an ablative and instrumental character. Examples: är Räncintī barcar, they have come from Ranchi. Nīn ikiyantī barckai, where did you come from? And ì erpā khaj tī kamrkī ra'ī, this house is made of clay. $\bar{A} s$ landià manjkā $t \bar{\imath}$ kīrā manjas, he has been impoverished by laziness. Still more distinct instrumental case signs are trū and tūlē: $\bar{a} s$ kīrā trū keccas, he died from (through) starvation. Eingāgē gollas tūlé $k h a k h r a \bar{a}$, I got it through the landlord. Conhā tūlée, through love. Gustilē is another ablative case sign : nām ās gustīlē ho'ōt, let as take from him.

Origin and authorship is likewise expressed by the ablative case. $\bar{A}$ $k u k k o s$ ädigahi khekhā $t \bar{\imath}$ ra'as, that boy is of her hands, i.e. born from her. Man pädan $t \bar{i}$ urkhí, the tree comes forth from the root. Also time is
 since.

The instrumental may be expressed by the adverb lēké, through, which governs the genitive case: khē̄khēl ànkē gahi lēkē kamrkì ra'̃, the world has been created by the word.
§ 112. The locative is applied when place, time or condition is expressed: tōrang n $n \bar{u}$ lakṛa $r a ' \bar{\imath}$, there is a tiger in the jangal; $\bar{e} k \bar{a} c \bar{a} n n \bar{u}$ kundrkai? In what year have you been born? sastī nū gam mōkhū ra'a, be patient in distress. Direction also is expressed by this case: $\bar{\alpha} s$ doriga $\bar{a} n \bar{u}$ argyas, he stepped into the boat. By $n \bar{u}$ also comparison is indicated: mekkhō $n \bar{u}$ mankh $\bar{a} \bar{a} k \bar{h} \bar{a} r a^{\prime} \bar{\imath}$, among cattle the buffalo is the biggest. $N \bar{u}$ is rather not a case sign, but a postposition, in lieu of which consequently similar postpositions are employed in the locative case: especially, gusan, with and gane, by.
"He is not with us" $\bar{A} s$ nam gusan malkas; and $\bar{a} d$ mètar gane ker $\bar{a}$, she went with the men. Mẽ̃ny $\bar{a}$, on, and kīyă, under, are also frequently in use for the locative case sign. From the combination of the ablative sign $t i$ with the sign of the ablative $n \bar{u}$ and gusan we get what might be termed a double
locative : nūtū, nūtim, from in; qustī and gustilē, from with; example äs nūtim from out of him; äs gust̄̄ or gustilē, from with him.
§ 113. The vocative. This case having been explained already in the etymological part, an explanation is only required for the vocative interjection $\bar{e}$ which might be supposed to have been derived from Hindi or Hindustānī $h \bar{e}$ or $a i$. This is, however, not the case, $\bar{e}$ being a genuine old Dravidian form of the vocative interjection. Its companion ana is probably a natural sound according to the consensus gentium : ana bang, $O$ father or rather : $\mathbf{O m y}$ father! Its counterpart for a plurality addressed is haro ; this is apparently derived from $\tilde{a} r$, they, the plural ending and the vocative case sign $\bar{o}:$ barā harõ, come ye! In Kurukh the noun being capable of conjugation: Urban, I am a master, or I master; Urbai, thou art a master, or thou master; Urbaro, you are masters or you masters: the form of the second person of such a conjugated noun may also be used as a vocative, without $\bar{e}$ or ana or harō being necessarily prefixed: Urbai, O Lord, the same as Urbāyõ or ē Urbäyō. Urbarō, O Masters, the same as é Urbarō or ana Drbarõ. With regard to harō it must be noted that its use is rather restricted in calling equals and inferiors and is not applied when addressing superiors. The plural baggar is also used in an appellative sense: näm dādāa baggat; èm dādà baggam, we elder brothers.

## CHAPTER XIV.

## THE ARTICLE AND ADJECTIVE,

## A. - On the article.

§ 114. There is no word in Kuruld which might correspond to the English a, an, the. The context only enables ono to determine whether the noun is definite or indefinite. It must be remembered, however, that by affixing as or $s$ to the indefinite noun singular it becomes always definite, this $s$ or $a s$, he, having therefore the force of the definite article : $\bar{a} l$, a man ; alas, the man : kukio, a boy; kukos, the boy. The plural is made definite by prefixing ibr $\bar{a}$, these, and abra, those, to the noun in a demonstrative manner: $i b r \bar{\alpha} \bar{a} l a r$ and $a b r \bar{\alpha} \bar{a} l a r$, these and those men. The place of the indefinite article may be supplied by the use of the numeral ort and ond or onta, one, the former for masculine and feminine, the latter for neuter nouns, at the beginning of sentences. In the same manner the indefinite pronoun nil'im is used in the sense of an indefinite article for the masculine and feminine gender and indr'im for neuter substantives; $\overline{e k}$ ' $\begin{gathered}m \\ \text { may } \\ \text { be used in the same }\end{gathered}$ way for all genders : ort a alas barcas, a man came, lit. one man came: ondul, one day, a certain time, etc. Nīk'im àl barc kī̀ ra'acã, a man (some one) had come; indr'im älō ra'acā, ádin nēhõ balālagyā, there was a thing, which no one knew ; êk'äm bhokhō ra'acas, there was a fool ; êk'äm ullä nū enné manjā, it happened some day. When it is desirable to express definiteness, the Kurukh uses the interrogative êk $\bar{a}$ and the demonstrative pronoun, placing them in a correlative position: Ek'äm älas barcas äsin mḕhhä, call the man who came. Ekā pümpan biddkai adin ondr' $\bar{a}$, bring the flower you have found.

## B.-On the adjective.

§ 115. Adjectives being in reality nouns can be declined, as has been shown already in the etymology. They serve as verbs as well: id puddō, this will be too short, akkun $\bar{u} \underline{\underline{c} h y} \bar{a}$, it has beoome dark ; and they may be turned
also into appellative verbs and thus be conjugated: èn kōhan, I am great or rather the great.

| N̄̄n kō.hai, | thou art great or the great. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ās kōhas, | he is great or the great. |
|  | we are great or the great ones. |
| Ėm paph ${ }^{\text {am, }}$ | we are sinn |
| Nīm maldãuhar, | you are wicked. |
| $\bar{A}$ s landias, | he is an idler (idle one). |
| Ār malkörēr, | they are unhealthy (people). |

§116. When the adjective qualifies the action of a noun, the latter requires to be in the locative case : äs tarighai nalakh nū landiā ra'as, he is lazy in his work. In comparison the adjective requires the ablative, $\bar{a} s$ engan $t \bar{\imath}$ kōhä ra'adas, he is greater than I; lit. from me he is great ; a paddāa ìyan tī ē̃̄ gechä ra'ı ? how far is that village from here? Adjectives denoting qualities in persons or things also put the substantive in the locative case : $\bar{j} \bar{a} \bar{n} \bar{u}$ sanni, humble in mind.

When an adjective or a word used adjectively qualifies more than one noun of different number and gender, the verb is made to agree with the final noun. Iñgyō embā arà khadd kharrā pāh̄̄ barcar, my parents and children have come on a visit; khāpur ara mekkhō urmi gundī̀ e? the cawherds and all the cattle nave entered the cowshed.
§ 117. All adjectives conveying the idea of superlatives govern the ablative case : $\bar{a}$ padd $\bar{a}$ isan $\bar{\imath}$ gech $\bar{a} r a^{\prime} \bar{\imath}$, that village is far from here; also those which express fulness : ari amm tī nindki $\boldsymbol{r} a^{\prime} \bar{\imath}$, the pot is full of water.

It must be noted that in Kurukh the verb is often applied where we would use the adjective combined with an auxiliary verb; e.g., I am tired from walking, èknā t̄̄ khardkan.

Some adjectives govern the genitive: $\bar{\alpha} s$ tambas gahi conhä khaddas taldas, he is the beloved son of his father.

## CHAPTER XV.

## ON THE PRONOUN.

§ 118. Kurukh has no honorific pronoun; all persons are addressed with $n \overline{i n}$, thou; except that the word saiha is sometimes used in a honorifle sense; for example, in connection with the imperative, bar' $\bar{a}$ saih $\bar{a}$, be pleased to come or come in, Sir.
§ 119. If the possessive pronoun is repeated, severalty is expressed thereby; $\bar{a} r$ tangg $\bar{a}$ tañgā padd $\bar{a}$ kiryar, they returned to their respective villages.
§120. There is a peculiar way of connecting the possessive pronoun with the words for parents, children and other relatives, which will be seen from the following list:-
embas, my or our father.
nimbas, thy or your "
nambas, my and thy or our and your father.
tambas, his and their father.
ingyō, my mother.
engdas, my son.
éngdà, my daughter.
èigdädas, my elder brother.
ing?is, my younger brother.
engdai, my elder sister.
irigdī, my younger sister.
eṅgnasgō, my elder brother's wife.
khadiō, younger brother's wife; used only in addressing her.
bäêēalas, husband's elder brother.
bäẽ" $\bar{a} l \bar{\imath}, \quad$, $\quad$ sister.
erkhos, $\quad$, younger brother.
erkhō, ", sister.
èkhlagos, elder brother's son.
ēkhlagō, " " daughter.
égjaunkhaddis, my son-in-law.
erigskhedddo, my daughter-in-law.

All other terms for relationship are taken from Hindī, as -
èngbaras, my elder (paternal) uncle. engkakas, my younger (paternal) uncle. cigmãmus, my elder (and younger) uncle (maternal). erigtācī, my elder (and younger) aunt (paternal). engmusī, my (maternal) aunt.

When emphasis is intended the full form of the pronoun is prefixed to these compounds, viz., enghai embas, my father, ninghai ningris, thy younger brother, äsgahi tangyyo, his mother.

The reason of this curious combination of the possessive pronoun with the names of relatives is apparently to shew respect or familiarity to them.
§121. The personal pronoun is often omitted in conversation or relation, when the drift of the sentence or the inflectional endings of the verb leave no doubt as to the person, number and gender of the substantive; especially in replying to interrogative sentences is the pronoun omitted : nin bujhrkai? Did you understand? Bujhrkan, "understood," will be the reply. Also in questioning, e.g., eksan $k a \bar{o}{ }^{\prime} e$ ? Where will you go to? the pronoun nīn is left out here, because the ending of the verb clearly shews what is meant. Räncin tī barckam kī manḍ̄̄ ondkam darā cūtkam. After we had come from Ranchi, we took our meals and went to bed. In this sentence no pronoun is employed.
§ 122. The Kurukh language being devoid of relative pronouns, forms its relative sentences in the following particular ways, viz:-
(a) By allowing the demonstrative pronoun to take up the connection with that clause of the sentence which would require the relative pronoun; e.g., ēn cēro àrkan à naigas innā kiryas, the

- priest whom I saw yesterday has returned to-day; lit. I yesterday saw that priest to-day came again.
(b) By employing adjective particles with the subject, the relative sense is likewise idiomatically expressed: Ning lekhā landiā lassiärin malã uidan, I do not employ laborers, who are so idle as you are; lit. thee like lazy laborers not I employ.
(c) More frequently, however, the relative sentence is constructed by applying the present or past participle or the infinitive form
of the adjectival verb to the subject of the sentence: Rannci $n \bar{u}$ kundrkā khaddas isim taldas, the boy who was born at Rāncī is this one; lit. the in Ranchi having been born boy is this one. Urbas barū ra'acas endr hūsim taldas? What, is that one the Sāheb who was to come? lit. The Sãheb a comer was, what that one is? Cēp p pǜenä ra'acäa äd mala possä, the rain which was about to come, did not come; lit. rain to rain was, that not rained.
(d) By far the most preferred manner to form a relative sentence is by employing an indefinite pronoun for the relative and adding a demonstrative as correlative; e.g., èl' $\bar{a} m$ àlas barckas ra'cas äsin irkar? Did you see that man who had come? lit. What man had come him you saw? Ēkỉ ullā nīm ättī ōnōr à ulläa $n \bar{u}$ khēor, on what day you will eat of it, you will die. Endrän nüm nēor ädlin nimã gè ci'on, whatever you will ask, that I will give you.


## CHAPTER XVI.

## ON THE VERB.

§ 123. The verb always must agree with the gender, number and person of the nominative or subject.

On moods. In Kurukh the indicative, i.e, the mood of objentive declaration, is frequently employed where in English we would use the conjunctive, e.g., "The king passed an order, that tho hunters should return. On their arrival he inquired from them whether they had tracked the tiger, and when they had replied in the negative, he dismissed them to their villages." This passage would run in Kurukh literally. "The king to the hunters: return ! saying, ordered. They arrived; then : tiger have you tracked? saying, asked them. They said: we did not see. Then the king to them: to your villages go! saying, dismissed. Bēlas sendrä bēcurin kirrā bācas darā pésas. $\bar{A} r$ ãrsyar, khhanē lak!̣an $\bar{u} r k a r ~ b a ̄ c a s ~ k \bar{\imath} ~ a ̄ r i n ~ m e n j a s . ~ \overline{A r} r$ bācar: malā ūrkam. Antilē bēlas ärin tañgā tañgā paddā kalā änyas kī taiyas.
§ 124. With regard to the infinitive mood, it must be kept in mind that its use is very limited, because in Kurukh it does not serve as in English the purpose of qualification; e.g., be is able to write, would not be rendered by the infinitive, but by compounding the verb to write and to be able, adding the inflected form of the latter to the modified stem of the former: $\bar{a} s$ tũ $\bar{d} \bar{a}$ ongdas, he is able to write. "I wish to work," is another example. Here again the infinitive is not to be employed, but the two verbs are to be compounded in the manner described above: En nalakh nana beddan.

On the other hand the infinitive is used in Urãõ where we would discard it; e.g., nimāge $k a ' a n \bar{a}$ cār mal ra'acā, you ought not to have gone. The reason is that whenever the infinitive is combined with the auxiliary ra'aná, to remain or mann $\bar{a}$, to become, the idea of obligation or necessity is brought out, which is expressed by putting the principal verb in the infiuitive mood, adding the inflected auxiliary and by placing the subject of the sentence in the dative case: Engāgē $k a^{\prime}$ anā manō; lit: to me to go will be, i.e. I will have to go. Ningāge barnā căr ra'acā, lit. to you to come necessity was, i.e.; you ought to have come.
§ 125. The participle frequently serves as a substitute for the relative pronoun and conjunctions as has been explained already, the former being entirely wanting in Kurukh, and the use of the latter being limited.

The present participle signifying duration of a secondary action, whilst the principal action is going on, it may be therefore rendered by the English whilst or during; e.g., enighai ra'ackā nüm às malā barōs, whilst I am present he will not come or during my presence he will not come. "Whilst" is also expressed by : bârì, time: às ondkā bärī khatras, whilst eating he fell. To emphasize duration of a secondary action the present participle is repeated : as cïkh cïkh num barālagyas, he came crying crying, i.e., whilst even erying he was coming.

The past participle is employed most extensively for the purpose of combining different clauses of a sentence, since copula are aroided in Kurukh as much as possible; e.g., when he had thus spoken, he died: ennē bācas kī̀ keccas, thus having said died. I have lost the money, which I had earned, eighai arjäck $k \bar{a}$ dhiban ebeskan, my earned money I lost.

The adverbial participle sometimes expresses custom or babit, if used in an indefinite sense: är khekhan nợă khanem mandè ōnnar, they are accustomed to eat having washed their hands.

The past participle is also used in the sense of the noun of agency: $\bar{a} s i z k \bar{a}$ menjka a alas ra'as, lit. he is a having seen and beard man, i.e., witness. Enighai urkhkā addda, lit. my having come out place, i.e., the place which I left.
§126. As to tenses the indefinite as in other languages denotes an action which is continuous: adḍō bussun mökh $\bar{\imath}$, the ox eats straw; the present definite signifies the action as being really performed in the present: add $\overline{0}$


The past tense implies that the action is finished : addō bussun mokkhä, the ox ate the straw. The imperfect denotes that the action was going on in the past, in dependency of another action : add $\overline{0}$ bussun m.okhälagyā, the ox was eating straw (when etc.).

The perfect represents the action as independently and fully completed : addū mokkhz̄ $\bar{z} \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath}$ or mokkh $r a^{\prime} \hat{z}$, the ox has eaten the straw. The pluperfect indicates that the action had been alroady completed, when
another action was going on : adḍō bussun molkhī ra'ucā, the ox: had eaten the straw (when etc.).
§ 127. About the use of the future tense the Urãõ is rather particular; he never makes use of the present definite as in English is done, when the future is meant; example "I am going home (this year)." For expressing this sentence the Urã̃ is obliged to employ the future, lest it be understood that he was already in the action of going. He will say therefore: èn àdna erpā käon : I shall or will go home (this year). Consequently all questions or affirmations, etc., regarding capability or willingness are not expressed as is often done in English by the present, but by the future tense: Are you ablè to go to Rāncī? therefore must not be rendered by: vīn Rā̃̃ci käläongdai, but by kälãongo'e? And the reply will never be: origdan or kädan, but ongon, kālon or kãon.

The past future again is employed in the usual manner, denoting an action, which will have obtained completeness when another action upon which it depends will have been performed : addo bussun mōkh khacō, the ox will have eaten up the straw (when etc.).
§ 128. The verb $k a^{\prime} a n \bar{a}$ is frequently added to the inflected verb as an auxiliary, and its conjugation is made to agree with the principal verb. I'his custom apparently has been adopted from the Hindì grammar, in which $j \bar{a} n \bar{a}$ is an auxiliary verb employed in intransitive and passive verbs ; e.g., $\bar{a} d$ keccā lérā, she died ; kērā being the past tense of ka'anā; nin kīrā mano'e käo'e, you will become poor. Ār urb manjkar ka'anar, they are becoming richer; èn saungiā manon kāon, I will grow strong.
§129. As in Hindi so in Kurukh neuter verbs or those having a passive sense, such as mannä, to become, and $b \vec{c}^{\prime} a m \bar{a}$, to be called, require a noun in the nominative case to complete the predicate, $\bar{a} d$ Gollas gahi khai manj $\bar{a}$, she has become the wife of the landlord. Ar Dharmés gahi khaddar $b \vec{a}$ 'aro'ōr, they will be called children of God.
§130. On cases. Active verbs generally govern the accusative case : to beat, to eat, to speak, to feed, to clothe, eto. The dative is governed by verbs indicating giving or necessity when combined with the auxiliaries to remain or to become.

The ablative is used with nouns signifying separation, instrumentality, origin and words such as coming, going, passing, by way of : $\bar{a}$ dahere $\bar{e}$
$t \bar{\imath}$ or enghai erpā tā kalā, go passing that road, or by way of my house. The locative is frequently used with verbs denoting motion, direction, company, etc.

Kurukh causal verbs as a rule govern the accusative case, even if construed with/more than one object. Gollas tanghai jõkhasin manan tartācas, the landlord caused his servant to cut the tree. The causal form of the verb is sometimes idiomatically used for denoting the time or duration of an action: nām bijta' $\bar{a}$ bēcōt or $b i \ddot{j}{ }^{\prime} i m t a ' \bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{e} c \bar{o} t$, let us play (dance) until dawn.
§ 131. There is a number of verbs which are used idiomatically, of which the following are some examples:-

Ondrnā, to bring: $\overline{j i a} n \bar{u}$ ondr'a, take to heart!
Jian sajnā, to attent, pay attention; lit. to throw the heart (into something).
Urkhna, to come out; having also the meaning of "to turn out to be" $\bar{a} s$ mal sauingiyā urlihas, he turned out to be weak.
Cōdnā, to lift, take up; $\bar{o} h m \bar{a}$ (honor) cōdnã, to seek honor : äs taingha $\dot{\theta}$ $\bar{o} h m \bar{a}$ cōd'das, he is ambitious. Mēela cōdn $\bar{a}$, to convene, to arrange for a gathering.
Ujn $\bar{a}$, to live; $\bar{a} l u j n \bar{a}$, to remarry: $\bar{a} d \bar{a} l u j \bar{a} k \bar{e} r \cdot \bar{a}$, lit. she man-lived, said of a widow, who has remarried.
Barnā, to come: engāge yāt malā bar'i, or jï̄ānū malā bar'ī, I can't remember.
$C_{i}{ }^{\prime} i n \bar{a}$, to give: às malā ci'inum ci'idas, he gives unwillingly lit. he not giving gives.
Mōkhnā, to eat: ās gam mōkhhs ra'as, he is forbearing.
$\bar{O} n n \bar{a}$, to drink: cuttā $\bar{o} n n \bar{a}$, to smoke, lit. drink a cigar.
Bannã: this Hindī verb is frequently used by Urãõs in lieu of mannã to become: banca, finished; banō, this will do.
$L a u ' n \bar{a}$, to beat; also means to conquer, finish, accomplish, to win.
Jiä ci'inã, to encourage, lit. to give soul.
Lagè! from the Hindi lagnā, to touch, to be applied, is often used like an interjection for encouragement: Come on! Go on! Forward!
§ 132. There is a strange way, in Kurukh, of emphasizing an action in the negative, i.e., by putting the modified root of the verb before its inflected
form: às ciā mal ciridas: lit. giving he does not give; ērā $h \overline{\tilde{n}}$ malā ērnar, seeing they do not see. Nạ̄̂̄ ambā $h \overline{\bar{o}}$ malā ambī, the fever leaving does not leave. Also: èn oing'am poldan: lit. I the to can, I not can, i.e., I am entirely unable.
§ 133. Defective verbs. There is another form for taldan, taken from the Gãwārī, hōek or hēk.

$$
i . e ., ~ h e ̄ k d a n, ~ I ~ a m .
$$

Sing. masc. hēkdai, thou art. Plural: hēkdam, we are. hēkdat, we and you are.
" ," hēkdas, he is.
, fem. hēk' ${ }^{\prime} n, ~ I ~ a m$.
" $\quad$ "
" $k d \bar{\imath}$, thou art.
" hēkdar, jou are.
hēknar, they are.
" hēk'èm, we are. hekdat, we and you are.
" hēkdai, you are.
" " hēk' $\bar{\imath}$, she, it is.
" hēknai, they are.
There is no other tense of this verb besides the above indefinite present.

## CHAPTER XVII.

## ON THE ADVERB.

§134. Adverbs admit of declension or of being used instead of nouns: ikiyantī barckai, where did you come from? ikänü käon, which way shall I go?

From some adverbs nouns may be derived; e.g., mëy $\bar{a}$, on, above: maitä, height, top; kīyā, below, under: kīt $\bar{a}$, depth.

Care must be taken rot to use "till," "until" as they are used in English. It would be worse even to use them as the Hindi language does, viz., as a kind of relative and correlative. Ono hears such phrases as $\bar{e} k \bar{a}$ gūt $\bar{\imath}$ mal $\bar{u}$
 vahā̃ rah), but this is contrary to the idiom of the Kurukh language, in which this sentence must be expressed by argā and gūt̄̄: enighai argī barnã gūt̄̄ ayamo ra'akē ; lit. until my not yet to come remain there. Sentences of interrogation are idiomatically followed by kä malä or not : endr, injon khēndo'e kā malä ? What, will you buy fish or not? Lekhä, like, is frequently added to the stem of the pronoun and not to its full inflected form; e.g., do not say: énghai or ninghai lekhä, like myself, like you; but englekhä and ninglekha. The emphatio particles: im, am, dim, hõ, pahẽ are not mere emphatics, but real adverbs and may be best translated by such words as: "above all," "even," "no doubt," "well"; pahẽ̃, by, but, for.

The emphatio dim, even, also, is sometimes used with the initial d only; e.g., instead of ās gahi dim, äs gahid. These emphatics are frequently employed in the way of being inserted between the root or modified stem of the verb and its inflectional ending, especially by women; e.g., èm
 doing homage.

## CHAPTER XVIII.

## ON DERIVATION.

§ 135. The Kurukh language being agglutinative, thore is, strictly speaking, no derivation of words in its grammar. The noun serves as adjective and vice versâ, and there are no abstract nouns whatever ; example: $d \bar{a} u$, is good and also goodness. Mechä, is high and likewise height. Godhead, Lordship, these abstracts are oircumsoribed by adding ra'anā, to be: às gahi dharme ra'anā, his being god. C $\bar{a} c \bar{a}$ a is stone, cāc $\bar{a}$ khal means "stony field." By the vowel $\bar{u}$ being added to the stem of the verb, the noun of agency is made, as has been stated: $c \bar{\imath} \bar{u} \overline{\text {, }}$ the giver; hence ujjnāci' $\bar{u}$, life giving or giver, etc.; nan' $\bar{u}$, the doer; hence conhänan' $\bar{u}$, the loving or lover, etc.; kam'u, the maker, creator.

The infinitive of the verb stands for the abstract noun: èrna, to see: the seeing, the review, observation; onn $\bar{a}$ and $m o \bar{k} h n \tilde{a}$, both meaning, to eat, when taken together, mean : food, support. Many abstract nouns are formed by the employment of the past participle as a noun: gachrnä, to promise: gachhrk $\bar{a}$, the promise, covenant, agreement; $\bar{a} n n \bar{a}$, to say: $\bar{a} n k \bar{a}$, the word.

By prefixing the abbreviated form of the negative adverb mala, i.e., mal to verbs or adjectives we get another kind of derivation.

Mal munjrnā, not ending, endless, eternal.
mal saungia, not strong, weak, feeble.
By adding malā or malkā to nouns or adjectives we get another series of derivatives: $\underline{k h a d d}$ malk $\tilde{a}$, childless, barren; munjāmalkä, endless, eternal.

By adding the possessive locative affix ant $\bar{a}$, or $n t \bar{a}$ to nouns, we find still another kind of derivatives: merklhantā heavenly; ullanta, daily; also by adding lekhā, like: pandrülekhā, whitish, etc. Maitā, height and liit $\bar{a}$, depth are derived from mẽ̃y $\bar{a}$ and $k \bar{\imath} y \bar{a}$, "high" and "low" and ant $\bar{a}$ or $n t \bar{a}$ or $t \bar{a}$, "belonging to."
§ 136. There are no diminutives in Kurukh; but we find one of the Hindi diminutive forms being sometimes applied; e.g., from chotua, small, young: cutka, the little one, the joungest. This is in Kurukh sanni, small; hence : sank $\bar{a}$, the little one, the least.

The abbreviation of lelihā is also sometimes employed as a diminutive: kōhälē, the greatlike, pretty large.

## APPENDIX 1 .

§137. List of totemistic names of Kurukh septs.

1. Lakr $\bar{a}$,
2. Cīgalō
3. Kiss and Kisspot $\bar{a}$,
4. Koyä,
5. Hartū,
6. Tigã,
7. Tirki,
8. Org'orã̃,
9. Gidh
10. Kh $\bar{a} k h \bar{a}$,
11. Célekcēlà,
12. Toppö,
13. Ker $\mathfrak{e} e t t a ̃$,
14. Dhicuā,
15. Ekkä,
16. Minj,
17. Kindō,
18. Khalkhō,
19. Kujur,
20. Barā,
21. Citkhā,
22. Bakhlā,
23. Khess,
24. $A m r i \bar{\imath}$,
25. Madgi,
26. Kisskhocol,
27. Pannä,
28. Bēk,
tiger.
jackal.
hog and hog's intestines.
wild dog.
the Halumãn ape.
field rat.
young mouse.
hawk.
vulture.
crow.
swallow.
woodpecker.
quail.
swallow-tailed bird.
tortoise.
eel.
carpfish.
shad fish.
a creeper.
ficus indica.
ficus religiosa
tank weed.
paddy.
rice-water (conjy).
mahuà.
lit. (hog bone) a thorny tree.
iron.
salt.

## APPENDIX II.


§ 138. List of Dravidian words and roots in Kurukh, as found in Dr. Caldwell's comparative Grammar.

NOUNS.


ADJECTIVES.


PRONOUNS.
En, I; nun, thou; èm, we; tām, self.
NUMERALS.
Ort and oud, one; orb and end, two.

## VERBS: (ROOTS).



## APPENDIX III.

Similarity of Kurukh and Mältō.
§ 139. What has been said of Dravidian characteristics in the grammatical construction of the Kurukh language holds good also with regard to Mälto. I confine myself therefore to compare the case signs of the noun, the pronouns, the numerals and the tense characteristics of the verb, which are almost the same.

## CASE SIGNS:

|  | Rurukh. |  | Māltō. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N$. | Singular. | Plural. ar. | Singular. ēh | Plural. $\bar{e} r$. |
| $G$. | gahi | argahi. | êk | $\overline{e r} r k \bar{\imath}$. |
| D. | $g e \bar{e}$ | argè. | èk | èrik. |
| Acc. | in or an | arin. | en | êrin. |
| Abl. | antī or intī | arintī. | entī | $\overline{\text { errintī. }}$ |
| Loc. | $n u$ | arnū. | $n \overline{0}$ | èrinō. |

NUMERAL ADJECTIVES.
Ortos, ort, masc. fem. one: ortē, and ortī, one. Irb, maso. fem. two: ibr, maso. fem., two. Ond, neut. one: ond, neut. one.

## PERSONAL PRONOUNS.

| $\bar{E} n$, | I; | èn, | I. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nin, | thou; | nīn, | thou. |
| Em, | we; | $\bar{e} m$, | we. |
| Nim, | you; | nīm, | you. |
| Nām, | we and you; | nãm, | we and you |

## DEMONSTRATIVES.

In Kurukh:
is $\tilde{\alpha} s, \bar{i} d, \bar{a} d$, he (this one, that one) she, it (this one, that one).
In $M \bar{a} l t o \overline{:} \grave{\imath} h$, he ; ith fem. \& neut.
$\bar{i} r, \bar{a} r$, they (these ones, those ones) masc. \& fem.
In Māltō : ī looth for masc. and fom.
The reflexive tan and $t \bar{a} m$ is in both languages alike.
INTERROGATIVES.
Kurukh. Māltō.
$n \bar{e}$, ek $\bar{a}$, endr, $n \bar{e} k$, who which, what: $n \bar{e}, \bar{z} k e, ~ i n d r, n \bar{e} k$.

## TENSE CHARACTERISTICS.

Past tense Kurukh : $k a$; in Māltō : $k$
Imperf. " : $\bar{a} ; \quad$ " $: \bar{a}$
Particip. " : $n \bar{u} ; \quad$, $: n \bar{o}$.

## APPENDIX IV.

§ 140. List of words used in common by Uräts and Mundāris in Chōtä Nägpur.
English.
Muṇdārī.
a. Nouns.
Urāõ.
father.
mother (my mother). elder sister. wife (youth).
month.
virgin.
daughter.
multitude.
sorcerer.
cooked rice, food.
country spirits.
finger-ring. garment.
manger.
sacrifice.
ox.
bird.
forest.
river.
oilseed.
knife.
day:
yesterday, that day.
sound.
desire.
month.
distress.
custom.
$\bar{a} p u, a b l \bar{a}$.
éngã.
dải.
$k \bar{u} r \mathfrak{i}(k o ̄ r a ̄)$.
mocā.
diṇ̣āa.
mai.
gōhondā.
dē̃̄rā.
mandĩ.
arkī.
muddam.
kicrī̀.
dadk ${ }^{2}$.
dã̃ $\frac{\tilde{e}}{.}$
hadà.
$\bar{o} r e ̄$.
tonarig.
gärà.
magā.
katū.
hularig.
hōlā.
sārī.
monè.
candu.
sasti.
nēg.
$a b b a \bar{a}$.
ingy $\overline{0}$.
$d \vec{a} \bar{z}$.
$k u r i \bar{a}$ in Dhūmkūriā.
mocã.
diñdā.
mai.
gōhonḍā.
dē̃̃rã.
mandi.
arkhi.
muddī.
kicrī.
dadkā.
$d \tilde{\tilde{a}} r \tilde{\tilde{e}}$.
addō.
$\bar{o} r \bar{a}$.
törarig.
khär.
maghā.
kantō.
ullã.
aulā.
sarà.
manē.
candō.
sastī.
nëg.

English.
blind.
lazy.
whole.
right.
to be, remain.
make, build.
fear, tremble.
serve.
believe.
break (bread). kiss.
finish. to be surprised. give, permit. collect, gather. come out.
think.
speak.
I.
who, what.
like.
far.
thus, then.
here, hither.
there, thither.
not yet.
yes.

Mundāãrì
b. Adjectives.
karā.
landiā.
got $\bar{a}$.
thaukā.
c. Verbs (roots).
men.
bai.
ekel.
susar.
patia.
kec.
cō.
cal.
haikat.
ici.
hundī.
ororig.
uru.
kaj.
d. Pronouns.
aing, ing.
okō.
e. Adverbs.
lekī.
häntē.
enā.
nē tārè.
en tārè.
aurigè.
$h{ }^{-\quad}$

Urāō.
$k a ̃ a \bar{a}$.
landia.
$g o ̈ t \bar{a}$.
thaukă.
man.
$\bar{b}$ äe
eic, ell.
susar.
pattā.
lieic.
cuniki.
cap.
haikut.
ei'i.
khond.
urkh.
org.
kac.

> èn, oblique, erg. $\bar{e} k \dot{a}$.
lekhā.
$h \ddot{\ddot{a} d \bar{d} \text {. }}$
епnè.
itar\&.
ātara.
argi.
$\bar{b} a^{\prime} \bar{\imath}$.
f. Conjunctions.

| English. | Muṇ̣ārì. | Urāõ. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| but. | mendo. | mundà. |
| but. | batkam. | backam. |
| then. | entè. | anti. |
| and. | orō, arō. | arà. |
| The numeral: first, one. | mīad, mod. | mund. |
| The postposition : towards. | tarè. | tarā. |

This list might be increased, since the number of such words in Mundārī is more than one-tenth of its vocabulary.

## APPENDIX $\mathbf{V}$.

§141. The Dravidian element in the Muidäri Grammar. More important than to shew the identity of words used in common by Mundārīs and Urãõ̃s is to trace the Dravidian element in the Mundārī grammar, in order to ascertain its character as a member of the large Dravidian-Scythian family of languages and consequently its connection with Kurukh.

The Kolarian noun, like that of the Kurukh and other Dravidian languages, has only one declension. The sign of the dative, ablative and instrumental case in Muṇdārī is practically the same as in Kurukh, viz., in the latter it is gee and ti and in the former kē and $t \bar{e}$ respectively.

Adjectives are in either language of the same character, s.e., they are in reality nouns of qualification and undergo no change whatever by their combination with nouns of different gender or number ; also their comparison is effected in the same way as in Urãõ. There is a marked similarity in the stem of the personal pronoun, first person, between the two languages: $\ddot{i n g}$ and érg; also in the interrogative: okō and $\bar{e} k \bar{a}$. The Kolarian languages have also the collective plural, including the first and second person; and what is a very characteristic feature, is that Mundeārī like Urãõ has no relative pronoun.
§ 142. The Kolarian verb also agglutinates its tense characteristics, causation and transition partioles and pronominal terminations in the same manner as does the Dravidian verb. The tense characteristics of the Urãõ and Muṇdārī verb are almost the same:

| Present ten | ārī | : tan; | Ura | : dan. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Past | " | : $\bar{a}$ and jadā | " | $: \bar{a}+j \bar{a}$. |
| Future " | " | : $\subset \bar{a}+\bar{o} \bar{a}$; | " | : 0. |
| Pres. defin. | " | : akada + akcana | ; " | : kan, an, akan. |
| Perf. | " | : keda, janä, etc., | ; " | : kan, jan. |
| Pot. mood. | " | : $k \bar{a}$; | " | : nekk̇ ${ }^{\text {a }}$. |

§ 143. The Kolarian verb like that of the Kuruch grammar has only one conjugation. Permissives are formed with one and the same Dravidian root: ci' $i$; and intensives with the same Dravidian root: cab (Mund.) cap (Urãõ). Quite a number of adverbs and conjunctions are used in common by Muṇdaris and Urāõ̃s.

The division of substantives accurding to gender into the two classes of rational and irrational beings is another marked Dravidian feature in Mundaãrī grammar. As for the syntax the same rules are observed, viz., the sentence begins with the subject or nominative, then follows the copula and finally the verb. Relative clauses and interrogative sentences are formed in Mundeārì almost in the same way as in Kurukh, and an examination as to the cases governed by certain verbs and other details of the syntax would set forth a very striking similarity between the grammatical construction of the Mundāai language and the Kurukh Kathä, leaving no doubt about the former also belonging to the Dravidian family,

## APPENDIX VI.

## § 144. Time reckoning of the Kurukhs.

Khēr cī̄khō bīrī,
Bijō bīrī,
 Or julpulhem, Cṑō $\bar{b} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{r} \bar{\imath}$, Bīrīargnā (bḕ $\cdot \bar{a})$, Pairì, pairim, Göholā pundnā bêṛā,
Laṇdī luhārī,
Luhärā bērı $\overline{\text {, }}$
Rukkcapō,
Artī bīrī, Elkhrnä būrī, Bīrì puttnà (bêràa),
Bīr puttā, $\bar{U} k h n \bar{a} \bar{b} \underset{r}{a} \bar{a}$, Khurtī būrī, $\underline{K h u r t i ̄ ~ b i ̄ n k o ̄ ~ b e ̄ r ̣ a, ~}$ Cūtō bīrū,
Mähhä,
$\bar{I} d h \bar{\imath} m a ̈ k h h a ̄$,
when the cock crows.
at dawn.
ditto ditto.
at rising time.
at sunrise.
morning, early.
time to yoke the oxen.
early breakfast.
breakfast time.
midday, noon.
afternoon, water fetching time.
when the sun inclines.
at sunset.
the whole day, from morning to evening.
at dusk.
supper time.
evening star's time, late supper time.
time to go to bed.
night.
midnight.

## APPENDIX VII.



## Kurukh year.

§ 145. The Urāō̃ divides the year (cān) into lunar months (candō); each month has two parts, viz. būll̄ mākhā, light nights, and ūkhā mākhā, dark nights; punna a candō is new moon or beginning of the month; punai is full moon.

The names of the months are taken from the Hindus, but the year is divided into the following seasons:-

Sendrā candō, spring time; lit. hunting time, from February to the middle of March.

Birna gali, hot season; from the middle of March to the beginning of June.
$e \bar{e} \underline{h} \bar{a}$ gali, rainy season ; till the middle of September.
cirdi gat $\bar{\imath}$, harvest time; till the end of November.
paĩā ullā, cold season; December and January.
Important events in the family life are counted from these seasons, and more particularly from festivals or from some political event, such as the rebellion of the Larkā Kōls in 1832, or the Mutiny in 1857, eto., e.g., Laṛkā cān nū benjrālakkan, in the year of the Laṛkā (Rebellion) I got married.

## APPENDIX VIII.

## Kurukh measures.

§ 146. Of measures the Urāõs have very few :
Oṇd aurkä, one pailä, about one pound (for grain).
", toriki, about five pounds
" baugĩ, about 20 " "
"uddū , one maund ( $m \tilde{a} n$ ) or 80 ", "
Mülī, chapter, lit. root.
Karì, verse, lit part.
Miikä, elbow (häth: Hindī).
Sobbä, a handful.
Oṇdpastī, both handsful.
Ond dhōk, one spoonful.

## APPENDIX IX．

§ 147. List of Kurukh demons．

1．Baranda，the supreme spirit，supposed to reside in the moun－ tains；mase．
2．Barandō，whirlwind ；fem．
3．Darhă，the village bhüt ；masc．
4．Dèswält，his wife．
5．Khütū，the family bhüt．
6．Goinsã⿸丆口，the god of the cows．
7．Cälã or jhakra，the bhūt of the holy Sakhuā grove．
8．Paträ，the forest－demon．
9．Khali，the threshing－floor demon．
10．Gö̃reã，the protector of cattle．
11．Candī，the hanting goddess．
12．Cigri，the house－purifying demon．
13．Sakhri，the demon，whose sacrifice purifies a woman after childbirth．
14．Pacb＇al，pacb＇alar（plural）departed spirits in the infernal regions，to whom offerings are made．
15．Curil，the spirit of a woman，who died of childbirth．
16．Baghäut，the spirit of a person，who has been killed by the tiger．
17．Mữä，the spirit of a person who died from starvation or through accident or from an unnatural cause．
18．Uttar，the minister of the infernal world，to whom sacrifices and offerings are made，to smooth the way for the dead．

## APPENDIX X.



## ATPENDIX XI.

## Mundārī village names.

§. 149. These villages are in the north-western part of the Lohardagā district, which is at present inhabited by Urãõs only.

Serenghātu, sereñghätu, rock village.
Serengdāg or dā, sereígda' $\bar{a}$, rock water.
Hondagā or dā, honda' $\bar{a}$, child water.
Hondpīri, honpïr, child plain.
Hesāpīri, hesāpīr, plain of ficus religiosa.
Kocā, kōcā, crooked corner.
Manhātu, manhätu, tree village.
Masihātu, mā̀īhātu, gram village.
Simsereng, simsereng, fowl rock.
Patrātu, patrhätu, wood village.
Dīrīdāg or dā, dīrī̀r $a^{\prime} a \bar{a}$, stone water.

## APPENDIX XII.

§ 150. List of Kurukh books, by the Author.

1. Pacä and Punä gacrkä gahi itihäs : Biblical history I + II part, obtainable at the Tract and Book Society, Calcutta.
2. Kurukh dandi, a collection of bhajans, published at the German Mission Press, Ranchi.
3. Sannī Katekhism, a Church Catechism, published at the German Mission Press, Ranohi.
4. Etwär gahi anthandāu arā patrī bacan Kurukih katn̄ā nū ; scripture portions appointed to be read on Sundays, in two parts: German Mission Press, Ranchi.
5. The Gospels according to Saints Mark, Luke and John, published by the Bible Society, 23, Chowringhee Road, Calcutta.
6. The Gospel according to St. Mathew will soon be issued by the same Scciety,
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[^0]:    * The Khariās of the Central Provinces also speak Kurulkh.

[^1]:    * The corresponding Hindī letters for $a i$ and $a u$ are एते a ज्रोt When $o$ and $e$ stand together $o^{\prime} e$, the sound produced is like the Greek $o c^{7}$ in $o c^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \kappa 0$, e.g.s nin $k \bar{a} o^{\prime} e$ ? Will you (thou) go?

[^2]:    * The hiatus in Kurukh words when written with Dēvanāgarī letters cannot be properly represented by the visarga, as it is not an aspiration, but simply a break, which in Dēranāgarī may well be marked by commencing the syllable, before which the hiatus occurs, with a capital letter; e.g., एकग्राम, èk'ām; मुच ग्रा muc'a ; वेंग्रोन्न्रो teñgro'ō.

[^3]:    - Ikla, ikläm, and aula, are derived from $\overline{e k} k \bar{a}$ and $u l l \bar{a}$, what day ; and $\bar{a}$ that and ull $\bar{a}$ that day.

